December 18-21 2010



List of Tables

1. Obama approval on issues	Ċ
2. Important issue	4
3. Most important issue	5
4. Approval of Obama as President	7
5. Approval of Time Person of the Year decision	8
6. Soundness of Time's Person of the Year decisions, Version A	S
7. Soundness of Time's Person of the Year decisions, Version B	
8. Best candidate for Person of the Year	
9. Uncomfortable using this technology	
10. Comfortable using this technology	
11. Social networking use	
12. Impact of social networking on own life	16
13. Impact of social networking on society	17
14. Payments for priority Web transmission	
15. A great deal or some trust in organizations to decide on regulation of Internet	19
16. Only a little or no trust in organizations to decide on regulation of Internet	21
17. Knowledge of net neutrality	23
18. Support for net neutrality	
19. Support the following pieces of legislation	25
20. Oppose the following pieces of legislation	28
21. Expectations for full economic recovery	31
22. Approval of tax-cut bill	32
23. Impact of tax-cut bill on economy	33
24. Impact of the tax-cut bill on job creation	34
25. These groups are concerned about issues affecting poor people	35
26. These groups are not concerned about issues affecting poor people	
27. These groups are concerned about issues affecting middle class people	37
28. These groups are not concerned about issues affecting middle class people	38
29. These groups are concerned about issues affecting wealthy people	38
30. These groups are not concerned about issues affecting wealthy people	40
31. Party leaders inspire quite a bit or a great deal of confidence	41
32. Party leaders inspire very little or no confidence	42
33. Favorability rating of John Boehner, Version A	43
34. Favorability rating of John Boehner, Version B	44
	45
36. Favorability rating of George W. Bush, Version B	46



37. Favorability rating of Bill Clinton, version A	47
38. Favorability rating of Bill Clinton, Version B	48
39. Favorability rating of Hillary Clinton, Version A	49
40. Favorability rating of Hillary Clinton, Version B	50
41. Important characteristic when evaluating elected officials	51
42. Unimportant characteristic when evaluating elected officials	52
43. Reflects negatively on a politician to cry in public	53
44. Reflects negatively on a female elected official to cry in public	54
45. Importance of compromise-oriented congressperson	55
46. Importance of congressperson listening to constituents	56
47. Obama's ideological leanings	57
48. Obama's sincerity	58
49. Obama's likeability	59
50. Words that describe Obama	60
51. Approval of U.S. Congress	62
52. Direction of country	63
53. Trend of economy	
54. Stock market expectations over next year	65
55. Change in personal finances over past year	66

December 18-21 2010



1. Obama approval on issues

Below are some issues facing the country. For each one, indicate whether you **approve** or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling that issue.

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
The war in Iraq	43.6%	40.4%	45.4%	42.8%	41.3%	62.7%	41.5%	49.4%	38.4%	39.3%	42.0%	52.8%
The economy	36.3%	37.7%	35.2%	37.7%	32.0%	67.2%	37.0%	38.2%	34.5%	29.3%	38.7%	45.1%
Immigration	30.6%	35.4%	29.8%	25.8%	27.0%	53.9%	35.1%	30.1%	31.1%	23.4%	33.6%	39.2%
The environment	43.1%	42.4%	44.1%	40.8%	39.1%	70.0%	47.3%	43.8%	42.5%	37.4%	43.6%	52.1%
Terrorism	44.0%	40.9%	45.2%	44.9%	41.3%	61.8%	47.6%	47.1%	41.3%	39.2%	45.5%	50.4%
Gay rights	40.8%	42.8%	40.8%	37.6%	39.1%	49.1%	46.7%	41.5%	40.1%	31.2%	43.5%	53.6%
Education	43.7%	39.3%	46.4%	41.3%	40.2%	68.3%	45.6%	44.8%	42.6%	40.2%	43.7%	49.4%
Health care	39.4%	40.1%	39.7%	37.0%	35.3%	69.6%	39.6%	41.4%	37.6%	30.9%	42.8%	49.5%
Social security	33.4%	34.0%	34.5%	28.6%	29.5%	62.2%	33.7%	34.5%	32.4%	25.6%	37.7%	41.2%
The budget deficit	29.5%	29.3%	29.6%	29.3%	25.8%	57.0%	29.9%	29.0%	29.9%	22.7%	33.0%	36.6%
The war in												
Afghanistan	37.7%	37.1%	39.5%	32.6%	35.3%	58.0%	35.5%	43.4%	32.7%	33.6%	35.7%	47.1%
Taxes	36.1%	32.2%	40.7%	26.5%	32.2%	62.8%	39.7%	38.0%	34.5%	29.6%	39.8%	42.8%
Totals	(1,000)	(240)	(608)	(152)	(789)	(111)	(100)	(467)	(533)	(440)	(323)	(237)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	e
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
The war in Iraq	43.6%	62.5%	29.2%	44.5%	67.5%	49.6%	33.2%	47.3%	40.0%	40.0%	49.6%	39.6%	50.2%	46.0%
The economy	36.3%	66.7%	8.5%	34.5%	72.3%	48.8%	11.0%	40.7%	31.5%	35.8%	38.1%	36.4%	36.4%	40.9%
Immigration	30.6%	53.9%	6.2%	31.7%	61.8%	37.2%	10.7%	28.5%	32.2%	31.1%	30.1%	29.2%	32.4%	34.0%
The environment	43.1%	73.2%	17.7%	41.6%	75.1%	58.3%	17.8%	45.9%	41.9%	40.2%	46.5%	42.6%	45.1%	50.0%
Terrorism	44.0%	73.2%	20.2%	40.8%	76.2%	58.7%	19.8%	50.1%	42.6%	39.9%	46.7%	42.7%	47.9%	46.0%
Gay rights	40.8%	65.0%	16.0%	40.8%	76.0%	53.7%	15.2%	47.5%	36.5%	38.1%	43.7%	34.7%	45.2%	46.9%
Education	43.7%	72.9%	17.6%	40.2%	77.4%	52.8%	21.6%	50.7%	41.3%	40.2%	45.7%	42.3%	47.3%	47.4%
Health care	39.4%	70.8%	6.8%	39.3%	81.3%	52.9%	8.9%	42.7%	36.4%	36.7%	43.6%	37.8%	41.5%	42.7%
Social security	33.4%	60.8%	8.5%	29.8%	70.5%	41.1%	10.7%	30.7%	32.0%	31.5%	39.4%	31.1%	35.0%	39.7%
The budget deficit	29.5%	56.4%	6.8%	25.5%	58.2%	39.9%	8.0%	29.5%	30.3%	27.2%	32.0%	30.3%	29.5%	32.5%
The war in														
Afghanistan	37.7%	55.3%	28.4%	33.8%	55.6%	43.8%	29.5%	45.0%	31.5%	35.6%	41.1%	34.9%	40.9%	43.9%
Taxes	36.1%	57.6%	19.2%	33.6%	53.5%	47.7%	21.0%	36.9%	35.6%	35.3%	37.2%	34.6%	41.5%	37.1%
Totals	(1,000)	(356)	(254)	(281)	(211)	(345)	(320)	(192)	(227)	(346)	(235)	(368)	(409)	(126)

December 18-21 2010



2. Important issue

How important are the following issues to you?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
The war in Iraq	80.2%	76.3%	81.0%	84.0%	81.8%	68.6%	80.0%	74.3%	85.6%	82.6%	78.6%	78.1%
The economy	95.8%	91.6%	97.3%	97.0%	96.1%	93.0%	95.7%	93.7%	97.6%	94.1%	96.7%	97.5%
Immigration	82.7%	76.3%	83.8%	89.4%	85.1%	67.1%	79.5%	80.5%	84.6%	83.0%	82.9%	82.0%
The environment	78.5%	73.1%	80.6%	80.0%	77.0%	83.0%	87.0%	75.4%	81.3%	80.6%	78.3%	75.2%
Terrorism	84.1%	76.3%	85.8%	90.8%	83.7%	84.1%	87.9%	80.2%	87.5%	87.0%	83.8%	79.4%
Gay rights	49.3%	55.9%	47.5%	44.7%	49.7%	47.1%	48.3%	44.9%	53.3%	44.6%	52.2%	53.6%
Education	88.5%	88.5%	87.7%	91.3%	88.2%	93.0%	85.7%	85.7%	90.9%	86.2%	90.8%	89.5%
Health care	92.8%	88.4%	94.5%	94.0%	92.9%	91.4%	93.8%	90.1%	95.3%	91.6%	94.7%	92.7%
Social security	89.6%	76.6%	93.0%	99.0%	89.9%	88.0%	89.6%	86.2%	92.7%	91.3%	87.7%	89.2%
The budget deficit	89.1%	83.7%	90.4%	93.1%	89.8%	84.2%	88.5%	85.5%	92.3%	88.2%	89.9%	89.6%
The war in												
Afghanistan	82.7%	77.6%	83.9%	86.5%	84.3%	69.3%	84.1%	79.4%	85.6%	82.3%	82.0%	84.2%
Taxes	92.5%	88.3%	94.0%	94.1%	93.2%	90.7%	88.3%	92.0%	92.9%	91.5%	92.8%	93.9%
Totals	(1,000)	(240)	(608)	(152)	(789)	(111)	(100)	(467)	(533)	(440)	(323)	(237)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
The war in Iraq	80.2%	77.8%	89.6%	78.7%	75.5%	78.6%	88.1%	82.5%	76.5%	80.0%	82.4%	82.1%	79.4%	78.6%
The economy	95.8%	96.5%	98.2%	95.4%	95.1%	96.1%	98.5%	96.5%	97.3%	94.9%	95.1%	95.0%	96.2%	99.1%
Immigration	82.7%	80.5%	89.6%	82.1%	78.2%	79.3%	91.7%	82.6%	81.3%	82.6%	84.3%	82.3%	82.1%	89.9%
The environment	78.5%	90.4%	64.8%	78.9%	93.2%	82.9%	64.5%	83.7%	77.6%	76.6%	78.2%	83.1%	76.4%	77.0%
Terrorism	84.1%	80.8%	94.1%	79.6%	67.4%	84.5%	94.4%	84.9%	80.1%	87.0%	82.9%	85.5%	83.3%	84.3%
Gay rights	49.3%	61.3%	34.1%	51.3%	73.3%	49.8%	36.7%	50.0%	48.5%	48.0%	51.2%	52.6%	48.6%	41.9%
Education	88.5%	94.3%	84.3%	86.6%	92.9%	92.7%	84.3%	90.0%	87.0%	87.7%	89.8%	91.0%	88.5%	83.5%
Health care	92.8%	96.0%	91.3%	92.6%	95.8%	94.5%	90.8%	95.8%	95.3%	91.9%	89.5%	93.7%	93.0%	93.2%
Social security	89.6%	90.0%	89.7%	91.9%	85.0%	91.7%	91.7%	90.0%	89.0%	89.1%	90.8%	89.2%	90.7%	88.6%
The budget deficit	89.1%	87.7%	95.3%	88.2%	80.6%	89.9%	96.2%	91.2%	91.2%	88.8%	85.9%	87.4%	91.5%	93.0%
The war in														
Afghanistan	82.7%	80.7%	91.2%	80.9%	80.8%	81.6%	88.7%	85.4%	80.6%	80.7%	85.4%	81.2%	83.4%	86.4%
Taxes	92.5%	90.4%	98.2%	93.7%	88.7%	92.1%	98.7%	94.0%	95.7%	91.2%	90.2%	92.1%	93.7%	91.5%
Totals	(1,000)	(356)	(254)	(281)	(211)	(345)	(320)	(192)	(227)	(346)	(235)	(368)	(409)	(126)

December 18-21 2010



3. Most important issue

Which of these is the **most** important issue for you?

			Age			Race		Gei	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
The war in Iraq	0.2%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.1%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.2%	0.0%	0.4%
The economy	38.4%	35.6%	44.0%	23.4%	36.4%	48.2%	45.3%	41.0%	36.1%	36.4%	39.4%	40.3%
Immigration	5.5%	6.9%	4.3%	7.5%	5.9%	2.1%	6.1%	6.7%	4.4%	7.2%	6.3%	1.8%
The environment	2.9%	5.5%	2.6%	0.0%	3.0%	1.7%	3.6%	3.3%	2.6%	2.7%	3.3%	2.9%
Terrorism	5.7%	4.2%	6.1%	6.5%	6.2%	2.5%	4.9%	6.2%	5.2%	7.0%	4.3%	5.1%
Gay rights	2.2%	6.4%	0.7%	0.6%	2.4%	0.7%	2.3%	3.3%	1.2%	1.0%	4.2%	1.7%
Education	6.4%	15.0%	3.9%	1.8%	5.7%	11.3%	7.3%	5.9%	6.9%	3.1%	8.3%	9.8%
Health care	12.3%	13.9%	12.3%	10.0%	12.7%	8.0%	14.1%	7.5%	16.6%	8.2%	16.6%	13.9%
Social security	12.8%	1.8%	10.7%	37.3%	13.2%	13.9%	7.8%	8.6%	16.5%	21.3%	8.0%	4.7%
The budget deficit	7.2%	6.8%	6.6%	9.7%	7.8%	3.0%	6.7%	10.0%	4.7%	7.1%	4.6%	10.3%
The war in												
Afghanistan	1.7%	1.2%	2.1%	1.4%	1.9%	1.7%	0.0%	1.4%	2.1%	1.3%	1.5%	2.7%
Taxes	4.7%	2.6%	6.4%	1.9%	4.8%	6.2%	2.0%	6.1%	3.3%	4.4%	3.5%	6.4%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (985)	100.0% (232)	100.0% (601)	100.0% (152)	100.0% (776)	100.0% (109)	100.0% (100)	100.0% (458)	100.0% (527)	100.0% (429)	100.0% (321)	100.0% (235)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio		Family Income			
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
The war in Iraq	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.4%	0.5%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	0.2%	0.0%
The economy	38.4%	38.5%	33.0%	44.5%	36.6%	43.5%	35.4%	48.3%	38.4%	35.2%	35.5%	33.2%	42.2%	44.6%
Immigration	5.5%	2.4%	9.6%	5.8%	0.9%	4.7%	8.2%	1.5%	4.0%	7.3%	7.3%	6.5%	5.0%	5.3%
The environment	2.9%	4.1%	1.1%	2.8%	5.8%	2.8%	1.3%	4.3%	1.0%	2.2%	4.7%	3.2%	2.4%	5.0%
Terrorism	5.7%	3.6%	10.4%	3.4%	0.5%	3.5%	11.0%	8.7%	2.4%	6.3%	5.5%	4.8%	4.7%	5.9%
Gay rights	2.2%	2.8%	0.8%	2.9%	6.6%	2.2%	0.6%	3.4%	1.4%	1.2%	3.5%	2.1%	2.0%	0.7%
Education	6.4%	8.8%	3.8%	4.8%	14.1%	7.3%	1.6%	2.9%	6.9%	7.7%	6.8%	8.2%	6.0%	4.3%
Health care	12.3%	16.0%	8.5%	10.9%	19.0%	10.5%	9.6%	9.9%	17.7%	11.4%	10.4%	11.4%	13.1%	12.6%
Social security	12.8%	17.1%	10.4%	9.6%	7.3%	16.6%	9.2%	9.3%	12.8%	13.7%	14.1%	23.9%	5.2%	4.3%
The budget deficit	7.2%	2.8%	11.6%	8.7%	3.4%	3.8%	13.8%	4.7%	5.6%	8.6%	8.3%	2.2%	12.0%	8.0%
The war in														
Afghanistan	1.7%	1.5%	1.7%	2.4%	2.5%	1.3%	2.2%	2.8%	2.5%	1.4%	0.7%	1.3%	2.5%	2.0%
Taxes	4.7%	2.1%	9.2%	3.8%	2.8%	3.4%	7.0%	4.3%	6.8%	4.5%	3.2%	3.1%	4.7%	7.3%

continued on the next page ...



							continued f	rom previous p	age					
			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fai	mily Income	9
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (985)	100.0% (353)	100.0% (253)	100.0% (277)	100.0% (208)	100.0% (342)	100.0% (318)	100.0% (189)	100.0% (224)	100.0% (338)	100.0% (234)	100.0% (361)	100.0% (406)	100.0% (124)

December 18-21 2010



4. Approval of Obama as President

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling his job as President?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Strongly Approve Somewhat	15.3%	12.2%	16.1%	17.3%	11.1%	48.7%	12.3%	14.2%	16.3%	12.7%	17.7%	16.8%
Approve Somewhat	27.3%	36.2%	24.6%	22.3%	26.5%	28.9%	33.2%	28.8%	25.9%	22.6%	30.0%	31.9%
Disapprove Strongly	14.7%	12.2%	16.3%	13.1%	15.5%	5.0%	18.9%	14.9%	14.4%	15.1%	15.7%	12.7%
Disapprove	35.3%	28.8%	36.5%	41.5%	40.2%	5.9%	26.4%	37.5%	33.3%	37.0%	31.8%	36.5%
Not Sure	7.5%	10.6%	6.5%	5.8%	6.7%	11.5%	9.3%	4.5%	10.1%	12.6%	4.8%	2.1%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (998)	100.0% (238)	100.0% (608)	100.0% (152)	100.0% (788)	100.0% (111)	100.0% (99)	100.0% (466)	100.0% (532)	100.0% (439)	100.0% (322)	100.0% (237)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	Family Income		
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+	
Strongly Approve Somewhat	15.3%	35.4%	1.8%	8.3%	34.8%	17.7%	5.4%	16.3%	16.1%	16.5%	11.9%	17.7%	13.9%	13.6%	
Approve Somewhat	27.3%	40.6%	6.3%	32.7%	45.1%	38.4%	6.8%	27.1%	27.3%	23.6%	32.8%	25.7%	28.5%	30.2%	
Disapprove Strongly	14.7%	8.5%	17.8%	16.6%	12.9%	17.9%	12.3%	17.6%	15.1%	12.9%	14.6%	15.6%	17.2%	7.5%	
Disapprove	35.3%	8.2%	71.5%	38.4%	2.6%	22.3%	73.0%	29.4%	35.0%	40.0%	33.2%	28.5%	36.8%	46.9%	
Not Sure	7.5%	7.3%	2.6%	4.0%	4.6%	3.7%	2.5%	9.6%	6.5%	6.9%	7.5%	12.5%	3.5%	1.7%	
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (998)	100.0% (356)	100.0% (253)	100.0% (280)	100.0% (211)	100.0% (344)	100.0% (320)	100.0% (192)	100.0% (227)	100.0% (345)	100.0% (234)	100.0% (366)	100.0% (409)	100.0% (126)	

December 18-21 2010



5. Approval of Time Person of the Year decision

The founder and CEO of Facebook, Mark Zuckerberg, was named Time magazine's 2010 Person of the Year. The title goes to the man or woman who, for better or for worse, has had the most influence on the year's events. Do you agree or disagree with Time's decision?

			Age			Race		Gender		der Education		
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Agree	29.7%	39.3%	28.7%	17.4%	27.9%	40.2%	33.0%	29.3%	30.1%	24.6%	29.4%	38.5%
Disagree	36.9%	33.9%	36.4%	43.6%	39.3%	25.4%	28.5%	39.9%	34.2%	28.8%	43.6%	42.3%
Not sure	33.4%	26.8%	34.9%	39.0%	32.8%	34.5%	38.4%	30.9%	35.7%	46.6%	27.0%	19.2%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (998)	100.0% (240)	100.0% (607)	100.0% (151)	100.0% (787)	100.0% (111)	100.0% (100)	100.0% (466)	100.0% (532)	100.0% (438)	100.0% (323)	100.0% (237)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Agree	29.7%	37.0%	26.0%	27.7%	40.3%	32.8%	23.9%	35.5%	31.3%	24.1%	32.0%	29.1%	31.8%	35.6%
Disagree	36.9%	29.6%	43.2%	43.8%	37.5%	35.0%	45.2%	30.9%	35.0%	39.7%	39.0%	31.9%	35.7%	47.7%
Not sure	33.4%	33.4%	30.9%	28.5%	22.2%	32.2%	30.9%	33.6%	33.7%	36.2%	29.0%	39.1%	32.5%	16.7%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(998)	(355)	(254)	(281)	(211)	(345)	(318)	(190)	(227)	(346)	(235)	(366)	(409)	(126)

December 18-21 2010



6. Soundness of Time's Person of the Year decisions, Version A
Past Time magazine Persons of the Year include people like George W. Bush, Bill Clinton, Mikhail Gorbachev, Newt Gingrich, and Ted Turner. In general, do you think Time magazine makes good decisions about who it designates as Person of the Year? Asked of 50% of respondents

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Good decisions	44.0%	48.5%	44.8%	34.0%	46.2%	40.3%	30.2%	45.2%	42.9%	34.5%	51.2%	51.8%
Bad decisions	24.8%	22.5%	21.8%	39.7%	24.4%	24.4%	28.2%	28.7%	21.2%	28.5%	23.2%	20.4%
Not sure	31.3%	29.0%	33.4%	26.3%	29.4%	35.3%	41.7%	26.1%	36.0%	37.0%	25.6%	27.8%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (477)	100.0% (99)	100.0% (304)	100.0% (74)	100.0% (372)	100.0% (56)	100.0% (49)	100.0% (219)	100.0% (258)	100.0% (215)	100.0% (144)	100.0% (118)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	.
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Good decisions	44.0%	56.3%	40.7%	40.0%	53.9%	54.1%	39.1%	42.5%	44.2%	42.2%	47.1%	40.7%	48.0%	51.0%
Bad decisions	24.8%	17.8%	27.9%	29.2%	20.3%	20.1%	31.6%	17.0%	26.9%	26.5%	26.2%	23.9%	23.2%	23.2%
Not sure	31.3%	26.0%	31.4%	30.8%	25.8%	25.8%	29.2%	40.4%	28.8%	31.3%	26.7%	35.4%	28.8%	25.8%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(477)	(178)	(126)	(123)	(104)	(160)	(153)	(94)	(111)	(159)	(113)	(177)	(194)	(61)

December 18-21 2010



7. Soundness of Time's Person of the Year decisions, Version B In general, do you think Time magazine makes good decisions about who it designates as Person of the Year? Asked of 50% of respondents

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Good decisions	28.6%	35.7%	30.0%	12.1%	27.1%	37.6%	32.9%	28.2%	28.9%	21.2%	29.0%	40.7%
Bad decisions	25.2%	20.3%	26.4%	29.9%	26.6%	13.2%	25.6%	31.1%	20.0%	23.6%	24.6%	28.9%
Not sure	46.2%	44.0%	43.6%	58.0%	46.3%	49.2%	41.5%	40.7%	51.1%	55.2%	46.4%	30.5%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(522)	(141)	(303)	(78)	(416)	(55)	(51)	(247)	(275)	(224)	(179)	(119)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Good decisions	28.6%	44.5%	18.2%	27.6%	46.7%	35.0%	19.7%	42.5%	27.1%	23.5%	27.0%	26.0%	34.0%	30.7%
Bad decisions	25.2%	13.6%	42.9%	24.1%	15.7%	18.8%	40.5%	20.4%	21.1%	31.6%	23.1%	19.5%	24.2%	37.4%
Not sure	46.2%	41.9%	39.0%	48.3%	37.6%	46.1%	39.8%	37.1%	51.7%	44.8%	49.9%	54.5%	41.9%	31.8%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(522)	(178)	(128)	(157)	(107)	(184)	(167)	(98)	(115)	(187)	(122)	(191)	(214)	(65)

December 18-21 2010



8. Best candidate for Person of the Year

These individuals or groups were finalists for the title of Person of the Year. Who do you think deserves the title the most?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Facebook CEO												
Mark Zuckerberg	16.9%	25.7%	15.5%	7.2%	16.1%	24.5%	14.8%	15.1%	18.4%	15.8%	15.4%	20.4%
The Tea Party	23.1%	14.7%	22.8%	38.1%	26.5%	6.7%	12.4%	28.2%	18.6%	21.5%	21.6%	27.7%
WikiLeaks founder												
Julian Assange	8.4%	15.9%	6.3%	3.1%	8.7%	6.0%	8.3%	11.6%	5.4%	4.8%	12.0%	10.0%
Afghan President												
Hamid Karzai	0.5%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.9%	0.4%	0.7%	0.4%	1.2%	0.0%
The Chilean												
Miners	11.8%	5.7%	14.5%	12.6%	11.5%	13.4%	12.8%	9.5%	13.9%	11.2%	13.9%	10.3%
Apple CEO Steve												
Jobs	6.2%	8.7%	5.7%	4.2%	6.3%	7.2%	4.3%	7.2%	5.3%	4.5%	4.9%	10.7%
Other	6.6%	4.7%	5.9%	12.1%	6.5%	8.1%	5.5%	6.6%	6.6%	5.4%	7.8%	7.0%
Not sure	26.5%	22.7%	29.4%	22.7%	24.0%	34.2%	41.0%	21.4%	31.1%	36.4%	23.2%	14.0%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (998)	100.0% (240)	100.0% (607)	100.0% (151)	100.0% (788)	100.0% (110)	100.0% (100)	100.0% (466)	100.0% (532)	100.0% (438)	100.0% (323)	100.0% (237)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	,
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Facebook CEO														
Mark Zuckerberg	16.9%	24.6%	10.4%	15.2%	28.9%	18.5%	10.3%	20.7%	19.5%	15.1%	14.0%	16.8%	18.0%	16.7%
The Tea Party	23.1%	4.7%	52.9%	23.2%	4.4%	13.0%	52.6%	17.9%	22.8%	25.6%	23.8%	16.3%	26.4%	32.0%
WikiLeaks founder														
Julian Assange	8.4%	11.0%	1.2%	13.6%	22.8%	9.3%	1.7%	8.1%	8.2%	6.0%	12.1%	7.4%	8.3%	11.7%
Afghan President														
Hamid Karzai	0.5%	0.9%	0.4%	0.3%	0.9%	0.0%	0.7%	0.6%	1.0%	0.5%	0.2%	0.9%	0.5%	0.0%
The Chilean														
Miners	11.8%	15.9%	8.0%	12.2%	15.0%	14.8%	9.0%	13.2%	10.4%	13.2%	10.0%	10.5%	14.3%	10.1%
Apple CEO Steve														
Jobs	6.2%	7.8%	5.4%	5.4%	7.3%	8.3%	3.7%	7.7%	5.3%	5.6%	6.9%	4.4%	6.5%	12.7%
Other	6.6%	7.7%	5.3%	8.0%	6.1%	9.2%	5.8%	4.6%	6.8%	8.1%	5.7%	7.5%	6.0%	5.7%
Not sure	26.5%	27.5%	16.5%	22.2%	14.7%	26.8%	16.3%	27.3%	26.0%	25.9%	27.4%	36.2%	20.0%	11.1%

continued on the next page ...



							continued f	rom previous p	age					
			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fai	mily Income	е
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (998)	100.0% (355)	100.0% (253)	100.0% (281)	100.0% (210)	100.0% (344)	100.0% (320)	100.0% (192)	100.0% (226)	100.0% (345)	100.0% (235)	100.0% (367)	100.0% (408)	100.0% (126)

December 18-21 2010



9. Uncomfortable using this technology In general, how comfortable do you feel...

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Using computers	6.9%	7.5%	5.7%	10.2%	6.5%	8.5%	8.6%	7.3%	6.6%	7.1%	7.6%	5.7%
Using email	7.7%	7.2%	6.7%	12.3%	7.1%	11.1%	9.5%	8.3%	7.3%	8.8%	8.5%	5.0%
Using the internet	8.6%	7.5%	7.8%	13.1%	7.9%	12.9%	9.4%	7.4%	9.6%	9.0%	10.7%	5.4%
Totals	(1,000)	(240)	(608)	(152)	(789)	(111)	(100)	(467)	(533)	(440)	(323)	(237)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Using computers	6.9%	5.8%	8.5%	7.4%	9.2%	4.5%	8.1%	7.6%	8.5%	7.9%	3.5%	5.2%	7.4%	3.7%
Using email	7.7%	6.3%	10.4%	7.5%	9.1%	6.1%	9.5%	6.9%	7.7%	11.0%	3.7%	6.6%	7.5%	5.8%
Using the internet	8.6%	8.0%	9.6%	8.3%	10.4%	6.1%	9.2%	10.6%	10.7%	9.8%	3.2%	8.0%	8.2%	4.5%
Totals	(1,000)	(356)	(254)	(281)	(211)	(345)	(320)	(192)	(227)	(346)	(235)	(368)	(409)	(126)

December 18-21 2010



10. Comfortable using this technology In general, how comfortable do you feel...

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Using computers	86.7%	87.6%	88.0%	80.6%	87.5%	86.3%	80.1%	87.2%	86.3%	83.7%	88.0%	90.3%
Using email	87.0%	88.2%	88.3%	80.1%	88.3%	82.3%	80.1%	85.7%	88.1%	82.5%	86.7%	94.7%
Using the internet	85.0%	87.1%	86.3%	76.9%	86.2%	80.8%	78.6%	86.2%	83.9%	80.3%	85.0%	92.8%
Totals	(1,000)	(240)	(608)	(152)	(789)	(111)	(100)	(467)	(533)	(440)	(323)	(237)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Using computers	86.7%	89.7%	87.2%	84.6%	85.2%	91.3%	87.0%	84.9%	86.4%	86.2%	89.0%	86.7%	86.2%	94.2%
Using email	87.0%	90.1%	86.3%	85.1%	88.5%	90.5%	86.0%	86.8%	89.1%	83.4%	90.3%	87.5%	87.3%	92.4%
Using the internet	85.0%	86.2%	84.4%	86.8%	85.0%	89.9%	86.4%	82.6%	86.1%	83.4%	87.9%	80.8%	87.9%	94.5%
Totals	(1,000)	(356)	(254)	(281)	(211)	(345)	(320)	(192)	(227)	(346)	(235)	(368)	(409)	(126)

December 18-21 2010



11. Social networking use

How often do use online social networking Web sites like Facebook or MySpace?

			Age			Race		Gei	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Very often	32.7%	52.4%	29.8%	11.2%	32.4%	27.1%	43.3%	27.7%	37.3%	29.2%	34.3%	36.7%
Somewhat often	19.0%	21.4%	21.1%	8.1%	18.9%	21.3%	17.8%	19.7%	18.5%	17.8%	20.1%	19.9%
Not very often	23.2%	14.6%	22.7%	38.5%	23.6%	21.9%	20.7%	24.7%	21.8%	22.4%	23.6%	24.0%
Never	25.1%	11.7%	26.4%	42.2%	25.2%	29.7%	18.1%	28.0%	22.5%	30.6%	22.0%	19.4%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(998)	(239)	(608)	(151)	(787)	(111)	(100)	(466)	(532)	(438)	(323)	(237)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very often	32.7%	34.8%	32.6%	30.8%	40.2%	31.1%	29.8%	32.7%	30.6%	31.6%	36.4%	34.4%	32.3%	34.4%
Somewhat often	19.0%	20.3%	18.7%	18.8%	23.0%	17.8%	18.6%	20.3%	16.2%	19.8%	19.7%	21.2%	16.9%	21.1%
Not very often	23.2%	21.3%	24.9%	24.6%	20.1%	25.2%	24.2%	24.7%	24.6%	21.5%	23.2%	21.2%	25.7%	21.1%
Never	25.1%	23.6%	23.9%	25.7%	16.7%	25.9%	27.4%	22.4%	28.6%	27.2%	20.6%	23.3%	25.0%	23.4%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(998)	(356)	(253)	(280)	(211)	(345)	(319)	(192)	(227)	(344)	(235)	(367)	(409)	(125)

December 18-21 2010



12. Impact of social networking on own life
Have social networking Web sites like Facebook and MySpace had a positive or negative effect on your life?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Very positive	12.0%	13.3%	14.3%	2.2%	11.6%	13.9%	13.1%	9.9%	13.9%	9.7%	13.4%	14.2%
Somewhat positive	22.6%	36.5%	20.0%	9.0%	23.5%	11.4%	28.1%	22.0%	23.1%	17.7%	24.6%	28.3%
Neither positive,												
nor negative	49.9%	34.4%	49.9%	74.8%	49.6%	58.0%	42.1%	51.8%	48.2%	56.5%	46.9%	42.3%
Somewhat												
negative	6.2%	8.7%	5.5%	4.6%	6.6%	4.4%	4.3%	6.3%	6.1%	4.3%	7.4%	7.9%
Very negative	2.7%	1.9%	3.0%	2.6%	2.3%	2.5%	6.8%	2.6%	2.7%	3.9%	1.9%	1.5%
Not sure	6.7%	5.2%	7.3%	6.9%	6.4%	9.9%	5.6%	7.4%	6.0%	7.9%	5.7%	5.8%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(997)	(238)	(607)	(152)	(786)	(111)	(100)	(464)	(533)	(437)	(323)	(237)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income)
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very positive	12.0%	14.8%	11.1%	10.6%	15.3%	13.5%	8.7%	12.4%	9.9%	12.3%	13.3%	13.3%	10.8%	15.8%
Somewhat positive	22.6%	22.4%	21.3%	27.5%	29.5%	19.0%	24.6%	22.1%	22.1%	21.6%	24.9%	19.1%	24.5%	26.4%
Neither positive,														
nor negative	49.9%	47.3%	54.4%	47.0%	42.3%	53.2%	52.2%	51.2%	52.4%	49.8%	46.6%	54.9%	47.4%	44.0%
Somewhat														
negative	6.2%	7.2%	5.9%	5.5%	6.7%	6.9%	6.1%	6.9%	7.7%	3.4%	8.3%	3.9%	7.4%	6.3%
Very negative	2.7%	2.8%	1.5%	2.3%	1.8%	1.2%	2.9%	0.9%	2.3%	5.2%	0.7%	3.9%	1.5%	2.9%
Not sure	6.7%	5.4%	5.8%	7.1%	4.4%	6.3%	5.5%	6.5%	5.7%	7.7%	6.3%	4.9%	8.4%	4.5%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(997)	(355)	(253)	(280)	(210)	(344)	(320)	(191)	(227)	(344)	(235)	(366)	(408)	(126)

December 18-21 2010



13. Impact of social networking on society

Thinking about society in general, has the effect of social networking Web sites like Facebook and MySpace been positive or negative?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Very positive	11.2%	13.9%	12.3%	3.2%	10.1%	18.8%	12.3%	9.8%	12.5%	10.3%	11.3%	12.7%
Somewhat positive	26.0%	25.4%	27.9%	20.5%	27.0%	21.4%	23.0%	27.2%	24.9%	22.4%	25.8%	32.5%
Neither positive,												
nor negative	25.2%	24.9%	23.9%	30.2%	24.7%	25.9%	29.3%	22.2%	27.9%	29.9%	26.0%	16.4%
Somewhat												
negative	22.7%	23.0%	22.1%	24.0%	24.0%	16.0%	18.0%	26.1%	19.5%	17.8%	24.8%	28.2%
Very negative	7.6%	5.4%	7.5%	11.7%	7.8%	6.6%	7.1%	8.4%	6.9%	8.9%	5.7%	7.8%
Not sure	7.2%	7.4%	6.3%	10.4%	6.4%	11.3%	10.3%	6.2%	8.2%	10.7%	6.4%	2.4%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(999)	(239)	(608)	(152)	(788)	(111)	(100)	(467)	(532)	(439)	(323)	(237)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very positive	11.2%	16.3%	7.5%	9.4%	16.1%	12.0%	7.2%	11.5%	10.1%	11.4%	11.8%	12.3%	10.4%	14.9%
Somewhat positive	26.0%	26.6%	29.0%	26.1%	31.6%	23.9%	29.7%	26.1%	19.7%	28.5%	28.3%	20.7%	29.1%	35.5%
Neither positive,														
nor negative	25.2%	27.5%	21.4%	22.3%	17.6%	30.3%	20.8%	28.8%	27.2%	23.1%	23.8%	31.7%	22.9%	15.4%
Somewhat														
negative	22.7%	17.8%	28.7%	27.5%	23.8%	22.4%	28.5%	23.2%	30.5%	21.0%	17.4%	19.8%	24.0%	24.9%
Very negative	7.6%	6.5%	7.0%	9.0%	7.2%	7.7%	8.3%	4.4%	7.7%	8.3%	9.0%	7.3%	7.5%	5.3%
Not sure	7.2%	5.3%	6.5%	5.6%	3.7%	3.7%	5.6%	6.0%	4.8%	7.8%	9.7%	8.2%	6.1%	3.9%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(999)	(356)	(253)	(281)	(211)	(345)	(319)	(192)	(227)	(346)	(234)	(368)	(408)	(126)

December 18-21 2010



14. Payments for priority Web transmission

Should companies be allowed to pay Internet Service Providers so that the transmission times for Web content are faster for companies who pay and slower for companies who don't pay?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Yes	16.4%	18.1%	17.3%	10.6%	16.2%	16.5%	18.2%	20.2%	13.0%	14.5%	14.3%	22.3%
No	53.9%	55.0%	53.0%	55.5%	55.9%	43.5%	48.5%	57.5%	50.7%	46.9%	59.2%	59.7%
Not sure	29.6%	26.9%	29.7%	33.8%	27.9%	40.0%	33.2%	22.4%	36.2%	38.7%	26.5%	18.1%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (998)	100.0% (240)	100.0% (607)	100.0% (151)	100.0% (788)	100.0% (111)	100.0% (99)	100.0% (467)	100.0% (531)	100.0% (440)	100.0% (322)	100.0% (236)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	,
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Yes	16.4%	13.6%	22.3%	14.8%	13.0%	15.2%	23.3%	17.4%	17.9%	17.4%	12.8%	13.1%	19.7%	20.1%
No	53.9%	57.3%	52.8%	57.4%	67.4%	59.3%	50.3%	54.9%	53.4%	56.1%	50.7%	49.8%	55.4%	60.8%
Not sure	29.6%	29.1%	24.9%	27.8%	19.6%	25.5%	26.5%	27.7%	28.7%	26.5%	36.5%	37.1%	24.9%	19.1%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(998)	(356)	(253)	(281)	(210)	(345)	(320)	(192)	(226)	(345)	(235)	(366)	(409)	(126)

December 18-21 2010



15. A great deal or some trust in organizations to decide on regulation of Internet

How much do you trust the following organizations to decide how access to the Internet is controlled?

			Age		·	Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Internet Service												
Providers like												
AT&T, Comcast,												
and Verizon	36.4%	34.2%	34.2%	47.5%	36.3%	40.2%	32.5%	34.5%	38.1%	37.6%	36.9%	33.7%
Software												
companies like												
Microsoft or Apple	40.0%	36.5%	38.8%	49.6%	39.0%	49.6%	36.9%	38.8%	41.0%	41.5%	42.8%	34.0%
Web site												
companies like					0/	0 /	0 /		0/			0/
Google or Yahoo	40.3%	40.2%	40.3%	40.3%	39.9%	48.1%	34.5%	43.0%	37.9%	40.4%	43.9%	35.9%
The Federal												
Communications												
Commission (FCC)	34.1%	32.8%	33.6%	37.9%	33.2%	42.2%	32.5%	34.0%	34.2%	31.3%	34.0%	38.9%
(FCC) Republicans in	34.1%	32.070	33.0%	37.9%	33.270	42.270	32.5%	34.0%	34.2%	31.3%	34.0%	30.9%
Congress	17.8%	18.2%	17.8%	17.5%	17.9%	11.3%	25.2%	21.1%	15.0%	17.1%	18.7%	18.1%
Democrats in	17.070	10.270	17.070	11.5/0	17.5/0	11.5/0	25.270	21.1/0	13.070	17.1/0	10.770	10.170
Congress	20.2%	21.5%	19.9%	18.8%	18.3%	32.5%	22.4%	21.0%	19.4%	16.6%	21.8%	24.1%
Independent	23.270		23.370	20.070	20.070	32.370	,0	070	23.170	23.070	22.070	_1.170
Internet experts	42.6%	46.5%	41.9%	39.0%	43.9%	37.9%	36.9%	49.1%	36.8%	36.5%	46.6%	48.2%
Totals	(1,000)	(240)	(608)	(152)	(789)	(111)	(100)	(467)	(533)	(440)	(323)	(237)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income)
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Internet Service Providers like AT&T, Comcast, and Verizon Software companies like	36.4%	34.9%	48.0%	28.5%	27.9%	35.4%	47.6%	35.9%	40.3%	35.2%	34.9%	38.8%	33.9%	40.4%
Microsoft or Apple	40.0%	42.8%	43.9%	35.3%	35.5%	40.3%	47.4%	39.3%	42.8%	36.7%	42.5%	43.6%	39.7%	40.7%
						0	ontinued o	n the nevt nag	0					

continued on the next page . . .



							continued f	rom previous p	age					
			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	3
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Web site companies like														
Google or Yahoo The Federal Communications Commission	40.3%	43.7%	40.4%	37.2%	44.3%	40.6%	40.4%	40.1%	43.5%	37.4%	41.7%	43.8%	40.6%	38.9%
(FCC) Republicans in	34.1%	45.5%	27.4%	31.4%	46.4%	40.7%	25.1%	36.9%	34.3%	33.9%	32.1%	34.0%	34.7%	42.7%
Congress Democrats in	17.8%	12.4%	31.9%	14.6%	10.1%	15.9%	29.6%	18.9%	13.2%	18.8%	20.0%	17.0%	16.1%	27.8%
Congress Independent	20.2%	37.7%	7.6%	15.6%	40.2%	25.2%	8.5%	22.0%	18.7%	19.7%	20.8%	21.0%	20.7%	24.6%
Internet experts	42.6%	45.5%	43.2%	45.0%	52.9%	46.2%	44.4%	44.0%	38.1%	42.1%	46.7%	35.0%	46.8%	54.4%
Totals	(1,000)	(356)	(254)	(281)	(211)	(345)	(320)	(192)	(227)	(346)	(235)	(368)	(409)	(126)

December 18-21 2010



16. Only a little or no trust in organizations to decide on regulation of Internet

How much do you trust the following organizations to decide how access to the Internet is controlled?

			Age			Race		Gei	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Internet Service												
Providers like												
AT&T, Comcast,												
and Verizon	48.6%	47.5%	51.1%	41.6%	50.4%	38.3%	44.6%	54.6%	43.1%	40.0%	51.5%	59.5%
Software												
companies like												
Microsoft or Apple	44.7%	45.9%	45.6%	39.9%	47.5%	27.0%	41.0%	50.4%	39.7%	36.0%	44.8%	59.3%
Web site												
companies like			0/		.= .0/	04.40/		/		o= o0/		- 5 00/
Google or Yahoo	44.8%	41.8%	45.1%	48.9%	47.0%	31.1%	41.6%	46.0%	43.8%	37.0%	45.8%	56.8%
The Federal												
Communications												
Commission (FCC)	50.2%	47.0%	51.6%	51.0%	53.0%	35.1%	43.0%	57.0%	44.1%	46.2%	52.9%	53.9%
Republicans in	30.270	47.070	31.070	31.070	55.076	33.1/0	43.070	37.076	44.1/0	40.2/0	32.9/0	33.970
Congress	67.3%	60.1%	68.6%	74.5%	69.8%	61.4%	51.0%	68.3%	66.4%	61.1%	68.9%	75.7%
Democrats in	07.570	00.170	00.070	74.570	09.070	01.470	31.070	00.570	00.470	01.170	00.970	13.170
Congress	64.3%	57.9%	65.6%	70.0%	68.5%	42.4%	52.0%	67.8%	61.1%	61.0%	66.1%	67.6%
Independent	31.370	31.370	33.070	10.070	00.070	12.170	32.070	01.070	01.170	01.070	33.170	31.070
Internet experts	35.4%	30.6%	37.4%	36.0%	35.8%	31.6%	35.8%	35.4%	35.3%	33.8%	35.8%	37.5%
Totals	(1,000)	(240)	(608)	(152)	(789)	(111)	(100)	(467)	(533)	(440)	(323)	(237)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Internet Service Providers like AT&T, Comcast, and Verizon Software companies like	48.6%	50.0%	42.9%	56.1%	58.7%	54.2%	42.2%	46.8%	45.6%	52.6%	46.8%	43.0%	53.5%	49.9%
Microsoft or Apple	44.7%	41.3%	46.7%	51.0%	52.7%	48.0%	43.0%	42.9%	42.9%	50.8%	39.1%	37.9%	47.8%	48.3%

continued on the next page . .



							continued f	rom previous p	age					
			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	3
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Web site companies like														
Google or Yahoo The Federal Communications Commission	44.8%	41.3%	48.8%	49.6%	44.1%	48.6%	48.8%	42.2%	43.3%	50.8%	39.5%	39.9%	46.6%	51.1%
(FCC) Republicans in	50.2%	36.3%	63.4%	57.8%	39.4%	47.4%	65.8%	47.2%	49.6%	53.2%	48.8%	46.4%	53.3%	47.0%
Congress Democrats in	67.3%	70.9%	61.1%	74.2%	78.6%	71.6%	63.4%	64.5%	72.6%	67.4%	64.2%	63.5%	71.9%	62.9%
Congress Independent	64.3%	44.0%	83.5%	73.7%	47.6%	61.4%	83.3%	60.9%	65.7%	66.0%	63.0%	59.4%	67.3%	63.3%
Internet experts	35.4%	31.8%	41.6%	36.5%	29.1%	37.9%	39.1%	32.3%	37.5%	38.4%	31.1%	39.2%	33.9%	31.2%
Totals	(1,000)	(356)	(254)	(281)	(211)	(345)	(320)	(192)	(227)	(346)	(235)	(368)	(409)	(126)

December 18-21 2010



17. Knowledge of net neutrality
Are you familiar with the term "net neutrality"?

·			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Yes, I am familiar with "net												
neutrality." Yes, I've heard of it, but I don't	25.0%	29.3%	24.5%	19.5%	26.9%	15.5%	18.4%	36.6%	14.5%	15.1%	28.8%	37.1%
understand it. No. I have not	22.3%	24.7%	21.4%	21.7%	22.8%	18.2%	22.4%	25.1%	19.8%	16.8%	26.3%	26.8%
heard of it.	52.7%	46.0%	54.1%	58.8%	50.2%	66.3%	59.2%	38.3%	65.6%	68.1%	44.9%	36.1%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (998)	100.0% (238)	100.0% (608)	100.0% (152)	100.0% (788)	100.0% (110)	100.0% (100)	100.0% (465)	100.0% (533)	100.0% (438)	100.0% (323)	100.0% (237)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Yes, I am familiar with "net														
neutrality." Yes, I've heard of it, but I don't	25.0%	21.6%	26.9%	34.7%	41.1%	23.8%	26.4%	25.1%	24.6%	23.2%	28.0%	17.5%	28.0%	39.9%
understand it. No, I have not	22.3%	20.0%	26.2%	24.0%	22.0%	23.1%	27.1%	20.9%	22.6%	24.0%	20.7%	18.9%	24.6%	25.8%
heard of it.	52.7%	58.4%	46.9%	41.3%	37.0%	53.0%	46.5%	54.0%	52.9%	52.8%	51.4%	63.6%	47.4%	34.3%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (998)	100.0% (354)	100.0% (254)	100.0% (281)	100.0% (210)	100.0% (344)	100.0% (320)	100.0% (190)	100.0% (227)	100.0% (346)	100.0% (235)	100.0% (368)	100.0% (408)	100.0% (126)

December 18-21 2010



18. Support for net neutrality

"Net neutrality" is the principle that all Internet traffic should be treated equally. Supporters believe that it is necessary to ensure that the Internet remains free and open and that there is an even playing field for competition and innovation. Opponents believe that the ability to charge for priority Internet service will encourage investment in more advanced infrastructure for the Internet and could prevent the transmission of e-mail spam. Do you support or oppose the principle of "net neutrality"?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Strongly support	34.7%	37.1%	33.9%	33.6%	35.4%	34.5%	28.6%	38.2%	31.6%	29.9%	36.1%	41.1%
Somewhat support	21.8%	19.1%	22.4%	23.8%	22.6%	21.2%	14.3%	21.6%	21.9%	20.6%	24.1%	21.0%
Neither support,												
nor oppose	15.8%	19.8%	13.9%	15.6%	14.7%	15.5%	26.6%	14.5%	17.0%	18.3%	16.9%	10.2%
Somewhat oppose	7.0%	8.4%	6.7%	5.5%	7.2%	7.2%	4.6%	9.3%	4.9%	7.6%	5.0%	8.2%
Strongly oppose	7.9%	4.5%	9.8%	6.6%	8.5%	4.8%	5.9%	8.4%	7.3%	5.5%	8.0%	11.6%
Not sure	12.9%	10.9%	13.3%	14.9%	11.6%	16.9%	19.9%	8.0%	17.3%	18.1%	9.8%	7.9%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(1,000)	(240)	(608)	(152)	(789)	(111)	(100)	(467)	(533)	(440)	(323)	(237)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Strongly support	34.7%	44.6%	23.5%	39.3%	56.7%	36.8%	28.5%	32.3%	36.3%	34.2%	35.7%	32.2%	36.9%	40.1%
Somewhat support	21.8%	21.2%	24.0%	21.5%	20.7%	28.4%	19.9%	24.4%	24.6%	21.2%	18.0%	21.9%	22.9%	19.4%
Neither support,														
nor oppose	15.8%	15.8%	15.0%	15.4%	7.6%	16.5%	13.2%	16.9%	14.4%	15.4%	16.8%	20.6%	11.6%	10.5%
Somewhat oppose	7.0%	3.5%	13.2%	4.6%	4.3%	5.2%	10.9%	8.7%	6.3%	6.3%	7.3%	6.5%	8.2%	6.1%
Strongly oppose	7.9%	4.5%	13.1%	8.5%	2.6%	6.0%	15.2%	9.0%	7.4%	9.5%	4.9%	2.9%	10.7%	14.6%
Not sure	12.9%	10.4%	11.1%	10.7%	8.1%	7.1%	12.2%	8.6%	10.9%	13.4%	17.3%	15.9%	9.7%	9.4%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(1,000)	(356)	(254)	(281)	(211)	(345)	(320)	(192)	(227)	(346)	(235)	(368)	(409)	(126)

December 18-21 2010



19. Support the following pieces of legislation
Congress is considering some of the following policies. Which do you support?

			Age			Race		Gei	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Ratification of the START treaty to reduce American and Russian nuclear stockpiles The DREAM Act, to allow immigrant students who arrived illegally as	49.4%	45.9%	51.1%	49.3%	49.2%	48.4%	52.3%	53.8%	45.4%	39.4%	52.5%	62.4%
minors to apply for temporary legal status if enrolled in college or the military A ban on Members of Congress directing spending projects to their	38.3%	50.8%	33.8%	33.5%	36.6%	43.6%	48.1%	37.9%	38.6%	28.1%	43.0%	49.8%
own states or districts, known as "earmarks" Increase in government	60.5%	54.5%	62.3%	63.8%	62.8%	49.6%	52.2%	64.1%	57.2%	51.5%	62.9%	72.8%
regulation of food safety Repeal of the ban on internet	59.3%	53.6%	60.9%	63.1%	58.0%	72.9%	54.1%	56.3%	62.0%	55.7%	60.9%	63.3%
gambling	27.9%	33.0%	27.5%	21.3%	27.9%	28.7%	27.3%	32.9%	23.4%	25.5%	28.1%	31.8%
						contir	nued on the ne	ext page				



						conti	nued from pre	vious page				
			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Repeal of "Don't ask, don't tell" to allow openly gay men and women to serve in the military	48.2%	52.6%	47.5%	43.3%	48.0%	48.6%	48.9%	46.6%	49.6%	39.8%	50.5%	59.4%
Totals	(1,000)	(240)	(608)	(152)	(789)	(111)	(100)	(467)	(533)	(440)	(323)	(237)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	;
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Ratification of the START treaty to reduce American and Russian nuclear stockpiles The DREAM Act, to allow immigrant students who arrived illegally as	49.4%	68.9%	29.3%	55.6%	82.5%	61.1%	27.4%	55.3%	45.8%	49.7%	47.8%	43.2%	54.8%	57.5%
minors to apply for temporary legal status if enrolled in college or the military A ban on Members of Congress directing spending projects to their own states or	38.3%	58.0%	18.0%	42.3%	72.0%	46.2%	19.5%	33.8%	36.9%	39.4%	41.3%	34.7%	40.5%	45.1%
districts, known as "earmarks"	60.5%	56.9%	68.7%	66.5%	61.1%	62.6%	70.8%	61.5%	61.9%	57.6%	62.6%	51.9%	68.0%	66.7%
						С	ontinued o	n the next page	9					



							continued f	rom previous p	age					
			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income)
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Increase in government regulation of food														
safety Repeal of the ban on internet	59.3%	81.6%	37.6%	59.6%	84.9%	71.2%	39.0%	63.1%	61.8%	57.8%	56.2%	59.1%	61.7%	56.2%
gambling Repeal of "Don't ask, don't tell" to allow openly gay men and women to serve in the	27.9%	27.7%	26.5%	33.7%	29.8%	37.1%	25.2%	31.7%	26.3%	27.9%	26.7%	27.7%	30.5%	26.8%
military	48.2%	69.1%	28.6%	52.3%	83.7%	60.7%	22.7%	56.2%	49.7%	42.7%	48.7%	43.7%	52.5%	48.8%
Totals	(1,000)	(356)	(254)	(281)	(211)	(345)	(320)	(192)	(227)	(346)	(235)	(368)	(409)	(126)

December 18-21 2010



20. Oppose the following pieces of legislation Congress is considering some of the following policies. Which do you support?

			Age			Race		Gei	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Ratification of the START treaty to reduce American and Russian nuclear stockpiles The DREAM Act, to allow immigrant students who arrived illegally as	21.3%	19.6%	19.7%	29.6%	23.6%	14.0%	9.4%	26.6%	16.5%	23.9%	19.5%	19.2%
minors to apply for temporary legal status if enrolled in college or the military A ban on Members of Congress directing spending projects to their	44.8%	28.0%	49.9%	54.6%	48.3%	31.5%	28.1%	48.4%	41.6%	47.7%	43.8%	41.2%
own states or districts, known as "earmarks" Increase in government	17.3%	13.4%	16.2%	27.5%	17.2%	17.4%	18.2%	17.1%	17.6%	20.6%	13.4%	16.4%
regulation of food safety Repeal of the ban on internet	20.5%	18.1%	20.5%	24.4%	22.2%	9.1%	18.6%	24.0%	17.3%	19.1%	20.7%	22.6%
gambling	29.5%	23.2%	27.7%	46.1%	29.8%	27.5%	29.7%	28.8%	30.2%	28.5%	26.5%	34.8%
						contir	nued on the ne	ext page				



						conti	nued from pre	vious page				
			Age			Race	·		nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Repeal of "Don't ask, don't tell" to allow openly gay men and women to serve in the military	26.8%	23.6%	25.5%	36.4%	28.7%	17.1%	20.9%	32.8%	21.4%	27.5%	28.2%	24.1%
Totals	(1,000)	(240)	(608)	(152)	(789)	(111)	(100)	(467)	(533)	(440)	(323)	(237)

		Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fai	mily Income	;
Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
21.3%	4.9%	44.3%	23.0%	4.0%	12.3%	46.9%	14.7%	21.1%	25.6%	20.4%	18.8%	21.4%	24.6%
44.8%	23.4%	70.9%	45.6%	19.3%	35.3%	72.6%	46.6%	47.8%	42.0%	44.8%	39.4%	49.1%	45.7%
17.3%	18.1%	19.6%	14.9%	16.0%	16.6%	18.5%	15.9%	16.8%	19.1%	16.3%	20.1%	14.6%	17.0%
	21.3% 44.8%	21.3% 4.9% 44.8% 23.4%	Total Dem Rep 21.3% 4.9% 44.3% 44.8% 23.4% 70.9%	Total Dem Rep Ind 21.3% 4.9% 44.3% 23.0% 44.8% 23.4% 70.9% 45.6%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib 21.3% 4.9% 44.3% 23.0% 4.0% 44.8% 23.4% 70.9% 45.6% 19.3%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib Mod 21.3% 4.9% 44.3% 23.0% 4.0% 12.3% 44.8% 23.4% 70.9% 45.6% 19.3% 35.3%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib Mod Con 21.3% 4.9% 44.3% 23.0% 4.0% 12.3% 46.9% 44.8% 23.4% 70.9% 45.6% 19.3% 35.3% 72.6%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib Mod Con Northeast 21.3% 4.9% 44.3% 23.0% 4.0% 12.3% 46.9% 14.7% 44.8% 23.4% 70.9% 45.6% 19.3% 35.3% 72.6% 46.6%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib Mod Con Northeast Midwest 21.3% 4.9% 44.3% 23.0% 4.0% 12.3% 46.9% 14.7% 21.1% 44.8% 23.4% 70.9% 45.6% 19.3% 35.3% 72.6% 46.6% 47.8%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib Mod Con Northeast Midwest South 21.3% 4.9% 44.3% 23.0% 4.0% 12.3% 46.9% 14.7% 21.1% 25.6% 44.8% 23.4% 70.9% 45.6% 19.3% 35.3% 72.6% 46.6% 47.8% 42.0%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib Mod Con Northeast Midwest South West 21.3% 4.9% 44.3% 23.0% 4.0% 12.3% 46.9% 14.7% 21.1% 25.6% 20.4% 44.8% 23.4% 70.9% 45.6% 19.3% 35.3% 72.6% 46.6% 47.8% 42.0% 44.8%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib Mod Con Northeast Midwest South West Under 40 21.3% 4.9% 44.3% 23.0% 4.0% 12.3% 46.9% 14.7% 21.1% 25.6% 20.4% 18.8% 44.8% 23.4% 70.9% 45.6% 19.3% 35.3% 72.6% 46.6% 47.8% 42.0% 44.8% 39.4%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib Mod Con Northeast Midwest South West Under 40 40-100 21.3% 4.9% 44.3% 23.0% 4.0% 12.3% 46.9% 14.7% 21.1% 25.6% 20.4% 18.8% 21.4% 44.8% 23.4% 70.9% 45.6% 19.3% 35.3% 72.6% 46.6% 47.8% 42.0% 44.8% 39.4% 49.1%



							continued f	rom previous p	age					
			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Increase in government regulation of food														
safety Repeal of the ban on internet	20.5%	5.2%	38.8%	23.9%	3.9%	13.0%	42.8%	15.2%	20.4%	21.3%	23.5%	15.8%	22.2%	27.6%
gambling Repeal of "Don't ask, don't tell" to allow openly gay men and women to serve in the	29.5%	27.8%	35.2%	30.7%	27.5%	27.1%	37.9%	23.2%	35.1%	30.9%	27.1%	26.1%	32.1%	26.4%
military	26.8%	11.9%	49.6%	26.4%	6.4%	17.3%	55.2%	17.3%	25.9%	30.9%	28.8%	23.3%	30.6%	27.1%
Totals	(1,000)	(356)	(254)	(281)	(211)	(345)	(320)	(192)	(227)	(346)	(235)	(368)	(409)	(126)

December 18-21 2010



21. Expectations for full economic recovery

How long do you think it will take until the economy has recovered all the ground lost during the recession?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Has already												
recovered	0.6%	1.2%	0.4%	0.0%	0.2%	2.3%	1.9%	0.9%	0.3%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Less than a year	4.0%	8.7%	2.8%	0.5%	2.8%	9.4%	8.3%	5.4%	2.8%	4.8%	3.6%	3.1%
About a year	8.5%	11.5%	7.5%	7.0%	7.1%	14.8%	13.3%	9.2%	7.8%	7.1%	9.5%	9.5%
Two years	18.1%	18.9%	18.7%	14.6%	18.5%	19.9%	12.4%	16.6%	19.4%	15.2%	20.8%	19.8%
More than two												
years	68.9%	59.6%	70.6%	77.8%	71.4%	53.6%	64.1%	67.9%	69.7%	71.6%	66.1%	67.6%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (998)	100.0% (238)	100.0% (608)	100.0% (152)	100.0% (787)	100.0% (111)	100.0% (100)	100.0% (466)	100.0% (532)	100.0% (439)	100.0% (322)	100.0% (237)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Has already														
recovered	0.6%	1.2%	0.0%	0.5%	0.9%	0.5%	0.3%	1.9%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	0.8%	0.0%
Less than a year	4.0%	5.8%	3.4%	2.8%	4.9%	5.9%	2.3%	1.8%	4.2%	5.6%	3.2%	5.7%	3.1%	2.9%
About a year	8.5%	11.9%	5.6%	6.0%	12.9%	8.9%	4.3%	8.2%	6.0%	9.6%	9.4%	8.7%	8.5%	7.6%
Two years	18.1%	19.9%	17.5%	17.1%	21.7%	19.6%	16.6%	22.2%	15.6%	19.9%	14.7%	17.8%	17.0%	23.1%
More than two														
years	68.9%	61.2%	73.6%	73.7%	59.6%	65.0%	76.6%	65.8%	74.2%	64.3%	72.8%	67.2%	70.7%	66.4%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(998)	(356)	(254)	(279)	(211)	(344)	(320)	(192)	(226)	(345)	(235)	(367)	(409)	(126)

December 18-21 2010



22. Approval of tax-cut bill

Recently, Congress passed a bill with \$801 billion in tax-cuts and \$57 billion for extended unemployment insurance. Do you favor or oppose this bill?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Favor	44.9%	32.6%	50.3%	46.2%	43.0%	62.1%	41.0%	47.7%	42.3%	43.8%	41.9%	50.3%
Oppose	28.0%	28.6%	26.2%	33.6%	30.6%	12.6%	23.5%	30.2%	26.0%	22.7%	29.2%	35.5%
Not sure	27.1%	38.9%	23.6%	20.3%	26.4%	25.3%	35.5%	22.0%	31.6%	33.5%	28.9%	14.2%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (998)	100.0% (238)	100.0% (608)	100.0% (152)	100.0% (787)	100.0% (111)	100.0% (100)	100.0% (465)	100.0% (533)	100.0% (438)	100.0% (323)	100.0% (237)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Favor	44.9%	49.6%	51.0%	38.6%	47.9%	46.8%	48.8%	46.1%	49.5%	42.4%	43.3%	43.4%	47.6%	49.6%
Oppose	28.0%	28.1%	27.9%	33.6%	32.0%	27.2%	32.3%	25.1%	27.9%	29.6%	28.0%	18.9%	32.2%	37.1%
Not sure	27.1%	22.3%	21.1%	27.8%	20.2%	26.1%	18.9%	28.8%	22.6%	28.0%	28.7%	37.6%	20.2%	13.3%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (998)	100.0% (356)	100.0% (254)	100.0% (279)	100.0% (211)	100.0% (344)	100.0% (320)	100.0% (192)	100.0% (226)	100.0% (345)	100.0% (235)	100.0% (366)	100.0% (409)	100.0% (126)

December 18-21 2010



23. Impact of tax-cut bill on economy

Regardless of whether you favor or oppose the \$858 billion tax-cut bill passed by Congress, do you think it will help the economy?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Yes	39.9%	35.6%	41.8%	40.3%	39.7%	45.3%	35.5%	44.1%	36.1%	34.6%	42.8%	45.5%
No	32.3%	35.6%	28.9%	38.9%	33.8%	22.9%	30.4%	33.3%	31.5%	32.3%	31.3%	33.6%
Not sure	27.7%	28.7%	29.3%	20.8%	26.5%	31.7%	34.1%	22.6%	32.4%	33.2%	25.9%	20.9%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(996)	(238)	(607)	(151)	(786)	(111)	(99)	(466)	(530)	(437)	(322)	(237)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Yes	39.9%	41.1%	46.3%	38.7%	45.6%	40.4%	46.0%	37.9%	44.2%	38.9%	39.0%	37.5%	43.2%	46.7%
No	32.3%	32.9%	32.1%	34.6%	31.8%	32.2%	34.1%	28.9%	29.2%	37.6%	30.1%	30.9%	31.7%	32.1%
Not sure	27.7%	26.0%	21.5%	26.7%	22.6%	27.4%	19.9%	33.2%	26.6%	23.5%	30.9%	31.6%	25.1%	21.2%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(996)	(356)	(253)	(278)	(211)	(343)	(319)	(192)	(227)	(342)	(235)	(366)	(408)	(125)

December 18-21 2010



24. Impact of the tax-cut bill on job creation
Do you think the tax-cut bill will create new jobs in the U.S.?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Yes	23.0%	18.7%	23.9%	27.3%	22.6%	24.9%	25.1%	28.0%	18.6%	21.7%	22.4%	26.1%
No	44.6%	46.4%	43.6%	45.4%	48.4%	23.8%	34.9%	42.3%	46.7%	43.0%	43.3%	49.0%
Not sure	32.3%	34.9%	32.6%	27.4%	29.0%	51.3%	40.0%	29.7%	34.7%	35.4%	34.3%	25.0%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(994)	(238)	(605)	(151)	(785)	(111)	(98)	(462)	(532)	(437)	(321)	(236)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	Э
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Yes	23.0%	21.4%	31.4%	23.4%	16.1%	22.8%	33.8%	24.0%	22.5%	20.9%	26.0%	20.6%	23.8%	34.3%
No	44.6%	42.7%	43.2%	48.3%	52.0%	44.0%	44.3%	41.5%	46.4%	47.7%	40.9%	42.9%	44.4%	46.0%
Not sure	32.3%	36.0%	25.4%	28.3%	31.9%	33.2%	21.9%	34.5%	31.1%	31.4%	33.1%	36.5%	31.8%	19.7%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (994)	100.0% (353)	100.0% (253)	100.0% (280)	100.0% (209)	100.0% (343)	100.0% (320)	100.0% (192)	100.0% (225)	100.0% (342)	100.0% (235)	100.0% (364)	100.0% (409)	100.0% (126)

December 18-21 2010



25. These groups are concerned about issues affecting poor people How concerned are the following groups about issues that affect poor people?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
The Obama												
Administration	57.2%	55.5%	57.9%	57.6%	55.0%	72.4%	58.8%	59.5%	55.1%	52.6%	54.7%	67.8%
The Republican												
Party	36.6%	32.1%	38.2%	38.1%	38.2%	31.6%	27.8%	38.5%	34.8%	37.3%	35.4%	36.8%
The Democratic												
Party	56.8%	56.1%	57.6%	54.8%	54.2%	75.9%	56.7%	58.9%	54.9%	49.5%	55.1%	70.9%
Totals	(1,000)	(240)	(608)	(152)	(789)	(111)	(100)	(467)	(533)	(440)	(323)	(237)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	e
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
The Obama														
Administration	57.2%	77.7%	44.9%	55.0%	80.6%	69.2%	44.4%	64.8%	55.2%	53.7%	58.4%	55.2%	59.9%	62.5%
The Republican														
Party	36.6%	20.2%	68.6%	30.7%	13.1%	31.0%	63.6%	40.5%	37.9%	37.9%	30.4%	31.6%	38.6%	40.8%
The Democratic														
Party	56.8%	80.5%	44.0%	51.7%	84.8%	67.5%	43.0%	64.2%	54.7%	52.6%	59.2%	52.1%	61.5%	61.2%
Totals	(1,000)	(356)	(254)	(281)	(211)	(345)	(320)	(192)	(227)	(346)	(235)	(368)	(409)	(126)

December 18-21 2010



26. These groups are not concerned about issues affecting poor people

How concerned are the following groups about issues that affect poor people?

		Age				Race		Gender		Education			
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad	
The Obama													
Administration	31.5%	26.2%	33.3%	33.9%	33.7%	20.8%	24.3%	34.4%	28.9%	32.2%	32.4%	29.3%	
The Republican													
Party	51.3%	47.8%	51.9%	55.1%	49.8%	60.0%	55.1%	55.0%	48.1%	44.9%	51.7%	61.6%	
The Democratic													
Party	31.7%	25.3%	33.4%	36.0%	33.9%	18.8%	27.2%	34.9%	28.8%	34.2%	32.0%	27.0%	
Totals	(1,000)	(240)	(608)	(152)	(789)	(111)	(100)	(467)	(533)	(440)	(323)	(237)	

		Party ID			Ideology			Region				Family Income		
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
The Obama														
Administration	31.5%	15.1%	47.9%	37.8%	15.2%	25.2%	48.9%	21.8%	36.7%	35.9%	27.5%	28.4%	33.1%	34.1%
The Republican														
Party	51.3%	71.2%	24.4%	62.0%	83.5%	61.2%	30.5%	44.9%	54.4%	50.2%	55.0%	50.7%	53.4%	55.2%
The Democratic														
Party	31.7%	13.2%	48.5%	40.4%	12.1%	26.2%	50.2%	21.6%	37.5%	36.0%	27.6%	31.1%	31.6%	34.2%
Totals	(1,000)	(356)	(254)	(281)	(211)	(345)	(320)	(192)	(227)	(346)	(235)	(368)	(409)	(126)

December 18-21 2010



27. These groups are concerned about issues affecting middle class people How concerned are the following groups about issues that affect middle class people?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
The Obama												
Administration	51.7%	51.6%	52.7%	48.7%	48.5%	74.0%	54.1%	53.7%	49.9%	46.6%	49.4%	63.0%
The Republican												
Party	42.4%	44.8%	42.5%	38.2%	43.2%	41.2%	36.3%	46.4%	38.8%	41.7%	42.6%	43.3%
The Democratic												
Party	51.7%	54.4%	51.2%	49.4%	48.0%	74.1%	58.4%	54.0%	49.7%	45.0%	51.7%	63.0%
Totals	(1,000)	(240)	(608)	(152)	(789)	(111)	(100)	(467)	(533)	(440)	(323)	(237)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	e
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
The Obama														
Administration	51.7%	74.2%	32.0%	51.0%	82.6%	62.0%	32.7%	56.6%	54.6%	45.6%	54.3%	49.8%	53.0%	59.3%
The Republican														
Party	42.4%	28.8%	69.4%	38.8%	22.7%	35.7%	67.6%	43.2%	46.1%	43.5%	36.7%	36.5%	45.2%	50.1%
The Democratic														
Party	51.7%	75.9%	33.3%	48.6%	81.6%	62.8%	33.5%	56.8%	53.1%	46.3%	54.6%	49.5%	54.0%	56.4%
Totals	(1,000)	(356)	(254)	(281)	(211)	(345)	(320)	(192)	(227)	(346)	(235)	(368)	(409)	(126)

December 18-21 2010



28. These groups are not concerned about issues affecting middle class people

How concerned are the following groups about issues that affect middle class people?

			Age			Race		Gei	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
The Obama												
Administration	36.2%	30.9%	37.2%	41.0%	39.0%	17.7%	32.4%	38.9%	33.7%	36.0%	38.4%	33.8%
The Republican												
Party	45.1%	37.1%	46.6%	52.9%	43.9%	48.9%	51.2%	46.7%	43.6%	39.3%	45.2%	54.6%
The Democratic												
Party	35.9%	27.9%	38.3%	40.4%	39.1%	17.4%	29.0%	39.0%	33.1%	36.7%	35.9%	34.5%
Totals	(1,000)	(240)	(608)	(152)	(789)	(111)	(100)	(467)	(533)	(440)	(323)	(237)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
The Obama														
Administration	36.2%	16.2%	60.6%	41.1%	13.4%	31.4%	58.5%	29.4%	35.0%	42.8%	32.6%	32.8%	38.5%	37.3%
The Republican														
Party	45.1%	60.9%	23.8%	53.2%	72.6%	57.0%	24.6%	42.3%	44.3%	43.4%	50.4%	44.7%	46.8%	46.0%
The Democratic														
Party	35.9%	14.8%	59.5%	42.7%	14.1%	31.2%	57.5%	28.8%	37.3%	41.4%	31.9%	32.0%	38.6%	38.8%
Totals	(1,000)	(356)	(254)	(281)	(211)	(345)	(320)	(192)	(227)	(346)	(235)	(368)	(409)	(126)

December 18-21 2010



29. These groups are concerned about issues affecting wealthy people How concerned are the following groups about issues that affect wealthy people?

			Age			Race		Gei	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
The Obama												
Administration	48.6%	47.9%	49.3%	47.2%	49.1%	50.4%	41.4%	49.3%	47.9%	46.3%	50.7%	49.8%
The Republican												
Party	76.9%	72.4%	78.3%	79.2%	77.8%	79.4%	64.6%	82.1%	72.1%	67.2%	80.1%	89.3%
The Democratic												
Party	47.7%	45.9%	48.2%	48.7%	48.7%	46.9%	39.3%	48.5%	46.9%	47.2%	49.0%	46.9%
Totals	(1,000)	(240)	(608)	(152)	(789)	(111)	(100)	(467)	(533)	(440)	(323)	(237)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
The Obama														
Administration	48.6%	55.8%	42.3%	50.5%	59.3%	56.9%	40.6%	51.1%	52.5%	46.1%	46.5%	46.0%	54.1%	42.9%
The Republican														
Party	76.9%	84.7%	75.7%	80.9%	90.7%	84.1%	77.4%	76.7%	79.0%	74.1%	79.1%	68.2%	84.8%	80.1%
The Democratic														
Party	47.7%	52.6%	43.2%	50.4%	51.8%	56.7%	42.3%	50.2%	48.7%	47.4%	45.3%	46.3%	53.6%	35.2%
Totals	(1,000)	(356)	(254)	(281)	(211)	(345)	(320)	(192)	(227)	(346)	(235)	(368)	(409)	(126)

December 18-21 2010



30. These groups are not concerned about issues affecting wealthy people

How concerned are the following groups about issues that affect wealthy people?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
The Obama												
Administration	40.0%	35.5%	40.3%	46.5%	40.4%	37.3%	39.9%	43.5%	36.9%	36.8%	37.5%	48.3%
The Republican												
Party	12.5%	10.9%	12.3%	15.7%	12.2%	10.9%	17.6%	11.4%	13.4%	16.3%	10.1%	8.8%
The Democratic												
Party	41.1%	37.9%	41.6%	44.7%	40.8%	42.9%	42.0%	44.7%	37.9%	35.6%	39.7%	52.1%
Totals	(1,000)	(240)	(608)	(152)	(789)	(111)	(100)	(467)	(533)	(440)	(323)	(237)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
The Obama														
Administration	40.0%	34.9%	52.2%	43.7%	36.2%	37.7%	53.8%	36.7%	38.8%	42.0%	40.7%	36.9%	38.6%	52.9%
The Republican														
Party	12.5%	9.2%	16.9%	13.3%	5.9%	11.1%	16.5%	11.0%	14.4%	13.2%	10.7%	16.6%	7.8%	14.3%
The Democratic														
Party	41.1%	39.5%	50.6%	43.4%	44.0%	38.7%	51.6%	37.9%	43.3%	40.4%	42.5%	36.7%	39.3%	61.1%
Totals	(1,000)	(356)	(254)	(281)	(211)	(345)	(320)	(192)	(227)	(346)	(235)	(368)	(409)	(126)

December 18-21 2010



31. Party leaders inspire quite a bit or a great deal of confidence How much confidence do you have in the leaders of...

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
The Republicans in Congress The Democrats in	18.7%	19.0%	17.2%	23.6%	20.2%	12.2%	13.1%	20.8%	16.8%	19.8%	17.7%	18.2%
Congress	17.7%	20.2%	16.9%	16.6%	13.2%	51.1%	17.9%	16.1%	19.2%	17.5%	20.2%	15.2%
Totals	(1,000)	(240)	(608)	(152)	(789)	(111)	(100)	(467)	(533)	(440)	(323)	(237)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	3
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
The Republicans in Congress The Democrats in	18.7%	4.6%	44.5%	15.6%	2.9%	11.2%	40.9%	19.0%	13.9%	24.1%	15.2%	18.3%	18.6%	23.1%
Congress	17.7%	40.7%	0.7%	10.2%	39.1%	20.8%	5.6%	18.2%	16.3%	18.6%	17.5%	21.5%	16.4%	13.8%
Totals	(1,000)	(356)	(254)	(281)	(211)	(345)	(320)	(192)	(227)	(346)	(235)	(368)	(409)	(126)

December 18-21 2010



32. Party leaders inspire very little or no confidence How much confidence do you have in the leaders of...

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
The Republicans in Congress The Democrats in	51.5%	44.7%	53.1%	57.2%	49.5%	66.1%	52.1%	52.2%	50.9%	47.1%	53.7%	56.3%
Congress	52.7%	41.6%	55.5%	60.9%	57.5%	21.2%	47.1%	58.7%	47.3%	52.0%	53.4%	53.0%
Totals	(1,000)	(240)	(608)	(152)	(789)	(111)	(100)	(467)	(533)	(440)	(323)	(237)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
The Republicans in Congress The Democrats in	51.5%	75.0%	19.0%	59.7%	86.2%	62.9%	23.9%	50.8%	58.0%	47.8%	51.4%	49.3%	52.7%	51.8%
Congress	52.7%	19.2%	87.7%	64.2%	22.6%	43.9%	83.5%	46.1%	56.7%	53.0%	53.5%	46.1%	54.5%	61.7%
Totals	(1,000)	(356)	(254)	(281)	(211)	(345)	(320)	(192)	(227)	(346)	(235)	(368)	(409)	(126)

December 18-21 2010



33. Favorability rating of John Boehner, Version A

Do you have a favorable or an unfavorable opinion of John Boehner? Asked of respondents shown an unemotional picture of John Boehner (see appendix)

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Very favorable	9.7%	4.9%	8.5%	23.5%	11.2%	1.9%	4.2%	10.2%	9.3%	10.0%	10.7%	7.9%
Somewhat												
favorable	19.8%	18.5%	20.5%	19.5%	22.8%	7.4%	4.3%	26.7%	13.4%	16.1%	16.3%	31.2%
Somewhat												
unfavorable	12.7%	13.3%	12.4%	12.6%	11.4%	22.4%	15.1%	14.2%	11.3%	12.3%	13.5%	12.2%
Very unfavorable	22.0%	16.2%	26.1%	18.1%	20.1%	37.5%	25.0%	23.0%	21.2%	14.3%	24.1%	33.1%
Not sure	35.8%	47.0%	32.6%	26.3%	34.6%	30.8%	51.4%	25.9%	44.9%	47.3%	35.3%	15.6%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(486)	(124)	(296)	(66)	(391)	(44)	(51)	(228)	(258)	(215)	(165)	(106)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	on		Fa	mily Income	÷
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very favorable	9.7%	0.5%	27.0%	6.9%	2.1%	3.4%	24.8%	9.1%	7.5%	10.5%	11.3%	7.4%	10.0%	17.6%
Somewhat														
favorable	19.8%	7.8%	36.0%	23.1%	6.3%	19.0%	36.1%	24.0%	20.1%	17.8%	18.8%	12.6%	22.1%	32.3%
Somewhat														
unfavorable	12.7%	15.0%	8.4%	16.3%	12.0%	20.9%	7.8%	12.5%	16.8%	14.1%	7.0%	14.7%	10.7%	9.0%
Very unfavorable	22.0%	43.0%	2.0%	23.6%	54.8%	26.8%	3.9%	21.2%	21.3%	17.8%	29.2%	14.9%	28.1%	29.2%
Not sure	35.8%	33.7%	26.5%	30.2%	24.9%	29.8%	27.4%	33.2%	34.3%	39.7%	33.7%	50.3%	29.1%	12.0%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(486)	(173)	(128)	(136)	(100)	(175)	(151)	(103)	(111)	(152)	(120)	(169)	(203)	(65)

December 18-21 2010



34. Favorability rating of John Boehner, Version B

Do you have a favorable or an unfavorable opinion of John Boehner? Asked of respondents shown an emotional picture of John Boehner (see appendix)

			Age			Race		Gei	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Very favorable	9.0%	2.1%	10.4%	14.2%	10.0%	3.3%	8.5%	11.3%	7.0%	8.8%	11.6%	6.7%
Somewhat												
favorable	16.4%	11.2%	15.6%	26.2%	18.9%	2.8%	12.9%	21.5%	11.9%	15.4%	12.7%	21.7%
Somewhat												
unfavorable	14.7%	21.7%	14.3%	6.1%	14.6%	13.2%	18.2%	16.6%	13.0%	13.8%	15.9%	15.0%
Very unfavorable	21.5%	20.5%	20.9%	24.7%	21.7%	23.9%	16.0%	26.0%	17.5%	12.4%	21.0%	36.2%
Not sure	38.4%	44.6%	38.8%	28.8%	34.9%	56.7%	44.5%	24.6%	50.5%	49.7%	38.9%	20.4%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(511)	(114)	(312)	(85)	(395)	(67)	(49)	(236)	(275)	(223)	(157)	(131)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	on		Fai	mily Income	Э
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very favorable	9.0%	2.5%	20.0%	7.8%	1.6%	4.1%	21.6%	7.1%	4.8%	11.1%	11.1%	6.2%	9.6%	13.6%
Somewhat														
favorable	16.4%	3.1%	34.2%	20.7%	0.7%	11.6%	34.8%	11.6%	21.4%	18.0%	12.5%	11.8%	20.5%	18.9%
Somewhat														
unfavorable	14.7%	21.7%	7.3%	17.3%	22.0%	20.4%	9.4%	22.5%	14.2%	12.5%	12.9%	12.1%	15.9%	15.3%
Very unfavorable	21.5%	33.5%	3.8%	29.4%	50.2%	26.3%	6.6%	20.7%	20.3%	22.2%	22.1%	16.0%	24.1%	32.0%
Not sure	38.4%	39.2%	34.8%	24.8%	25.6%	37.5%	27.6%	38.1%	39.4%	36.1%	41.4%	53.9%	29.9%	20.1%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(511)	(183)	(126)	(142)	(110)	(170)	(168)	(88)	(116)	(193)	(114)	(198)	(205)	(60)

December 18-21 2010



35. Favorability rating of George W. Bush, Version A

Do you have a favorable or an unfavorable opinion of George W. Bush? Asked of respondents shown an unemotional picture of George W. Bush (see appendix)

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Very favorable	18.0%	20.2%	15.8%	21.9%	19.6%	1.1%	24.5%	20.8%	15.5%	16.2%	17.3%	21.9%
Somewhat												
favorable	20.9%	14.7%	23.9%	21.5%	22.2%	13.9%	17.4%	22.0%	19.9%	22.8%	23.8%	14.2%
Somewhat												
unfavorable	17.1%	18.1%	15.9%	19.5%	16.3%	17.9%	25.4%	15.5%	18.6%	17.1%	16.4%	18.0%
Very unfavorable	36.9%	37.7%	37.2%	34.4%	35.2%	53.7%	30.9%	37.0%	36.7%	33.9%	36.6%	42.4%
Not sure	7.1%	9.2%	7.3%	2.6%	6.7%	13.4%	1.8%	4.6%	9.3%	9.9%	5.9%	3.6%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(512)	(128)	(309)	(75)	(408)	(56)	(48)	(235)	(277)	(225)	(166)	(121)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very favorable	18.0%	3.9%	44.8%	16.0%	2.0%	11.2%	43.2%	13.0%	21.9%	18.8%	17.4%	14.6%	18.8%	24.3%
Somewhat														
favorable	20.9%	9.7%	34.6%	23.9%	3.1%	21.5%	31.9%	16.3%	20.6%	24.6%	19.8%	22.8%	22.1%	13.4%
Somewhat														
unfavorable	17.1%	22.5%	8.6%	16.5%	17.9%	18.7%	10.6%	15.1%	18.4%	16.0%	19.0%	18.3%	17.8%	18.3%
Very unfavorable	36.9%	58.8%	8.0%	40.9%	75.0%	45.4%	10.2%	43.5%	33.1%	33.5%	39.7%	35.2%	34.8%	41.6%
Not sure	7.1%	5.1%	4.0%	2.7%	2.0%	3.2%	4.0%	12.0%	6.1%	7.1%	4.1%	9.0%	6.5%	2.3%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(512)	(190)	(130)	(136)	(109)	(173)	(160)	(102)	(109)	(177)	(124)	(189)	(212)	(62)

December 18-21 2010



36. Favorability rating of George W. Bush, Version B

Do you have a favorable or an unfavorable opinion of George W. Bush? Asked of respondents shown an emotional picture of George W. Bush (see appendix)

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Very favorable	17.7%	9.7%	18.6%	26.5%	20.3%	1.6%	14.6%	17.9%	17.4%	20.1%	17.7%	13.8%
Somewhat												
favorable	23.5%	27.9%	22.6%	19.7%	25.1%	18.3%	16.3%	25.9%	21.4%	21.8%	22.7%	27.2%
Somewhat												
unfavorable	16.3%	20.5%	15.2%	13.8%	16.8%	13.6%	15.6%	15.4%	17.2%	15.9%	15.2%	18.4%
Very unfavorable	38.2%	40.5%	36.9%	39.1%	35.2%	60.3%	37.9%	37.7%	38.6%	34.5%	42.1%	39.5%
Not sure	4.3%	1.3%	6.6%	0.9%	2.6%	6.1%	15.6%	3.1%	5.4%	7.7%	2.3%	1.1%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(488)	(112)	(299)	(77)	(381)	(55)	(52)	(232)	(256)	(215)	(157)	(116)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	Э
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very favorable	17.7%	3.8%	41.0%	14.8%	2.4%	9.9%	39.3%	14.9%	13.3%	22.0%	17.3%	14.6%	19.3%	23.4%
Somewhat														
favorable	23.5%	9.9%	40.9%	23.5%	7.0%	18.9%	35.9%	23.7%	23.8%	23.9%	22.3%	19.5%	23.5%	30.3%
Somewhat														
unfavorable	16.3%	19.2%	12.2%	20.2%	14.4%	23.3%	11.7%	16.8%	20.9%	13.8%	15.5%	18.2%	16.6%	16.0%
Very unfavorable	38.2%	65.6%	3.8%	38.2%	75.1%	45.0%	12.2%	39.9%	40.4%	37.2%	36.3%	41.3%	37.6%	29.3%
Not sure	4.3%	1.6%	2.1%	3.4%	1.0%	2.9%	0.9%	4.7%	1.5%	3.1%	8.6%	6.4%	2.9%	1.1%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(488)	(166)	(124)	(145)	(102)	(172)	(160)	(90)	(118)	(169)	(111)	(179)	(197)	(64)

December 18-21 2010



37. Favorability rating of Bill Clinton, Version A

Do you have a favorable or an unfavorable opinion of Bill Clinton? Asked of respondents shown an unemotional picture of Bill Clinton (see appendix)

			Age			Race		Gei	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Very favorable	32.7%	29.0%	35.0%	30.5%	28.3%	64.0%	35.9%	32.9%	32.6%	30.5%	36.3%	32.1%
Somewhat												
favorable	26.7%	27.6%	27.0%	24.5%	26.3%	23.4%	34.5%	26.4%	26.9%	26.0%	24.7%	30.2%
Somewhat												
unfavorable	18.4%	17.0%	19.5%	16.9%	20.8%	2.8%	15.5%	19.9%	17.2%	17.7%	19.3%	18.6%
Very unfavorable	17.2%	18.2%	14.3%	25.4%	19.8%	5.5%	6.6%	18.7%	15.9%	18.3%	15.9%	16.8%
Not sure	5.0%	8.3%	4.3%	2.6%	4.8%	4.3%	7.5%	2.2%	7.3%	7.4%	3.8%	2.3%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(497)	(110)	(312)	(75)	(395)	(54)	(48)	(228)	(269)	(220)	(163)	(114)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	Э
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very favorable	32.7%	56.9%	4.6%	33.1%	60.6%	46.4%	11.2%	32.6%	28.1%	34.6%	35.0%	36.1%	29.5%	34.1%
Somewhat														
favorable	26.7%	28.0%	21.0%	29.8%	29.7%	28.3%	21.2%	36.6%	29.7%	21.4%	22.9%	26.1%	27.7%	27.7%
Somewhat														
unfavorable	18.4%	9.5%	36.8%	11.9%	7.2%	14.5%	28.2%	14.9%	15.4%	21.9%	19.4%	17.9%	19.7%	17.9%
Very unfavorable	17.2%	2.7%	33.9%	21.9%	1.5%	8.6%	36.2%	12.5%	22.3%	15.9%	17.7%	14.1%	19.3%	17.9%
Not sure	5.0%	3.0%	3.7%	3.3%	1.1%	2.1%	3.2%	3.4%	4.5%	6.2%	4.9%	5.8%	3.9%	2.4%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(497)	(184)	(130)	(136)	(92)	(178)	(162)	(100)	(120)	(169)	(108)	(181)	(213)	(58)

December 18-21 2010



38. Favorability rating of Bill Clinton, Version B

Do you have a favorable or an unfavorable opinion of Bill Clinton? Asked of respondents shown an emotional picture of Bill Clinton (see appendix)

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Very favorable	28.8%	26.2%	31.1%	25.4%	25.9%	39.0%	41.7%	26.3%	31.1%	25.5%	31.1%	31.6%
Somewhat												
favorable	32.1%	32.5%	31.3%	34.0%	32.9%	36.9%	19.0%	32.9%	31.4%	27.9%	35.8%	35.0%
Somewhat												
unfavorable	15.2%	16.1%	14.5%	16.1%	17.8%	3.1%	7.6%	15.8%	14.7%	15.2%	15.1%	15.5%
Very unfavorable	18.2%	18.1%	16.7%	23.6%	18.5%	14.9%	19.4%	21.9%	14.6%	22.1%	14.8%	15.5%
Not sure	5.7%	7.1%	6.3%	0.9%	4.9%	6.2%	12.3%	3.0%	8.2%	9.4%	3.2%	2.4%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(501)	(129)	(295)	(77)	(392)	(57)	(52)	(237)	(264)	(218)	(160)	(123)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	Э
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very favorable	28.8%	52.8%	12.2%	22.1%	52.0%	37.2%	9.7%	26.7%	29.3%	32.1%	25.0%	29.9%	30.8%	21.9%
Somewhat														
favorable	32.1%	37.6%	17.2%	42.8%	41.9%	38.6%	20.6%	30.0%	33.7%	27.7%	38.5%	32.2%	34.6%	30.4%
Somewhat														
unfavorable	15.2%	6.3%	27.5%	15.5%	3.2%	12.3%	28.6%	21.6%	16.0%	13.8%	12.4%	16.5%	13.2%	15.2%
Very unfavorable	18.2%	1.3%	41.8%	16.0%	1.7%	10.8%	39.8%	10.2%	16.6%	22.6%	18.4%	12.3%	17.1%	32.5%
Not sure	5.7%	1.9%	1.3%	3.6%	1.3%	1.1%	1.4%	11.6%	4.3%	3.8%	5.8%	9.1%	4.2%	0.0%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(501)	(172)	(124)	(144)	(119)	(167)	(157)	(91)	(107)	(176)	(127)	(185)	(196)	(68)

December 18-21 2010



39. Favorability rating of Hillary Clinton, Version A

Do you have a favorable or an unfavorable opinion of Hillary Clinton? Asked of respondents shown an unemotional picture of Hillary Clinton (see appendix)

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Very favorable	26.1%	21.5%	27.4%	28.1%	21.1%	53.8%	38.1%	18.2%	32.8%	26.8%	27.8%	22.5%
Somewhat												
favorable	29.5%	26.0%	31.3%	28.1%	28.4%	30.5%	39.6%	27.8%	31.0%	29.7%	24.8%	35.1%
Somewhat												
unfavorable	17.7%	21.6%	18.3%	10.0%	20.5%	3.6%	8.7%	19.7%	16.0%	12.3%	21.8%	21.8%
Very unfavorable	21.2%	23.5%	16.9%	32.6%	24.6%	6.0%	8.3%	29.1%	14.4%	22.2%	22.2%	18.3%
Not sure	5.5%	7.5%	6.0%	1.1%	5.5%	6.1%	5.3%	5.3%	5.7%	9.0%	3.3%	2.3%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(469)	(107)	(288)	(74)	(367)	(56)	(46)	(210)	(259)	(206)	(157)	(106)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very favorable	26.1%	48.2%	5.4%	18.0%	49.4%	31.4%	6.7%	26.5%	30.6%	24.9%	23.3%	33.0%	22.6%	24.6%
Somewhat														
favorable	29.5%	36.1%	18.2%	33.5%	33.0%	33.9%	21.6%	24.6%	28.3%	25.5%	39.9%	31.8%	31.0%	22.7%
Somewhat														
unfavorable	17.7%	9.2%	33.5%	17.9%	12.4%	15.2%	27.6%	22.1%	14.9%	18.1%	16.7%	11.8%	23.6%	12.5%
Very unfavorable	21.2%	3.6%	40.8%	28.6%	4.2%	18.2%	40.9%	15.6%	21.7%	25.7%	17.8%	15.8%	18.8%	38.1%
Not sure	5.5%	2.9%	2.1%	2.0%	1.0%	1.2%	3.4%	11.2%	4.5%	5.8%	2.2%	7.6%	3.9%	2.0%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(469)	(168)	(114)	(135)	(101)	(169)	(142)	(83)	(102)	(170)	(114)	(178)	(193)	(55)

December 18-21 2010



40. Favorability rating of Hillary Clinton, Version B

Do you have a favorable or an unfavorable opinion of Hillary Clinton? Asked of respondents shown an emotional picture of Hillary Clinton (see appendix)

			Age			Race		Gei	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Very favorable	21.7%	15.5%	23.7%	25.7%	20.9%	27.6%	21.3%	18.7%	24.5%	17.1%	23.8%	26.6%
Somewhat												
favorable	33.4%	33.6%	33.1%	34.4%	31.4%	43.2%	40.6%	36.4%	30.7%	32.0%	36.2%	32.8%
Somewhat												
unfavorable	16.5%	17.7%	16.1%	15.6%	18.6%	7.2%	8.1%	16.0%	17.0%	16.7%	14.5%	18.3%
Very unfavorable	20.1%	18.9%	20.3%	21.8%	21.6%	15.0%	12.8%	24.1%	16.4%	20.1%	21.5%	18.7%
Not sure	8.3%	14.2%	6.8%	2.5%	7.4%	7.0%	17.3%	4.9%	11.4%	14.1%	4.1%	3.6%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(528)	(133)	(318)	(77)	(420)	(54)	(54)	(255)	(273)	(231)	(166)	(131)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very favorable	21.7%	44.4%	5.0%	16.4%	48.6%	28.7%	7.4%	17.7%	21.0%	24.3%	21.9%	21.8%	21.4%	22.4%
Somewhat														
favorable	33.4%	43.7%	15.4%	44.9%	35.4%	49.9%	21.7%	40.1%	33.5%	29.9%	32.9%	31.9%	36.9%	32.4%
Somewhat														
unfavorable	16.5%	2.9%	31.7%	17.6%	8.5%	11.5%	25.7%	17.4%	19.8%	15.9%	13.6%	16.9%	17.2%	17.5%
Very unfavorable	20.1%	6.5%	43.2%	15.6%	5.3%	7.4%	43.4%	13.1%	23.4%	20.6%	22.2%	18.5%	18.9%	26.1%
Not sure	8.3%	2.6%	4.7%	5.6%	2.2%	2.6%	1.9%	11.7%	2.4%	9.4%	9.4%	10.9%	5.6%	1.6%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(528)	(186)	(140)	(146)	(109)	(175)	(178)	(108)	(124)	(175)	(121)	(189)	(215)	(71)

December 18-21 2010



41. Important characteristic when evaluating elected officials

When considering how you evaluate elected officials, how important is it that the person is...

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Strong	88.4%	84.7%	88.8%	93.0%	89.4%	88.1%	79.2%	88.6%	88.2%	82.9%	91.9%	93.6%
Manly (if male) Feminine (if	38.3%	33.9%	38.5%	44.9%	37.2%	51.6%	32.0%	42.3%	34.7%	43.1%	37.4%	31.3%
female) In touch with their	31.7%	25.9%	31.5%	41.6%	31.1%	42.6%	22.7%	31.9%	31.4%	36.5%	31.1%	24.2%
feelings	63.7%	59.1%	62.2%	76.3%	62.5%	75.3%	60.6%	58.7%	68.2%	65.2%	65.4%	59.2%
Dedicated	92.6%	87.7%	92.8%	99.4%	94.3%	89.8%	79.3%	93.6%	91.6%	87.9%	95.9%	96.4%
Emotionally stable	90.6%	84.6%	91.7%	96.4%	92.0%	91.1%	76.6%	91.3%	89.9%	85.3%	92.5%	97.3%
Totals	(1,000)	(240)	(608)	(152)	(789)	(111)	(100)	(467)	(533)	(440)	(323)	(237)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Strong	88.4%	89.7%	94.9%	88.1%	91.6%	90.6%	94.2%	87.9%	88.1%	88.8%	88.6%	85.0%	91.2%	91.8%
Manly (if male)	38.3%	35.5%	50.2%	33.1%	24.3%	33.2%	52.8%	41.3%	33.2%	41.1%	36.7%	40.9%	38.2%	33.9%
Feminine (if														
female)	31.7%	28.4%	42.7%	25.7%	17.1%	26.5%	44.1%	31.2%	26.1%	36.8%	29.8%	35.2%	29.7%	26.3%
In touch with their														
feelings	63.7%	71.0%	58.8%	63.5%	63.5%	69.5%	61.7%	65.1%	59.8%	63.4%	66.8%	69.0%	60.4%	56.9%
Dedicated	92.6%	92.4%	97.0%	94.3%	95.8%	94.3%	97.3%	93.2%	94.4%	90.6%	93.2%	89.0%	95.2%	98.7%
Emotionally stable	90.6%	91.9%	92.1%	93.9%	94.6%	95.4%	93.0%	91.9%	91.6%	89.1%	90.9%	86.8%	93.9%	95.4%
Totals	(1,000)	(356)	(254)	(281)	(211)	(345)	(320)	(192)	(227)	(346)	(235)	(368)	(409)	(126)

December 18-21 2010



42. Unimportant characteristic when evaluating elected officials

When considering how you evaluate elected officials, how important is it that the person is...

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Strong	5.5%	6.1%	5.0%	6.5%	5.9%	1.6%	7.1%	7.5%	3.8%	5.7%	4.9%	6.0%
Manly (if male)	53.7%	56.5%	53.3%	50.7%	56.2%	36.8%	51.9%	51.9%	55.3%	43.6%	58.1%	65.4%
Feminine (if												
female)	60.7%	64.1%	60.6%	55.5%	62.5%	45.9%	61.9%	62.8%	58.8%	50.7%	63.9%	73.6%
In touch with their												
feelings	28.8%	33.1%	29.6%	18.7%	31.2%	13.8%	24.9%	35.4%	22.8%	21.8%	29.9%	39.1%
Dedicated	2.2%	3.7%	2.0%	0.6%	1.7%	2.1%	7.0%	2.6%	1.9%	2.2%	2.2%	2.2%
Emotionally stable	3.6%	6.7%	2.6%	1.8%	3.3%	0.8%	9.7%	5.1%	2.2%	3.6%	5.1%	1.8%
Totals	(1,000)	(240)	(608)	(152)	(789)	(111)	(100)	(467)	(533)	(440)	(323)	(237)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Strong	5.5%	5.4%	3.4%	8.4%	6.1%	7.3%	4.5%	6.5%	6.2%	3.7%	6.9%	5.6%	5.1%	7.6%
Manly (if male) Feminine (if	53.7%	57.3%	45.5%	61.4%	70.9%	61.9%	44.3%	51.5%	57.8%	50.3%	56.6%	49.1%	55.5%	61.6%
female) In touch with their	60.7%	64.3%	54.0%	69.3%	76.9%	68.8%	53.8%	62.4%	63.9%	55.8%	63.4%	54.2%	64.3%	72.2%
feelings	28.8%	21.8%	36.5%	32.2%	31.9%	26.3%	35.1%	29.7%	32.4%	29.0%	24.2%	20.7%	34.6%	40.0%
Dedicated	2.2%	2.5%	1.9%	1.8%	2.3%	2.9%	2.0%	2.1%	0.2%	3.3%	2.6%	3.0%	2.0%	0.6%
Emotionally stable	3.6%	2.9%	5.3%	2.6%	3.2%	2.6%	4.7%	4.3%	1.9%	4.3%	3.6%	3.9%	3.2%	3.0%
Totals	(1,000)	(356)	(254)	(281)	(211)	(345)	(320)	(192)	(227)	(346)	(235)	(368)	(409)	(126)

December 18-21 2010



43. Reflects negatively on a politician to cry in public

Do you think it reflects negatively on an elected official if they cry in public?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Yes	17.9%	19.1%	18.5%	13.9%	17.2%	21.9%	20.0%	22.9%	13.4%	17.6%	21.5%	14.2%
No	64.7%	64.9%	61.7%	74.5%	66.4%	58.8%	55.7%	60.4%	68.5%	62.9%	64.2%	68.2%
Not sure	17.4%	16.0%	19.8%	11.7%	16.5%	19.3%	24.2%	16.6%	18.2%	19.5%	14.3%	17.6%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(999)	(240)	(607)	(152)	(789)	(110)	(100)	(466)	(533)	(439)	(323)	(237)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Yes	17.9%	24.6%	14.2%	16.0%	24.2%	21.5%	14.1%	19.8%	16.7%	18.9%	16.2%	15.1%	20.1%	20.5%
No	64.7%	58.5%	73.0%	65.7%	59.1%	65.0%	72.9%	61.5%	65.1%	66.0%	64.6%	68.4%	62.4%	64.6%
Not sure	17.4%	16.9%	12.8%	18.3%	16.7%	13.5%	13.0%	18.7%	18.2%	15.1%	19.2%	16.5%	17.5%	14.9%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(999)	(355)	(254)	(281)	(210)	(345)	(320)	(192)	(226)	(346)	(235)	(368)	(408)	(126)

December 18-21 2010



44. Reflects negatively on a female elected official to cry in publicDo you think female elected officials are treated more harshly than males if they cry in public?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Yes	49.1%	44.8%	51.1%	49.3%	49.0%	42.4%	59.2%	39.0%	58.3%	46.2%	49.7%	53.4%
No	34.5%	34.1%	34.4%	35.5%	35.4%	37.0%	22.2%	45.9%	24.3%	33.6%	37.1%	33.0%
Not sure	16.4%	21.0%	14.5%	15.2%	15.6%	20.6%	18.6%	15.1%	17.5%	20.2%	13.3%	13.7%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(997)	(240)	(605)	(152)	(788)	(110)	(99)	(464)	(533)	(437)	(323)	(237)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	e
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Yes	49.1%	57.7%	45.5%	48.4%	62.9%	53.5%	42.9%	44.2%	51.9%	50.2%	48.7%	47.6%	48.5%	51.6%
No	34.5%	28.9%	42.3%	34.7%	25.9%	33.6%	46.0%	35.1%	34.6%	34.9%	33.5%	33.8%	37.4%	37.9%
Not sure	16.4%	13.4%	12.2%	16.9%	11.2%	12.9%	11.1%	20.8%	13.5%	14.9%	17.8%	18.6%	14.1%	10.5%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (997)	100.0% (354)	100.0% (254)	100.0% (280)	100.0% (210)	100.0% (344)	100.0% (319)	100.0% (192)	100.0% (226)	100.0% (345)	100.0% (234)	100.0% (368)	100.0% (408)	100.0% (126)

December 18-21 2010



45. Importance of compromise-oriented congressperson

If you had to choose, would you rather have a congressperson who...

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Compromises to get things done. Sticks to his or her principles, no	50.9%	48.2%	52.0%	51.8%	48.6%	62.0%	59.4%	52.5%	49.6%	48.5%	48.4%	58.0%
matter what.	49.1%	51.8%	48.0%	48.2%	51.4%	38.0%	40.6%	47.5%	50.4%	51.5%	51.6%	42.0%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (993)	100.0% (239)	100.0% (603)	100.0% (151)	100.0% (783)	100.0% (110)	100.0% (100)	100.0% (464)	100.0% (529)	100.0% (434)	100.0% (322)	100.0% (237)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	.
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Compromises to get things done. Sticks to his or her principles, no	50.9%	65.0%	34.4%	53.0%	65.9%	62.9%	32.8%	53.0%	48.9%	48.9%	54.3%	47.3%	52.8%	55.7%
matter what.	49.1%	35.0%	65.6%	47.0%	34.1%	37.1%	67.2%	47.0%	51.1%	51.1%	45.7%	52.7%	47.2%	44.3%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (993)	100.0% (354)	100.0% (253)	100.0% (280)	100.0% (209)	100.0% (343)	100.0% (320)	100.0% (192)	100.0% (225)	100.0% (342)	100.0% (234)	100.0% (364)	100.0% (407)	100.0% (126)

December 18-21 2010



46. Importance of congressperson listening to constituents If you had to choose, would you rather have a congressperson who...

	·		Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Supports policies in line with his or her principles, regardless of how constituents feel about those policies. Supports policies that constituents want, regardless of his or her own beliefs.	37.7%	44.9%	35.7%	33.0%	38.3%	39.5%	29.7%	37.7%	37.7%	41.3%	40.4%	28.6%
	62.3%	55.1%	64.3%	67.0%	61.7%	60.5%	70.3%	62.3%	62.3%	58.7%	59.6%	71.4%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(990)	(239)	(599)	(152)	(783)	(109)	(98)	(462)	(528)	(434)	(320)	(236)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	e
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Supports policies in line with his or her principles, regardless of how constituents feel about those policies. Supports policies that constituents want, regardless of his or her own beliefs.	37.7%	38.6%	36.4%	36.4%	36.7%	37.3%	35.4%	42.0%	41.0%	31.2%	40.9%	41.7%	37.4%	30.0%
	62.3%	61.4%	63.6%	63.6%	63.3%	62.7%	64.6%	58.0%	59.0%	68.8%	59.1%	58.3%	62.6%	70.0%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(990)	(352)	(254)	(278)	(209)	(340)	(320)	(190)	(224)	(343)	(233)	(363)	(405)	(126)

December 18-21 2010



47. Obama's ideological leanings Would you say Barack Obama is...

			Age			Race		Gei	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Very liberal	34.4%	27.5%	36.3%	38.8%	37.9%	14.4%	26.0%	38.0%	31.2%	32.1%	34.1%	38.4%
Liberal	18.8%	25.5%	16.1%	17.5%	18.8%	21.8%	15.9%	23.1%	15.1%	16.1%	22.4%	19.3%
Moderate	24.8%	23.1%	25.6%	25.0%	22.4%	35.6%	34.3%	24.0%	25.6%	17.2%	26.2%	36.0%
Conservative	3.9%	3.4%	4.9%	1.3%	3.6%	3.3%	7.1%	3.5%	4.3%	5.1%	4.7%	1.0%
Very conservative	1.3%	1.5%	1.5%	0.6%	0.5%	7.2%	1.9%	1.0%	1.6%	2.1%	0.6%	0.9%
Not sure	16.7%	19.0%	15.6%	16.8%	16.8%	17.7%	14.8%	10.5%	22.3%	27.4%	12.0%	4.3%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(997)	(239)	(606)	(152)	(787)	(110)	(100)	(464)	(533)	(438)	(323)	(236)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very liberal	34.4%	8.8%	71.8%	38.9%	4.3%	21.7%	77.7%	33.2%	33.9%	36.5%	32.5%	24.6%	39.2%	47.9%
Liberal	18.8%	30.3%	12.9%	16.7%	39.7%	24.8%	8.8%	20.1%	15.5%	18.8%	21.1%	16.3%	21.6%	16.2%
Moderate	24.8%	39.6%	5.7%	31.7%	43.2%	40.5%	5.5%	26.4%	28.0%	21.8%	25.1%	23.2%	26.6%	30.1%
Conservative	3.9%	6.9%	0.8%	2.7%	4.3%	3.5%	3.4%	1.7%	4.1%	4.1%	5.1%	5.5%	3.2%	2.5%
Very conservative	1.3%	2.0%	1.2%	0.0%	3.6%	0.5%	0.7%	0.4%	0.8%	1.7%	2.0%	2.1%	1.4%	0.0%
Not sure	16.7%	12.3%	7.6%	10.0%	4.9%	9.0%	3.9%	18.3%	17.7%	17.0%	14.1%	28.4%	8.0%	3.3%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(997)	(355)	(254)	(279)	(210)	(344)	(320)	(192)	(226)	(345)	(234)	(367)	(407)	(126)

December 18-21 2010



48. Obama's sincerity

Do you think Barack Obama...

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Says what he believes Says what he thinks people want	44.2%	46.2%	44.0%	41.5%	39.6%	75.6%	47.6%	42.3%	45.8%	41.9%	44.5%	47.5%
to hear	55.8%	53.8%	56.0%	58.5%	60.4%	24.4%	52.4%	57.7%	54.2%	58.1%	55.5%	52.5%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (992)	100.0% (238)	100.0% (603)	100.0% (151)	100.0% (783)	100.0% (109)	100.0% (100)	100.0% (461)	100.0% (531)	100.0% (435)	100.0% (321)	100.0% (236)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Says what he believes Says what he thinks people want	44.2%	70.8%	18.5%	40.2%	70.4%	55.4%	19.4%	44.6%	45.1%	40.9%	47.8%	45.9%	42.9%	44.0%
to hear	55.8%	29.2%	81.5%	59.8%	29.6%	44.6%	80.6%	55.4%	54.9%	59.1%	52.2%	54.1%	57.1%	56.0%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (992)	100.0% (353)	100.0% (253)	100.0% (280)	100.0% (209)	100.0% (343)	100.0% (320)	100.0% (189)	100.0% (226)	100.0% (343)	100.0% (234)	100.0% (363)	100.0% (408)	100.0% (126)

December 18-21 2010



49. Obama's likeability

Regardless of whether you agree with him, do you like Barack Obama as a person?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Like a lot	32.9%	29.9%	34.9%	30.7%	28.7%	69.6%	27.0%	31.0%	34.6%	25.9%	35.0%	42.0%
Like somewhat	29.3%	34.1%	27.8%	26.8%	28.7%	23.7%	42.5%	31.5%	27.4%	33.1%	28.8%	23.6%
Dislike	26.6%	22.9%	26.4%	33.6%	30.6%	3.6%	17.8%	26.4%	26.8%	26.5%	25.6%	28.1%
Not sure	11.2%	13.1%	11.0%	8.8%	12.1%	3.1%	12.8%	11.1%	11.2%	14.5%	10.5%	6.4%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(996)	(239)	(605)	(152)	(787)	(109)	(100)	(463)	(533)	(436)	(323)	(237)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Like a lot	32.9%	64.4%	5.3%	30.1%	70.7%	41.8%	9.9%	35.7%	33.7%	31.0%	32.8%	30.5%	33.7%	37.8%
Like somewhat	29.3%	24.9%	25.8%	34.9%	23.8%	34.8%	24.2%	27.5%	28.0%	27.8%	34.2%	33.0%	31.1%	19.2%
Dislike	26.6%	6.1%	54.1%	25.7%	2.8%	16.2%	54.5%	22.8%	28.5%	29.1%	24.2%	24.3%	25.7%	32.5%
Not sure	11.2%	4.7%	14.9%	9.3%	2.8%	7.1%	11.4%	13.9%	9.8%	12.2%	8.8%	12.2%	9.5%	10.5%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(996)	(353)	(254)	(280)	(210)	(344)	(320)	(192)	(226)	(343)	(235)	(366)	(408)	(126)

December 18-21 2010



50. Words that describe Obama

Which of these words would you use to describe Barack Obama?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Honest	33.0%	33.9%	33.8%	28.8%	28.3%	66.5%	35.4%	32.1%	33.8%	26.6%	35.1%	41.3%
Intelligent	60.2%	59.5%	60.5%	60.3%	57.5%	85.1%	54.2%	62.4%	58.2%	50.4%	65.9%	69.7%
Religious	14.9%	13.0%	16.4%	12.9%	11.1%	44.2%	14.2%	14.6%	15.2%	19.1%	11.5%	11.9%
Inspiring	32.3%	39.6%	31.8%	22.1%	27.7%	69.7%	28.7%	32.2%	32.4%	25.0%	37.0%	38.9%
Patriotic	28.6%	25.6%	29.7%	29.7%	25.7%	51.7%	26.6%	27.7%	29.5%	24.5%	29.1%	35.0%
Strong	27.6%	28.7%	27.8%	24.9%	22.6%	62.6%	29.8%	24.1%	30.7%	23.3%	29.8%	32.2%
Bold	28.9%	35.1%	26.6%	26.8%	26.4%	49.1%	26.7%	28.1%	29.6%	26.8%	31.3%	29.5%
Experienced	15.2%	16.2%	15.3%	13.1%	10.8%	43.2%	20.4%	13.1%	17.0%	15.3%	16.0%	13.9%
Sincere	33.9%	29.5%	34.9%	37.7%	30.5%	64.5%	27.8%	31.9%	35.8%	29.7%	36.2%	38.3%
Partisan	26.2%	22.0%	27.8%	27.5%	27.7%	22.5%	16.8%	32.5%	20.6%	23.9%	27.1%	29.1%
Effective	22.0%	23.1%	22.0%	20.0%	16.6%	54.6%	31.4%	20.2%	23.6%	20.6%	23.9%	22.0%
Unifying	15.2%	15.4%	15.7%	13.2%	11.6%	43.8%	13.2%	13.8%	16.4%	14.7%	15.9%	15.1%
In Touch	24.5%	26.0%	25.0%	20.0%	19.0%	63.1%	27.3%	20.8%	27.7%	21.6%	28.2%	24.8%
Realistic	29.6%	31.3%	28.4%	30.6%	24.8%	66.5%	27.3%	27.8%	31.1%	26.0%	31.4%	33.4%
Decisive	21.9%	18.7%	22.4%	25.3%	17.7%	48.5%	28.3%	18.3%	25.1%	20.6%	26.1%	19.1%
Totals	(1,000)	(240)	(608)	(152)	(789)	(111)	(100)	(467)	(533)	(440)	(323)	(237)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	,
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Honest	33.0%	58.8%	4.8%	33.9%	66.1%	43.4%	7.1%	32.5%	33.4%	30.1%	37.2%	32.4%	34.6%	34.2%
Intelligent	60.2%	79.6%	40.8%	67.6%	86.5%	71.9%	44.9%	59.8%	61.1%	60.6%	59.0%	56.0%	65.4%	63.4%
Religious	14.9%	24.8%	2.3%	14.9%	24.6%	16.1%	6.1%	15.4%	15.7%	15.4%	13.2%	17.5%	13.5%	10.5%
Inspiring	32.3%	54.7%	9.3%	34.5%	59.3%	41.5%	14.1%	34.4%	31.3%	31.0%	33.5%	27.3%	37.0%	34.8%
Patriotic	28.6%	49.5%	6.4%	27.5%	57.6%	35.9%	7.4%	28.8%	30.9%	24.6%	32.1%	30.4%	27.9%	32.3%
Strong	27.6%	47.3%	5.6%	27.4%	50.0%	36.4%	8.1%	24.8%	28.0%	27.6%	29.2%	27.8%	26.5%	29.2%
Bold	28.9%	36.0%	19.8%	32.5%	39.5%	29.7%	21.5%	24.4%	27.3%	28.9%	33.8%	31.2%	28.1%	26.3%
Experienced	15.2%	28.9%	2.3%	11.9%	29.2%	16.1%	5.5%	15.2%	14.2%	16.6%	13.9%	18.5%	13.7%	9.9%
Sincere	33.9%	61.3%	7.2%	33.0%	64.2%	44.9%	10.2%	34.7%	33.6%	32.7%	35.5%	33.5%	34.7%	36.0%
Partisan	26.2%	14.1%	45.3%	31.3%	14.2%	21.1%	48.6%	25.8%	31.6%	24.0%	24.7%	20.9%	30.4%	35.0%
Effective	22.0%	43.8%	4.0%	15.6%	44.9%	25.2%	7.2%	23.6%	20.5%	21.6%	22.8%	23.9%	21.4%	19.7%
Unifying	15.2%	28.8%	2.6%	14.6%	28.7%	19.6%	5.0%	19.6%	13.8%	13.4%	15.8%	14.9%	14.7%	17.2%
In Touch	24.5%	45.3%	5.1%	20.3%	45.8%	31.0%	6.3%	26.0%	26.4%	23.8%	22.4%	25.0%	26.8%	17.1%

continued on the next page ...

December 18-21 2010



							continued f	rom previous p	age					
			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	е
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Realistic	29.6%	54.3%	3.9%	27.4%	55.3%	39.4%	7.6%	29.5%	29.7%	29.0%	30.2%	31.5%	29.8%	26.5%
Decisive	21.9%	36.5%	10.1%	19.1%	34.7%	26.9%	12.1%	18.4%	19.9%	21.1%	27.5%	24.2%	18.3%	27.7%
Totals	(1,000)	(356)	(254)	(281)	(211)	(345)	(320)	(192)	(227)	(346)	(235)	(368)	(409)	(126)

December 18-21 2010



51. Approval of U.S. Congress

Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the way that the United States Congress is handling its job?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Strongly approve Somewhat	1.5%	0.9%	1.9%	1.1%	1.0%	5.0%	1.9%	1.1%	1.8%	1.8%	2.0%	0.5%
approve Neither approve	10.3%	11.0%	10.6%	8.5%	9.0%	20.1%	10.5%	11.3%	9.5%	8.2%	12.1%	11.9%
nor disapprove Somewhat	15.2%	23.1%	13.0%	10.2%	13.6%	21.7%	22.6%	12.6%	17.6%	18.4%	13.7%	11.8%
disapprove Strongly	26.0%	28.3%	25.7%	23.0%	26.0%	21.4%	31.3%	24.7%	27.1%	23.0%	26.7%	30.1%
disapprove	39.1%	24.6%	41.6%	54.3%	43.8%	18.5%	20.9%	46.0%	33.0%	36.5%	38.4%	44.5%
Not sure	7.8%	12.1%	7.2%	2.8%	6.5%	13.3%	12.8%	4.3%	11.0%	12.1%	7.3%	1.3%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (999)	100.0% (240)	100.0% (607)	100.0% (152)	100.0% (789)	100.0% (110)	100.0% (100)	100.0% (466)	100.0% (533)	100.0% (439)	100.0% (323)	100.0% (237)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Strongly approve Somewhat	1.5%	4.1%	0.3%	0.0%	3.0%	0.9%	1.9%	1.0%	1.0%	2.8%	0.4%	2.1%	1.2%	1.6%
approve Neither approve	10.3%	19.0%	4.9%	7.2%	18.5%	14.2%	4.9%	13.9%	9.8%	9.0%	10.1%	9.2%	11.9%	7.8%
nor disapprove Somewhat	15.2%	21.9%	7.5%	9.1%	16.0%	15.2%	7.7%	16.5%	11.8%	14.0%	19.3%	22.8%	11.2%	9.0%
disapprove Strongly	26.0%	29.8%	24.5%	28.7%	31.8%	33.4%	19.7%	25.9%	28.2%	24.8%	25.7%	27.7%	25.1%	22.8%
disapprove	39.1%	19.2%	57.9%	49.7%	27.7%	31.4%	62.4%	37.0%	43.6%	38.5%	37.6%	27.4%	44.6%	57.1%
Not sure	7.8%	6.0%	4.9%	5.4%	3.0%	4.9%	3.5%	5.7%	5.6%	10.9%	7.0%	10.8%	5.9%	1.7%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (999)	100.0% (355)	100.0% (254)	100.0% (281)	100.0% (210)	100.0% (345)	100.0% (320)	100.0% (192)	100.0% (226)	100.0% (346)	100.0% (235)	100.0% (367)	100.0% (409)	100.0% (126)

December 18-21 2010



52. Direction of country

Would you say things in this country today are...

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Generally headed												
in the right direction	27.2%	28.2%	27.8%	23.5%	23.1%	56.7%	28.9%	28.3%	26.2%	22.7%	28.1%	33.7%
Off on the wrong	21.270	20.270	21.070	20.070	20.170	30.170	20.370	20.070	20.270	22.170	20.170	33.170
track	58.5%	52.8%	58.9%	66.5%	63.7%	27.1%	49.4%	60.3%	57.0%	59.7%	58.7%	56.5%
Not sure	14.3%	19.0%	13.3%	10.0%	13.2%	16.2%	21.7%	11.4%	16.8%	17.7%	13.2%	9.8%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(1,000)	(240)	(608)	(152)	(789)	(111)	(100)	(467)	(533)	(440)	(323)	(237)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Generally headed in the right														
direction Off on the wrong	27.2%	49.8%	8.1%	24.4%	52.7%	36.7%	10.4%	30.0%	27.3%	26.5%	26.0%	24.6%	27.8%	32.4%
track	58.5%	34.1%	82.1%	62.7%	33.5%	48.4%	84.2%	56.1%	63.0%	57.4%	57.8%	59.5%	58.1%	63.6%
Not sure	14.3%	16.1%	9.8%	12.9%	13.7%	14.9%	5.3%	13.9%	9.7%	16.1%	16.2%	15.9%	14.1%	4.0%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (1,000)	100.0% (356)	100.0% (254)	100.0% (281)	100.0% (211)	100.0% (345)	100.0% (320)	100.0% (192)	100.0% (227)	100.0% (346)	100.0% (235)	100.0% (368)	100.0% (409)	100.0% (126)

December 18-21 2010



53. Trend of economy

Overall, do you think the economy is getting better or worse?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Getting better	20.1%	25.5%	18.8%	16.3%	18.2%	38.0%	15.9%	25.0%	15.8%	12.8%	21.7%	30.5%
About the same	46.1%	44.9%	45.7%	49.3%	47.5%	37.0%	44.3%	45.4%	46.7%	49.6%	43.5%	43.3%
Getting worse	27.0%	19.9%	28.8%	32.1%	28.7%	12.5%	28.8%	25.4%	28.4%	27.5%	28.7%	24.1%
Not sure	6.8%	9.7%	6.7%	2.4%	5.6%	12.6%	11.0%	4.2%	9.2%	10.1%	6.1%	2.1%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(997)	(239)	(607)	(151)	(786)	(111)	(100)	(465)	(532)	(438)	(322)	(237)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fai	mily Income)
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Getting better	20.1%	33.9%	7.2%	22.9%	41.9%	27.4%	8.0%	25.3%	21.1%	19.4%	16.4%	13.1%	23.3%	31.0%
About the same	46.1%	44.2%	50.2%	46.2%	39.7%	45.8%	49.9%	42.9%	43.3%	46.9%	49.9%	50.0%	48.2%	32.7%
Getting worse	27.0%	16.5%	37.9%	25.2%	15.3%	22.7%	37.5%	24.5%	29.5%	25.4%	28.7%	27.1%	24.3%	33.7%
Not sure	6.8%	5.5%	4.7%	5.8%	3.2%	4.0%	4.6%	7.3%	6.0%	8.3%	5.0%	9.8%	4.1%	2.7%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (997)	100.0% (355)	100.0% (253)	100.0% (280)	100.0% (211)	100.0% (343)	100.0% (320)	100.0% (192)	100.0% (226)	100.0% (345)	100.0% (234)	100.0% (367)	100.0% (407)	100.0% (126)

December 18-21 2010



54. Stock market expectations over next yearDo you think the stock market will be higher or lower 12 months from now?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Higher	27.5%	28.7%	27.1%	26.7%	25.9%	40.1%	26.8%	36.1%	19.8%	17.3%	28.1%	43.9%
About the same	34.2%	36.8%	34.3%	29.7%	35.1%	28.0%	32.9%	33.6%	34.7%	34.2%	35.1%	33.0%
Lower	15.7%	10.5%	15.9%	23.5%	17.1%	3.9%	17.8%	13.1%	18.1%	16.1%	16.1%	14.7%
Not sure	22.6%	24.0%	22.7%	20.1%	21.9%	28.1%	22.5%	17.2%	27.4%	32.4%	20.8%	8.3%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(996)	(239)	(606)	(151)	(787)	(110)	(99)	(463)	(533)	(437)	(323)	(236)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Higher	27.5%	35.4%	22.5%	31.4%	45.6%	32.5%	21.4%	35.9%	25.2%	27.4%	23.4%	18.9%	32.3%	40.6%
About the same	34.2%	30.9%	38.8%	34.3%	28.5%	35.1%	40.7%	31.9%	31.5%	35.3%	36.8%	34.1%	35.3%	34.3%
Lower	15.7%	9.8%	23.4%	15.7%	5.7%	14.8%	22.9%	11.5%	18.0%	15.7%	16.9%	16.8%	14.9%	14.6%
Not sure	22.6%	23.9%	15.3%	18.6%	20.2%	17.6%	15.0%	20.8%	25.3%	21.6%	22.9%	30.2%	17.4%	10.5%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(996)	(353)	(254)	(280)	(210)	(343)	(320)	(192)	(226)	(343)	(235)	(367)	(409)	(125)

December 18-21 2010



55. Change in personal finances over past year Would you say that you and your family are...

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Better off												
financially	12.9%	23.4%	11.1%	2.3%	12.3%	16.8%	13.3%	16.0%	10.1%	7.2%	15.1%	19.9%
About the same as												
now	38.7%	40.7%	37.1%	40.7%	39.3%	40.2%	30.4%	42.8%	35.0%	37.1%	37.1%	43.1%
Worse off												
financially	43.5%	27.2%	47.4%	56.6%	44.2%	35.9%	47.1%	37.4%	49.1%	47.3%	45.4%	35.0%
Not sure	4.9%	8.7%	4.4%	0.4%	4.1%	7.1%	9.2%	3.8%	5.8%	8.4%	2.4%	1.9%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(995)	(239)	(605)	(151)	(784)	(111)	(100)	(463)	(532)	(436)	(323)	(236)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	on		Fa	mily Income	3
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Better off														
financially	12.9%	16.2%	10.4%	12.8%	19.7%	14.5%	9.2%	15.2%	10.5%	14.5%	11.1%	10.6%	13.8%	25.5%
About the same as														
now	38.7%	43.5%	37.0%	37.9%	43.5%	42.1%	34.6%	45.2%	34.0%	36.3%	41.5%	33.7%	42.5%	38.4%
Worse off														
financially	43.5%	36.7%	49.5%	44.9%	33.7%	40.2%	54.0%	34.5%	51.3%	42.5%	44.7%	49.2%	41.0%	32.9%
Not sure	4.9%	3.6%	3.1%	4.4%	3.0%	3.2%	2.2%	5.0%	4.2%	6.7%	2.7%	6.5%	2.8%	3.2%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(995)	(354)	(253)	(280)	(211)	(343)	(319)	(192)	(225)	(344)	(234)	(367)	(408)	(124)



Emotional and unemotional pictures shown to respondents

Unemotional

Emotional















John Boehner



George W. Bush

Bill Clinton





Hillary Clinton

December 18-21 2010



Sponsorship The Economist

Fieldwork YouGov

Interviewing Dates December 18-21, 2010

Target population U.S. citizens, aged 18 and over.

Sampling method Respondents were selected from YouGov's PollingPoint panel using

sample matching. A random sample (stratified by age, gender, race, education, and region) was selected from the 2005–2007 American Community Study. Voter registration, turnout, religion, news interest, minor party identification, and non-placement on an ideology scale, were imputed from the 2008 Current Population Survey Registration and Voting Supplement and the Pew Religion in American Life Survey. Matching respondents were selected from the PollingPoint panel, an

opt-in Internet panel.

Weighting The sample was weighted using propensity scores based on age,

gender, race, education, news interest, voter registration, and non-placement on an ideology scale. The weights range from 0.5 to 3.5,

with a mean of one and a standard deviation of 0.5.

Number of respondents 1000

Margin of error \pm 3.4% (adjusted for weighting)

Margin of error (Registered Vot-

ers)

 \pm 3.9% (adjusted for weighting)

Survey mode Web-based interviews

Questions not reported 23 questions not reported.