November 20-23, 2010



List of Tables

1. Obama approval on issues	3
2. Important issue	4
3. Most important issue	5
4. Approval of Obama as President	7
5. Obama's performance against expectations	8
6. Support for bilateral reduction of nuclear warheads	S
7. Awareness of START	
8. Support for START ratification	
9. Support for unilateral reduction of warheads without START	
10. View on illegal immigration	
11. Support for permanent legal status of undocumented workers who go to college or serve in the military	
12. Support for temporary legal status of undocumented workers who go to college or serve in the military	
13. Support for funding education for undocumented immigrants	
14. Support for DREAM Act	
15. Support for national sales tax	18
16. Support for "cap and trade" plan	16
17. Opinion on Bush tax cuts	
18. Support for voting right for lame duck legislators	21
19. Feelings about earmarks	
20. Trust ban on earmarks	23
21. Trust in elected officials	
22. Elected officials seek personal gain instead of public welfare	25
23. Awareness of Charlie Rangel's charges	
24. Favorable opinion of these individuals	
25. Unfavorable opinion of the these individuals	28
26. Palin presidential candidacy in 2012	
27. Vote choice between Palin and Obama	30
28. Palin's chances in election against Obama	31
29. Thanksgiving holiday travel	
30. Mode of travel over Thanksgiving holiday	33
31. Christmas gift purchases	34
32. Christmas gift purchases compared to previous years	35
33. Air travel frequency	
34. Effectiveness of air passenger screening during recent travels	37
35. Awareness of changes to security procedures	
36. Acceptability of full body scans	36

November 20-23, 2010



37. Concern about full body scan radiation	40
38. Comfort with full body scans	41
39. Opinion of full body scanner machine usages	42
40. Risk of terrorism justifies full body scans	43
41. Favor airport security measures	44
42. Oppose security measures	47
43. Effectiveness of new air travel security procedures	50
44. Decrease travel in response to security procedures	51
45. Likelihood of terrorist attack	52
46. Obama terrorism policies	53
47. Obama's ideological leanings	54
48. Obama's sincerity	55
49. Obama's likeability	56
50. Words that describe Obama	57
51. Approval of U.S. Congress	59
52. Direction of country	30
53. Trend of economy	31
54. Stock market expectations over next year	32
55. Change in personal finances over past year	63

November 20-23, 2010



1. Obama approval on issues

Below are some issues facing the country. For each one, indicate whether you **approve** or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling that issue.

			Age			Race		Gei	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
The war in Iraq	46.3%	47.2%	47.1%	42.2%	43.3%	63.3%	52.4%	53.8%	39.2%	40.9%	47.6%	53.7%
The economy	35.4%	36.3%	36.2%	31.5%	32.0%	56.0%	41.9%	37.3%	33.6%	25.5%	39.8%	47.0%
Immigration	28.2%	27.9%	29.5%	24.5%	24.5%	48.5%	37.8%	30.2%	26.3%	21.1%	30.6%	37.3%
The environment	42.9%	46.4%	42.9%	37.9%	39.9%	60.9%	48.1%	43.7%	42.1%	35.9%	44.8%	52.5%
Terrorism	43.1%	42.5%	44.8%	38.3%	39.2%	61.7%	56.6%	47.5%	38.9%	36.0%	43.8%	54.1%
Gay rights	34.2%	35.8%	34.5%	31.2%	31.9%	43.6%	44.8%	33.2%	35.2%	26.3%	38.1%	43.1%
Education	40.1%	38.7%	40.9%	39.5%	36.0%	61.1%	53.0%	40.2%	40.0%	34.5%	45.0%	44.0%
Health care	40.6%	46.3%	40.1%	34.0%	35.7%	64.3%	56.5%	42.0%	39.3%	31.0%	47.3%	48.9%
Social security	33.6%	32.4%	34.1%	33.9%	30.0%	49.2%	48.3%	34.8%	32.5%	25.6%	36.9%	43.3%
The budget deficit	30.4%	27.5%	32.0%	29.1%	25.8%	52.9%	45.8%	30.9%	29.9%	22.5%	34.3%	39.2%
The war in												
Afghanistan	42.3%	38.2%	44.9%	39.9%	41.1%	57.3%	34.9%	48.8%	36.3%	36.8%	43.7%	50.0%
Taxes	35.9%	37.6%	35.4%	35.0%	32.0%	55.3%	48.4%	36.8%	35.1%	27.4%	41.3%	43.9%
Totals	(1,000)	(239)	(606)	(155)	(813)	(109)	(78)	(451)	(549)	(396)	(335)	(269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
The war in Iraq	46.3%	67.4%	27.6%	47.2%	66.9%	59.8%	33.4%	41.3%	51.3%	45.1%	46.8%	42.7%	46.5%	61.0%
The economy	35.4%	68.5%	2.6%	32.1%	71.0%	48.8%	7.5%	32.4%	36.2%	33.2%	39.8%	36.3%	33.2%	42.6%
Immigration	28.2%	57.8%	3.1%	22.8%	62.3%	37.5%	5.1%	28.2%	29.8%	27.0%	28.5%	28.8%	27.3%	36.6%
The environment	42.9%	72.9%	15.5%	40.6%	73.0%	58.3%	17.5%	35.5%	44.1%	41.0%	49.5%	42.6%	43.2%	47.4%
Terrorism	43.1%	73.5%	14.2%	39.9%	74.2%	57.6%	18.1%	42.0%	43.3%	40.9%	46.5%	42.9%	42.5%	55.2%
Gay rights	34.2%	58.3%	11.6%	33.1%	60.2%	46.7%	11.8%	35.1%	35.6%	30.6%	37.4%	32.9%	36.0%	37.1%
Education	40.1%	69.1%	12.6%	37.3%	68.3%	56.3%	13.2%	36.5%	41.8%	38.9%	42.8%	39.9%	41.6%	44.0%
Health care	40.6%	74.4%	5.3%	39.4%	80.3%	55.1%	8.5%	40.5%	39.2%	36.8%	46.9%	42.3%	37.9%	47.1%
Social security	33.6%	62.9%	8.0%	28.5%	62.7%	46.1%	9.4%	28.3%	33.5%	33.6%	37.4%	33.4%	32.3%	43.3%
The budget deficit	30.4%	62.5%	1.1%	26.5%	61.4%	42.6%	5.4%	24.7%	31.0%	29.5%	34.9%	30.6%	30.3%	32.0%
The war in														
Afghanistan	42.3%	61.0%	30.1%	39.9%	53.1%	54.8%	35.2%	34.6%	47.7%	43.3%	41.5%	38.2%	43.9%	55.9%
Taxes	35.9%	69.3%	5.6%	32.3%	70.9%	49.7%	8.6%	33.3%	37.2%	33.8%	39.3%	37.5%	33.6%	42.4%
Totals	(1,000)	(359)	(265)	(295)	(194)	(344)	(318)	(177)	(225)	(345)	(253)	(377)	(376)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



2. Important issue

How important are the following issues to you?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
The war in Iraq	81.4%	75.1%	82.6%	86.0%	84.0%	69.2%	71.9%	79.3%	83.3%	82.9%	79.5%	80.9%
The economy	97.6%	97.4%	97.9%	97.0%	98.3%	97.8%	91.1%	97.6%	97.7%	96.8%	97.5%	99.1%
Immigration	80.8%	70.7%	82.2%	90.4%	81.9%	77.6%	75.1%	79.7%	81.9%	83.0%	79.1%	79.1%
The environment	80.8%	83.1%	79.8%	80.9%	80.3%	83.6%	82.8%	76.0%	85.4%	80.3%	81.5%	81.0%
Terrorism	88.3%	78.2%	91.6%	91.7%	89.9%	84.2%	78.2%	87.4%	89.1%	90.9%	84.7%	87.9%
Gay rights	51.3%	56.3%	48.3%	53.7%	52.0%	43.5%	54.0%	45.9%	56.2%	47.0%	53.6%	55.8%
Education	90.6%	92.3%	89.3%	92.6%	90.0%	95.5%	90.1%	88.2%	92.9%	88.1%	92.0%	93.3%
Health care	94.5%	93.9%	93.8%	97.5%	94.7%	92.9%	94.0%	92.8%	96.1%	94.4%	94.2%	94.9%
Social security	92.3%	82.3%	94.6%	98.9%	94.0%	90.0%	79.3%	90.8%	93.7%	93.5%	91.0%	91.8%
The budget deficit	90.4%	87.4%	91.5%	90.9%	92.8%	85.0%	73.9%	91.1%	89.7%	90.8%	89.5%	90.6%
The war in												
Afghanistan	83.8%	75.9%	85.5%	89.5%	86.2%	77.0%	70.4%	83.7%	84.0%	84.8%	82.2%	84.1%
Taxes	92.4%	89.3%	93.6%	92.8%	94.2%	86.9%	82.0%	91.6%	93.1%	91.1%	93.2%	93.6%
Totals	(1,000)	(239)	(606)	(155)	(813)	(109)	(78)	(451)	(549)	(396)	(335)	(269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fai	mily Income	3
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
The war in Iraq	81.4%	77.1%	91.6%	77.6%	69.2%	79.1%	91.0%	82.2%	84.5%	77.0%	84.0%	80.9%	83.5%	81.6%
The economy	97.6%	98.5%	98.6%	96.3%	97.4%	97.8%	98.4%	99.6%	97.8%	96.2%	98.2%	96.2%	98.5%	98.0%
Immigration	80.8%	75.2%	87.6%	79.3%	64.6%	79.4%	89.7%	80.5%	78.4%	80.2%	84.0%	77.2%	83.7%	77.6%
The environment	80.8%	91.5%	65.6%	81.5%	92.6%	86.3%	64.9%	79.7%	80.4%	78.6%	85.1%	85.5%	78.1%	76.4%
Terrorism	88.3%	81.9%	98.1%	85.2%	73.7%	87.3%	96.9%	91.1%	89.2%	88.5%	85.3%	87.4%	87.7%	90.4%
Gay rights	51.3%	60.6%	38.9%	51.2%	71.3%	53.9%	34.3%	48.9%	43.4%	50.6%	60.6%	53.0%	48.7%	54.7%
Education	90.6%	94.4%	85.2%	91.5%	94.4%	92.2%	86.2%	89.6%	89.2%	93.0%	89.4%	90.6%	90.0%	87.8%
Health care	94.5%	97.3%	91.4%	94.3%	96.6%	97.1%	90.1%	95.9%	93.0%	95.7%	93.1%	94.6%	94.9%	92.7%
Social security	92.3%	91.9%	92.8%	91.8%	88.0%	94.0%	93.0%	94.3%	91.9%	92.2%	91.5%	91.1%	93.1%	94.0%
The budget deficit	90.4%	85.8%	96.2%	89.4%	82.4%	89.7%	98.3%	84.8%	92.9%	90.6%	91.6%	88.9%	92.0%	86.3%
The war in														
Afghanistan	83.8%	80.7%	90.4%	81.2%	74.9%	81.9%	92.0%	87.6%	84.3%	78.6%	87.9%	80.9%	85.7%	86.1%
Taxes	92.4%	88.5%	98.2%	91.1%	83.0%	93.1%	96.9%	93.2%	94.0%	91.9%	91.1%	90.9%	94.5%	91.4%
Totals	(1,000)	(359)	(265)	(295)	(194)	(344)	(318)	(177)	(225)	(345)	(253)	(377)	(376)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



3. Most important issue

Which of these is the **most** important issue for you?

			Age			Race		Gei	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
The war in Iraq	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	1.4%	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.3%	1.2%	0.0%	0.3%
The economy	37.5%	33.0%	43.5%	24.7%	36.1%	45.4%	40.9%	39.7%	35.5%	36.6%	35.1%	41.7%
Immigration	5.6%	4.4%	5.4%	7.9%	5.9%	1.5%	8.3%	6.1%	5.1%	6.7%	4.7%	4.9%
The environment	3.8%	8.6%	2.9%	0.4%	3.9%	3.2%	4.0%	4.2%	3.5%	2.6%	5.9%	3.6%
Terrorism	4.2%	3.7%	3.4%	7.7%	4.4%	1.0%	7.2%	2.3%	6.0%	4.8%	4.2%	3.3%
Gay rights	2.4%	5.6%	1.7%	0.4%	2.5%	0.9%	3.7%	3.7%	1.2%	1.8%	4.2%	1.5%
Education	6.2%	12.7%	4.4%	3.1%	5.7%	10.8%	5.6%	5.2%	7.2%	5.2%	6.7%	7.4%
Health care	15.3%	16.5%	14.7%	15.2%	16.7%	11.0%	7.1%	11.7%	18.6%	14.0%	15.8%	16.7%
Social security	10.6%	1.5%	10.8%	22.4%	10.1%	16.1%	7.5%	9.3%	11.8%	14.6%	9.6%	4.9%
The budget deficit	7.3%	7.9%	5.5%	12.1%	7.3%	5.9%	8.4%	9.6%	5.0%	5.8%	6.8%	10.3%
The war in												
Afghanistan	1.5%	1.5%	1.2%	2.2%	1.2%	2.3%	2.9%	1.3%	1.6%	1.7%	1.9%	0.7%
Taxes	5.0%	4.8%	5.9%	2.5%	5.5%	1.8%	4.5%	6.0%	4.0%	5.1%	5.0%	4.9%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (994)	100.0% (238)	100.0% (602)	100.0% (154)	100.0% (809)	100.0% (107)	100.0% (78)	100.0% (449)	100.0% (545)	100.0% (393)	100.0% (332)	100.0% (269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	,
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
The war in Iraq	0.6%	1.5%	0.3%	0.0%	0.4%	0.8%	0.2%	0.4%	1.1%	0.2%	0.7%	0.9%	0.4%	0.0%
The economy	37.5%	39.8%	31.6%	43.0%	30.9%	41.8%	36.6%	36.7%	39.1%	38.0%	36.0%	37.4%	39.2%	36.7%
Immigration	5.6%	2.7%	10.8%	3.7%	3.3%	3.8%	10.4%	7.1%	2.8%	5.4%	7.3%	4.8%	6.2%	4.4%
The environment	3.8%	6.1%	0.3%	5.5%	11.6%	2.9%	0.7%	2.9%	2.8%	2.3%	7.5%	3.7%	3.5%	3.9%
Terrorism	4.2%	1.5%	9.9%	1.8%	0.4%	1.5%	9.4%	6.5%	3.8%	4.3%	3.0%	4.4%	3.5%	4.2%
Gay rights	2.4%	4.5%	0.8%	1.9%	5.6%	3.0%	0.7%	2.8%	3.5%	1.9%	2.1%	2.3%	1.4%	5.2%
Education	6.2%	7.0%	4.3%	4.7%	10.5%	5.6%	2.9%	5.3%	4.9%	7.3%	6.6%	5.8%	6.2%	4.1%
Health care	15.3%	15.7%	14.6%	14.9%	18.0%	15.3%	13.6%	17.0%	15.5%	16.5%	12.2%	15.6%	15.4%	15.4%
Social security	10.6%	15.6%	5.5%	8.2%	11.3%	12.0%	5.5%	8.3%	10.6%	10.7%	11.9%	14.7%	9.1%	4.4%
The budget deficit	7.3%	2.0%	13.0%	9.2%	2.0%	8.5%	12.0%	1.4%	9.8%	8.8%	6.9%	4.3%	8.7%	8.8%
The war in														
Afghanistan	1.5%	1.6%	0.3%	2.9%	2.6%	1.7%	0.8%	3.2%	0.4%	1.0%	1.9%	1.5%	1.1%	3.9%
Taxes	5.0%	2.0%	8.7%	4.3%	3.5%	3.1%	7.2%	8.4%	5.7%	3.6%	3.9%	4.4%	5.2%	8.9%

continued on the next page ...

November 20-23, 2010



							continued f	rom previous p	page					
			Party ID			ldeology			Regio	n		Fai	mily Income	е
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (994)	100.0% (356)	100.0% (265)	100.0% (292)	100.0% (192)	100.0% (342)	100.0% (317)	100.0% (176)	100.0% (224)	100.0% (343)	100.0% (251)	100.0% (373)	100.0% (375)	100.0% (122)

November 20-23, 2010



4. Approval of Obama as President

Do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling his job as President?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Strongly Approve Somewhat	17.8%	12.2%	20.2%	18.2%	14.2%	40.3%	23.9%	16.7%	18.9%	15.0%	19.0%	21.3%
Approve Somewhat	25.1%	32.9%	24.4%	16.6%	23.7%	35.2%	25.6%	26.3%	24.0%	20.2%	28.3%	29.7%
Disapprove Strongly	15.3%	21.3%	14.7%	9.0%	15.8%	9.2%	18.4%	15.2%	15.4%	16.0%	15.8%	13.6%
Disapprove	36.1%	22.6%	36.6%	53.1%	41.4%	8.6%	20.7%	39.5%	33.0%	39.9%	33.6%	32.6%
Not Sure	5.6%	10.9%	4.1%	3.1%	4.9%	6.7%	11.4%	2.3%	8.7%	8.9%	3.3%	2.8%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (999)	100.0% (239)	100.0% (605)	100.0% (155)	100.0% (813)	100.0% (108)	100.0% (78)	100.0% (451)	100.0% (548)	100.0% (395)	100.0% (335)	100.0% (269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Family Income		
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Strongly Approve Somewhat	17.8%	39.4%	1.1%	12.7%	36.1%	26.2%	1.8%	16.7%	16.1%	16.6%	21.9%	18.0%	17.9%	17.9%
Approve Somewhat	25.1%	44.0%	1.8%	26.0%	48.0%	33.5%	6.1%	26.4%	27.7%	21.6%	26.6%	25.6%	23.6%	31.8%
Disapprove Strongly	15.3%	8.9%	21.5%	17.5%	8.5%	19.3%	15.2%	14.9%	13.8%	16.5%	15.4%	17.7%	16.9%	9.7%
Disapprove	36.1%	4.7%	73.9%	36.7%	4.2%	18.0%	75.9%	34.6%	35.6%	39.4%	33.1%	29.8%	39.5%	39.2%
Not Sure	5.6%	3.2%	1.6%	7.2%	3.2%	3.0%	1.0%	7.3%	6.8%	5.9%	3.0%	8.9%	2.1%	1.4%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (999)	100.0% (358)	100.0% (265)	100.0% (295)	100.0% (194)	100.0% (344)	100.0% (317)	100.0% (177)	100.0% (225)	100.0% (344)	100.0% (253)	100.0% (377)	100.0% (375)	100.0% (123)

November 20-23, 2010



5. Obama's performance against expectations
Since taking office, do you think Barack Obama has accomplished...

			Age	•		Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
More than I												
expected	17.7%	14.6%	19.8%	15.2%	14.9%	38.1%	18.4%	19.2%	16.3%	14.4%	18.0%	23.0%
About what I												
expected	33.4%	35.9%	33.6%	29.0%	33.1%	38.7%	29.0%	32.0%	34.6%	26.2%	39.6%	38.3%
Less than I												
expected	42.0%	39.1%	40.9%	49.4%	45.8%	14.4%	40.5%	45.5%	38.7%	47.7%	37.8%	37.2%
Not sure	7.0%	10.4%	5.6%	6.4%	6.2%	8.8%	12.1%	3.2%	10.5%	11.8%	4.6%	1.6%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(998)	(239)	(604)	(155)	(812)	(108)	(78)	(450)	(548)	(395)	(335)	(268)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	on		Fa	mily Income	3
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
More than I														
expected	17.7%	27.4%	11.2%	16.4%	25.1%	22.3%	13.3%	9.7%	18.8%	18.0%	21.7%	16.1%	17.6%	23.2%
About what I														
expected	33.4%	41.0%	29.0%	32.2%	38.4%	35.5%	33.3%	33.0%	36.4%	32.6%	32.0%	28.6%	38.0%	33.6%
Less than I														
expected	42.0%	26.3%	56.2%	45.8%	34.3%	38.6%	49.7%	49.8%	38.7%	41.7%	39.9%	43.9%	39.2%	42.6%
Not sure	7.0%	5.3%	3.7%	5.6%	2.2%	3.6%	3.7%	7.4%	6.1%	7.7%	6.4%	11.4%	5.1%	0.6%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(998)	(358)	(264)	(295)	(194)	(344)	(316)	(177)	(225)	(344)	(252)	(376)	(375)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



6. Support for bilateral reduction of nuclear warheads

Do you support or oppose reducing the number of strategic nuclear warheads possessed by the United States and Russia?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Strongly support	29.1%	27.4%	30.2%	28.1%	29.0%	31.4%	27.7%	33.7%	24.9%	17.7%	29.9%	47.5%
Somewhat support Neither support,	16.0%	17.3%	17.2%	10.6%	16.9%	13.7%	10.3%	17.4%	14.7%	14.9%	19.4%	14.0%
nor oppose	19.5%	18.4%	17.6%	27.3%	18.8%	20.3%	26.0%	18.3%	20.7%	23.7%	17.3%	15.2%
Somewhat oppose	8.9%	9.4%	9.2%	7.4%	9.4%	3.8%	10.4%	9.7%	8.1%	9.4%	10.1%	6.6%
Strongly oppose	13.8%	9.8%	14.5%	17.2%	15.0%	4.7%	14.1%	14.9%	12.8%	13.4%	16.1%	12.0%
Not sure	12.5%	17.8%	11.3%	9.4%	10.9%	26.0%	11.3%	5.9%	18.8%	20.9%	7.1%	4.8%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (998)	100.0% (238)	100.0% (605)	100.0% (155)	100.0% (813)	100.0% (107)	100.0% (78)	100.0% (450)	100.0% (548)	100.0% (395)	100.0% (334)	100.0% (269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income)
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Strongly support	29.1%	48.8%	7.0%	32.5%	59.6%	40.6%	8.3%	27.7%	28.2%	24.2%	37.6%	26.5%	25.9%	47.8%
Somewhat support	16.0%	15.6%	15.4%	18.6%	12.4%	19.9%	16.8%	14.5%	15.8%	16.6%	16.5%	14.2%	20.1%	15.4%
Neither support,														
nor oppose	19.5%	14.6%	20.8%	21.1%	12.8%	20.3%	20.3%	15.6%	21.8%	22.6%	16.2%	22.8%	19.9%	9.3%
Somewhat oppose	8.9%	5.1%	16.2%	7.7%	5.6%	6.3%	15.5%	12.4%	8.0%	8.0%	8.6%	7.9%	11.6%	6.9%
Strongly oppose	13.8%	2.3%	29.7%	12.9%	2.1%	5.6%	32.8%	15.5%	13.8%	12.9%	13.8%	10.7%	13.9%	16.2%
Not sure	12.5%	13.5%	10.8%	7.2%	7.5%	7.3%	6.4%	14.2%	12.4%	15.7%	7.3%	17.8%	8.6%	4.3%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (998)	100.0% (357)	100.0% (265)	100.0% (295)	100.0% (193)	100.0% (344)	100.0% (317)	100.0% (177)	100.0% (225)	100.0% (343)	100.0% (253)	100.0% (377)	100.0% (374)	100.0% (123)

November 20-23, 2010



7. Awareness of START

How much have you heard or read about the New START treaty?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
A lot	10.9%	6.1%	13.0%	11.1%	10.8%	10.3%	12.7%	16.7%	5.4%	7.5%	12.0%	15.4%
A little	37.1%	28.4%	37.7%	47.5%	38.4%	30.7%	33.3%	46.5%	28.2%	27.1%	41.0%	49.6%
Nothing at all	52.0%	65.5%	49.4%	41.4%	50.8%	59.0%	54.0%	36.8%	66.3%	65.4%	47.1%	35.0%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (996)	100.0% (239)	100.0% (602)	100.0% (155)	100.0% (811)	100.0% (107)	100.0% (78)	100.0% (451)	100.0% (545)	100.0% (394)	100.0% (334)	100.0% (268)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	Э
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
A lot	10.9%	8.1%	8.1%	17.2%	12.8%	11.3%	13.4%	6.8%	13.0%	11.0%	11.7%	7.2%	10.5%	22.2%
A little	37.1%	38.7%	41.4%	37.2%	40.6%	42.9%	42.5%	31.8%	33.9%	36.4%	44.4%	30.3%	43.7%	42.8%
Nothing at all	52.0%	53.2%	50.6%	45.5%	46.6%	45.8%	44.1%	61.4%	53.0%	52.6%	43.8%	62.4%	45.8%	35.0%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (996)	100.0% (357)	100.0% (264)	100.0% (295)	100.0% (194)	100.0% (343)	100.0% (316)	100.0% (177)	100.0% (224)	100.0% (342)	100.0% (253)	100.0% (376)	100.0% (374)	100.0% (122)

November 20-23, 2010



8. Support for START ratification

The New START treaty signed in April this year is a bilateral nuclear arms reduction treaty between the U.S. and Russia that would further reduce the number of strategic warheads, ballistic missiles and nuclear bombers each country possesses over the next seven years. Do you support or oppose Senate ratification of this treaty?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Strongly support	31.0%	28.1%	32.5%	30.0%	29.5%	36.4%	38.1%	35.7%	26.5%	21.5%	32.5%	45.1%
Somewhat support	19.8%	26.7%	17.9%	16.1%	20.2%	18.3%	18.0%	21.4%	18.3%	19.5%	21.1%	18.9%
Neither support,												
nor oppose	12.7%	10.9%	13.5%	13.0%	12.7%	17.8%	6.7%	11.8%	13.6%	14.2%	14.3%	8.5%
Somewhat oppose	10.4%	7.8%	11.0%	12.2%	11.6%	5.1%	5.8%	9.5%	11.4%	11.0%	10.9%	8.8%
Strongly oppose	12.8%	10.3%	12.0%	18.9%	14.4%	3.3%	10.0%	15.9%	9.9%	12.5%	13.7%	12.4%
Not sure	13.2%	16.2%	13.0%	9.9%	11.6%	19.1%	21.4%	5.8%	20.3%	21.3%	7.5%	6.3%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(998)	(239)	(604)	(155)	(812)	(108)	(78)	(451)	(547)	(395)	(334)	(269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fai	mily Income	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Strongly support	31.0%	49.4%	8.9%	35.3%	60.8%	43.9%	9.0%	26.8%	31.3%	29.2%	36.0%	28.8%	30.7%	45.1%
Somewhat support	19.8%	21.4%	19.3%	19.9%	20.8%	23.9%	18.7%	21.7%	21.3%	19.2%	17.9%	18.9%	23.2%	16.9%
Neither support,														
nor oppose	12.7%	10.7%	11.9%	14.1%	6.3%	13.0%	12.5%	11.5%	10.2%	16.4%	10.8%	16.3%	10.6%	11.3%
Somewhat oppose	10.4%	3.4%	21.2%	8.7%	2.9%	8.3%	19.4%	11.3%	13.8%	7.7%	10.6%	10.6%	11.5%	8.0%
Strongly oppose	12.8%	2.4%	27.3%	12.3%	1.8%	3.8%	32.6%	10.6%	14.3%	11.5%	14.9%	8.0%	14.6%	15.0%
Not sure	13.2%	12.6%	11.3%	9.7%	7.5%	7.1%	7.8%	18.1%	9.1%	16.0%	9.8%	17.5%	9.5%	3.7%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(998)	(357)	(265)	(295)	(194)	(343)	(317)	(177)	(225)	(343)	(253)	(377)	(374)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



9. Support for unilateral reduction of warheads without START

Would you favor a unilateral reduction of American nuclear warheads even if Congress does not ratify the agreement with Russia?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Strongly support	17.7%	17.3%	18.1%	17.0%	17.5%	16.0%	21.6%	22.0%	13.7%	10.2%	22.0%	25.5%
Somewhat support	15.8%	16.5%	16.6%	12.1%	15.6%	15.7%	17.4%	15.7%	15.8%	13.5%	15.8%	19.4%
Neither support,												
nor oppose	12.8%	13.0%	12.7%	13.2%	13.1%	15.3%	7.5%	12.0%	13.7%	15.0%	13.8%	8.2%
Somewhat oppose	14.1%	18.0%	12.7%	13.5%	14.1%	16.1%	12.0%	15.1%	13.2%	14.7%	14.1%	13.3%
Strongly oppose	23.7%	17.5%	24.3%	30.2%	26.2%	11.1%	15.2%	30.2%	17.5%	22.5%	23.7%	25.6%
Not sure	15.9%	17.8%	15.6%	14.0%	13.5%	25.8%	26.3%	5.0%	26.1%	24.2%	10.6%	8.0%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (996)	100.0% (239)	100.0% (603)	100.0% (154)	100.0% (810)	100.0% (108)	100.0% (78)	100.0% (451)	100.0% (545)	100.0% (394)	100.0% (333)	100.0% (269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	on		Fa	mily Income)
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Strongly support	17.7%	31.8%	4.2%	17.6%	43.3%	22.4%	3.8%	12.7%	17.9%	15.1%	24.4%	16.5%	16.1%	30.7%
Somewhat support	15.8%	19.7%	11.0%	18.4%	23.2%	20.3%	12.0%	19.4%	17.2%	13.6%	14.9%	14.8%	16.4%	15.6%
Neither support,														
nor oppose	12.8%	13.1%	9.4%	13.6%	7.1%	16.6%	8.5%	9.7%	14.5%	14.9%	10.8%	16.3%	12.2%	7.4%
Somewhat oppose	14.1%	11.7%	19.5%	11.6%	9.3%	18.1%	16.2%	15.9%	11.0%	14.3%	15.5%	14.1%	16.0%	12.6%
Strongly oppose	23.7%	5.0%	44.8%	28.1%	6.8%	14.9%	50.1%	23.8%	27.1%	21.8%	23.1%	16.4%	28.0%	27.4%
Not sure	15.9%	18.6%	11.2%	10.7%	10.4%	7.7%	9.4%	18.4%	12.3%	20.3%	11.4%	21.8%	11.3%	6.2%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(996)	(356)	(264)	(295)	(193)	(343)	(316)	(177)	(225)	(341)	(253)	(376)	(373)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



10. View on illegal immigration

Do you favor or oppose providing a way for illegal immigrants currently in the country to gain legal citizenship if they pass background checks, pay fines, and have jobs?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Favor	44.4%	53.6%	44.6%	30.7%	41.3%	52.9%	62.9%	43.2%	45.5%	34.3%	46.7%	58.5%
Oppose	40.3%	27.5%	40.4%	57.4%	43.6%	29.2%	22.1%	44.5%	36.3%	45.4%	42.1%	29.5%
Not sure	15.4%	18.9%	14.9%	11.9%	15.1%	17.9%	15.0%	12.3%	18.2%	20.3%	11.1%	11.9%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (997)	100.0% (239)	100.0% (604)	100.0% (154)	100.0% (812)	100.0% (107)	100.0% (78)	100.0% (450)	100.0% (547)	100.0% (394)	100.0% (334)	100.0% (269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	÷
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Favor	44.4%	62.7%	25.1%	47.6%	79.2%	48.8%	25.9%	44.8%	38.2%	45.4%	48.2%	44.9%	42.1%	52.7%
Oppose	40.3%	22.2%	63.0%	39.7%	12.4%	36.1%	63.8%	35.6%	47.1%	40.7%	36.9%	37.7%	43.0%	34.2%
Not sure	15.4%	15.0%	11.9%	12.8%	8.5%	15.1%	10.3%	19.7%	14.8%	14.0%	14.9%	17.4%	14.9%	13.1%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(997)	(357)	(264)	(295)	(194)	(344)	(316)	(177)	(225)	(342)	(253)	(376)	(374)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



11. Support for permanent legal status of undocumented workers who go to college or serve in the military

Would you support or oppose granting permanent legal status to students who arrived in the country illegally as minors if they attended college or served in the U.S. military for at least two years?

·	·		Age		·	Race		Gei	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Strongly support	18.0%	24.9%	17.2%	11.0%	15.0%	20.8%	43.4%	17.5%	18.6%	12.7%	17.1%	28.0%
Somewhat support	20.4%	23.0%	19.1%	21.2%	21.1%	21.9%	11.9%	21.3%	19.6%	17.4%	23.7%	21.9%
Neither support,												
nor oppose	11.4%	11.5%	10.1%	15.2%	10.8%	13.7%	13.8%	9.8%	12.8%	13.4%	10.4%	9.0%
Somewhat oppose	11.2%	8.5%	12.9%	9.5%	11.9%	10.2%	5.4%	11.6%	10.9%	9.0%	13.8%	11.9%
Strongly oppose	29.1%	19.7%	31.6%	34.1%	31.6%	17.8%	19.7%	34.4%	24.2%	34.4%	27.9%	21.6%
Not sure	9.8%	12.3%	9.0%	8.9%	9.5%	15.6%	5.7%	5.5%	13.9%	13.2%	6.9%	7.5%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(1,000)	(239)	(606)	(155)	(813)	(109)	(78)	(451)	(549)	(396)	(335)	(269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	Э
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Strongly support	18.0%	31.7%	6.1%	17.1%	42.1%	20.9%	4.8%	14.2%	13.0%	18.6%	24.2%	18.0%	17.9%	22.2%
Somewhat support	20.4%	21.6%	17.9%	22.6%	22.8%	26.0%	15.3%	18.3%	22.2%	18.4%	23.1%	19.6%	22.8%	22.6%
Neither support,														
nor oppose	11.4%	13.2%	9.3%	7.0%	9.7%	10.0%	8.7%	12.8%	9.2%	13.0%	10.0%	15.7%	8.4%	5.5%
Somewhat oppose	11.2%	10.4%	11.0%	13.2%	5.3%	14.1%	14.6%	11.4%	11.5%	11.9%	9.9%	10.0%	11.8%	15.6%
Strongly oppose	29.1%	12.6%	49.2%	31.5%	10.5%	23.4%	51.4%	29.1%	37.8%	26.7%	24.8%	25.9%	33.2%	25.6%
Not sure	9.8%	10.4%	6.5%	8.6%	9.6%	5.6%	5.2%	14.1%	6.4%	11.3%	8.0%	10.9%	5.9%	8.5%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(1,000)	(359)	(265)	(295)	(194)	(344)	(318)	(177)	(225)	(345)	(253)	(377)	(376)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



12. Support for temporary legal status of undocumented workers who go to college or serve in the military

Would you support or oppose granting temporary legal status to students who arrived in the country illegally as minors if they enroll in college or the military?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Strongly support	18.4%	24.9%	17.9%	10.7%	16.0%	24.3%	33.6%	17.8%	18.9%	12.0%	19.0%	28.1%
Somewhat support	23.2%	27.7%	22.3%	19.9%	24.0%	21.4%	17.7%	23.1%	23.3%	19.7%	25.6%	26.2%
Neither support,												
nor oppose	10.7%	13.9%	9.0%	11.7%	10.3%	14.4%	9.3%	11.8%	9.6%	12.6%	8.3%	10.2%
Somewhat oppose	11.3%	8.3%	12.7%	10.8%	11.4%	11.7%	9.7%	10.9%	11.6%	9.6%	14.2%	10.7%
Strongly oppose	27.6%	16.5%	30.0%	35.5%	30.1%	15.4%	18.8%	31.7%	23.7%	33.7%	27.7%	17.4%
Not sure	8.9%	8.7%	8.2%	11.4%	8.2%	12.9%	10.9%	4.7%	12.9%	12.3%	5.3%	7.3%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (996)	100.0% (238)	100.0% (604)	100.0% (154)	100.0% (811)	100.0% (108)	100.0% (77)	100.0% (450)	100.0% (546)	100.0% (393)	100.0% (334)	100.0% (269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	on		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Strongly support	18.4%	30.5%	8.6%	16.9%	41.7%	19.5%	5.6%	18.9%	14.4%	18.2%	21.7%	18.5%	18.5%	22.6%
Somewhat support	23.2%	27.1%	18.4%	24.2%	27.6%	28.2%	18.6%	21.7%	22.7%	23.3%	24.5%	22.3%	23.5%	24.2%
Neither support,														
nor oppose	10.7%	12.6%	8.5%	9.1%	7.9%	12.0%	7.6%	5.9%	10.9%	11.4%	12.8%	12.0%	10.9%	6.8%
Somewhat oppose	11.3%	8.5%	15.5%	11.7%	4.1%	12.5%	18.0%	13.5%	12.2%	11.0%	9.3%	9.9%	11.5%	16.0%
Strongly oppose	27.6%	11.8%	44.5%	30.3%	10.0%	22.4%	46.7%	26.8%	34.4%	25.9%	24.5%	26.4%	31.3%	21.2%
Not sure	8.9%	9.5%	4.5%	7.6%	8.7%	5.5%	3.5%	13.2%	5.4%	10.3%	7.2%	10.9%	4.4%	9.2%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(996)	(358)	(264)	(294)	(194)	(344)	(316)	(176)	(225)	(343)	(252)	(376)	(374)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



13. Support for funding education for undocumented immigrants

Do you support or oppose students being eligible for in-state tuition and student loans regardless of immigration status.

	•		Age	•		Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Strongly support	8.8%	13.4%	7.8%	5.6%	7.0%	10.9%	23.7%	9.1%	8.5%	4.7%	9.7%	14.7%
Somewhat support	8.8%	15.8%	6.2%	7.4%	8.0%	7.4%	18.6%	7.7%	9.9%	7.6%	8.7%	11.0%
Neither support,												
nor oppose	10.2%	16.1%	9.8%	3.5%	9.6%	14.5%	11.0%	8.6%	11.8%	8.9%	13.0%	9.3%
Somewhat oppose	14.4%	14.9%	14.2%	14.4%	15.1%	12.3%	10.7%	15.3%	13.6%	16.6%	12.1%	13.5%
Strongly oppose	51.1%	33.1%	54.7%	64.8%	54.7%	42.8%	27.9%	57.2%	45.5%	51.8%	52.5%	48.5%
Not sure	6.6%	6.7%	7.2%	4.4%	5.6%	12.1%	8.1%	2.2%	10.6%	10.4%	4.0%	3.1%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(1,000)	(239)	(606)	(155)	(813)	(109)	(78)	(451)	(549)	(396)	(335)	(269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Strongly support	8.8%	16.7%	2.1%	7.5%	25.9%	6.4%	1.1%	8.2%	5.6%	7.5%	13.8%	8.1%	9.3%	12.1%
Somewhat support	8.8%	13.9%	4.5%	9.0%	16.7%	12.0%	2.9%	7.9%	6.2%	9.7%	10.5%	8.8%	7.8%	10.8%
Neither support,														
nor oppose	10.2%	12.5%	4.5%	9.7%	12.3%	11.4%	5.1%	9.4%	11.2%	9.6%	10.9%	12.7%	10.2%	6.6%
Somewhat oppose	14.4%	16.3%	12.5%	14.7%	14.3%	19.3%	10.8%	12.9%	16.6%	13.2%	15.3%	17.3%	11.0%	15.8%
Strongly oppose	51.1%	32.9%	73.5%	55.0%	25.8%	47.8%	77.7%	53.1%	56.0%	51.0%	45.8%	43.7%	58.3%	52.0%
Not sure	6.6%	7.7%	3.0%	4.1%	5.0%	3.0%	2.2%	8.6%	4.5%	9.0%	3.7%	9.4%	3.5%	2.7%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(1,000)	(359)	(265)	(295)	(194)	(344)	(318)	(177)	(225)	(345)	(253)	(377)	(376)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



14. Support for DREAM Act

The DREAM Act is a bill that would enable current immigrant students who arrived illegally in the U.S. as minors to apply for temporary legal status if they enroll in college or serve in the U.S. military. This would also allow them to eventually seek permanent residency and apply for citizenship. Do you support or oppose the DREAM Act?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Strongly support	19.0%	27.7%	17.4%	12.2%	15.8%	24.5%	43.0%	18.2%	19.8%	11.8%	20.9%	28.9%
Somewhat support	23.8%	25.1%	23.3%	23.7%	25.1%	21.1%	15.1%	24.5%	23.1%	21.6%	23.8%	27.4%
Neither support,												
nor oppose	9.8%	12.7%	8.9%	8.4%	9.2%	15.1%	8.0%	8.5%	11.0%	11.7%	7.4%	9.1%
Somewhat oppose	12.3%	11.4%	12.3%	13.5%	13.2%	9.2%	8.2%	13.2%	11.5%	8.9%	16.3%	13.5%
Strongly oppose	28.6%	16.1%	31.1%	38.2%	31.1%	17.0%	19.2%	32.6%	24.9%	35.1%	27.8%	18.6%
Not sure	6.5%	7.0%	7.1%	4.0%	5.6%	13.1%	6.5%	3.0%	9.8%	10.8%	3.7%	2.5%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(997)	(239)	(605)	(153)	(810)	(109)	(78)	(450)	(547)	(394)	(334)	(269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Strongly support	19.0%	35.3%	5.5%	17.2%	45.6%	21.7%	4.3%	16.2%	11.7%	21.0%	24.8%	21.8%	15.7%	24.2%
Somewhat support	23.8%	27.2%	18.3%	26.6%	28.7%	32.0%	17.3%	23.7%	22.9%	21.9%	27.1%	21.0%	25.9%	27.0%
Neither support,														
nor oppose	9.8%	8.8%	8.3%	9.9%	7.1%	11.3%	5.7%	10.7%	12.8%	9.5%	6.8%	11.7%	9.2%	8.1%
Somewhat oppose	12.3%	8.8%	17.0%	12.3%	5.9%	12.0%	17.7%	11.7%	13.8%	13.3%	10.2%	10.1%	14.2%	13.8%
Strongly oppose	28.6%	12.1%	48.8%	29.4%	8.5%	20.4%	51.8%	27.7%	33.7%	27.5%	26.2%	25.5%	31.9%	24.3%
Not sure	6.5%	7.7%	2.2%	4.6%	4.2%	2.5%	3.2%	10.0%	5.1%	6.9%	4.8%	9.9%	3.1%	2.6%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(997)	(357)	(265)	(294)	(193)	(344)	(318)	(177)	(223)	(344)	(253)	(374)	(376)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



15. Support for national sales tax

Do you support or oppose a national sales tax?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Strongly support	8.8%	6.6%	10.6%	6.3%	8.6%	12.1%	7.0%	11.1%	6.8%	7.5%	11.4%	8.2%
Somewhat support	15.6%	13.9%	14.7%	20.8%	15.4%	15.4%	17.7%	16.0%	15.2%	13.2%	19.0%	15.8%
Neither support,												
nor oppose	17.3%	21.3%	16.4%	14.2%	16.3%	18.7%	24.1%	16.3%	18.1%	21.2%	13.7%	14.8%
Somewhat oppose	11.6%	14.0%	10.7%	11.2%	12.3%	5.4%	13.5%	10.0%	13.2%	9.1%	11.9%	15.4%
Strongly oppose	35.2%	30.8%	35.5%	40.5%	37.6%	26.4%	23.4%	38.2%	32.3%	34.6%	35.8%	35.5%
Not sure	11.5%	13.4%	12.0%	7.1%	9.8%	21.9%	14.3%	8.4%	14.4%	14.4%	8.3%	10.3%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(997)	(239)	(604)	(154)	(811)	(108)	(78)	(450)	(547)	(394)	(334)	(269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income)
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Strongly support	8.8%	13.2%	4.4%	9.9%	11.2%	12.5%	5.2%	6.2%	11.8%	7.3%	10.2%	9.2%	10.4%	4.6%
Somewhat support	15.6%	18.3%	14.0%	17.7%	20.1%	19.8%	13.4%	9.0%	16.0%	15.3%	20.2%	14.3%	17.4%	17.2%
Neither support,														
nor oppose	17.3%	20.7%	10.9%	15.9%	23.6%	15.9%	10.5%	20.1%	16.9%	15.3%	18.2%	17.5%	17.0%	17.1%
Somewhat oppose	11.6%	10.4%	14.8%	9.6%	9.8%	13.0%	12.0%	11.9%	12.0%	13.4%	8.7%	10.9%	12.0%	12.9%
Strongly oppose	35.2%	26.4%	49.4%	35.5%	24.8%	29.5%	52.3%	32.6%	34.6%	37.2%	34.7%	31.9%	36.9%	37.2%
Not sure	11.5%	11.0%	6.5%	11.4%	10.4%	9.3%	6.6%	20.2%	8.7%	11.5%	8.0%	16.1%	6.3%	11.0%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(997)	(358)	(264)	(295)	(194)	(344)	(317)	(177)	(225)	(344)	(251)	(375)	(375)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



16. Support for "cap and trade" plan

Do you approve or disapprove of using a "cap and trade" plan to lower pollution?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Approve strongly Approve	11.4%	14.5%	10.8%	9.0%	10.0%	17.3%	17.0%	11.7%	11.1%	6.9%	13.3%	16.7%
somewhat Disapprove	20.8%	24.4%	21.4%	13.8%	21.7%	15.7%	17.9%	22.3%	19.3%	16.6%	22.9%	25.2%
somewhat Disapprove	8.7%	7.7%	9.6%	6.9%	7.9%	6.6%	18.2%	9.0%	8.4%	8.8%	5.8%	11.6%
strongly	27.1%	16.3%	28.0%	39.3%	30.3%	12.2%	16.3%	36.4%	18.4%	25.2%	29.6%	27.6%
Not sure	32.1%	37.1%	30.2%	30.9%	30.0%	48.3%	30.5%	20.6%	42.8%	42.5%	28.3%	18.8%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (998)	100.0% (239)	100.0% (604)	100.0% (155)	100.0% (811)	100.0% (109)	100.0% (78)	100.0% (450)	100.0% (548)	100.0% (395)	100.0% (335)	100.0% (268)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fai	mily Income	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Approve strongly Approve	11.4%	23.3%	4.0%	7.3%	29.8%	12.5%	3.2%	15.8%	9.1%	8.7%	14.0%	10.2%	11.1%	17.6%
somewhat Disapprove	20.8%	27.7%	11.4%	23.3%	28.8%	30.8%	9.5%	18.1%	20.7%	23.4%	19.0%	20.9%	21.6%	21.6%
somewhat Disapprove	8.7%	5.6%	10.4%	11.3%	6.1%	11.8%	9.0%	5.4%	8.6%	9.6%	9.6%	8.0%	9.8%	9.2%
strongly Not sure	27.1% 32.1%	4.1% 39.3%	54.2% 20.0%	32.4% 25.6%	5.1% 30.3%	13.9% 31.0%	62.9% 15.5%	24.5% 36.2%	32.7% 28.8%	25.3% 33.0%	26.5% 30.8%	20.8% 40.2%	30.0% 27.5%	33.2% 18.4%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (998)	100.0% (359)	100.0% (265)	100.0% (294)	100.0% (194)	100.0% (344)	100.0% (318)	100.0% (177)	100.0% (224)	100.0% (345)	100.0% (252)	100.0% (376)	100.0% (375)	100.0% (123)

November 20-23, 2010



17. Opinion on Bush tax cuts

The tax cuts enacted in 2001 under President George W. Bush will expire at the end of this year unless Congress makes changes. Which of the following best describes what Congress should do about the tax cuts?

		·	Age	·	·	Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Keep all tax cuts in												
place	34.4%	24.4%	35.0%	46.4%	37.3%	20.4%	24.8%	38.6%	30.5%	35.6%	34.8%	32.0%
Keep tax cuts only												
for those earning												
under \$250,000	42.0%	41.3%	43.4%	38.8%	41.3%	47.5%	42.2%	39.6%	44.3%	35.6%	46.8%	47.3%
Let all tax cuts												
expire	10.7%	17.7%	8.8%	7.2%	9.8%	12.6%	17.5%	15.9%	5.9%	9.1%	9.3%	15.0%
Not sure	12.8%	16.7%	12.8%	7.6%	11.7%	19.5%	15.5%	5.9%	19.4%	19.7%	9.0%	5.7%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(998)	(239)	(604)	(155)	(812)	(108)	(78)	(451)	(547)	(394)	(335)	(269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fai	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Keep all tax cuts in place Keep tax cuts only	34.4%	9.1%	69.5%	34.3%	5.2%	20.7%	74.5%	32.2%	34.5%	36.6%	32.9%	28.7%	38.1%	36.7%
for those earning under \$250,000 Let all tax cuts	42.0%	60.5%	21.5%	42.5%	57.8%	60.0%	19.2%	42.5%	44.0%	37.7%	45.8%	44.1%	43.5%	44.8%
expire	10.7%	16.2%	2.0%	12.9%	27.4%	11.4%	2.5%	10.1%	11.5%	12.0%	8.7%	10.1%	10.5%	11.5%
Not sure	12.8%	14.1%	7.0%	10.3%	9.6%	7.9%	3.8%	15.2%	10.0%	13.7%	12.5%	17.1%	7.9%	7.1%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (998)	100.0% (358)	100.0% (265)	100.0% (295)	100.0% (194)	100.0% (344)	100.0% (317)	100.0% (177)	100.0% (225)	100.0% (344)	100.0% (252)	100.0% (376)	100.0% (375)	100.0% (123)

November 20-23, 2010



18. Support for voting right for lame duck legislators

Senators and Representatives who were not re-elected remain in office until January 3, 2011. Do you think that they should be allowed to vote on legislation while they are still in office?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Yes	51.6%	52.3%	50.9%	53.0%	50.8%	59.9%	48.5%	56.7%	46.9%	42.4%	53.7%	64.7%
No	32.5%	26.1%	33.9%	37.1%	34.1%	23.3%	29.1%	34.3%	30.9%	36.8%	33.2%	24.6%
Not sure	15.8%	21.6%	15.2%	9.9%	15.0%	16.8%	22.3%	9.0%	22.2%	20.7%	13.2%	10.7%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (999)	100.0% (239)	100.0% (605)	100.0% (155)	100.0% (813)	100.0% (108)	100.0% (78)	100.0% (451)	100.0% (548)	100.0% (395)	100.0% (335)	100.0% (269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income)
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Yes	51.6%	72.7%	33.1%	52.5%	78.1%	66.7%	30.5%	50.5%	59.8%	44.7%	54.6%	47.8%	57.3%	62.3%
No	32.5%	14.7%	56.3%	31.5%	13.3%	20.9%	59.2%	30.4%	25.5%	40.7%	29.2%	31.7%	31.5%	33.1%
Not sure	15.8%	12.6%	10.6%	16.0%	8.7%	12.5%	10.3%	19.1%	14.7%	14.7%	16.2%	20.5%	11.2%	4.6%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(999)	(358)	(265)	(295)	(194)	(344)	(317)	(177)	(225)	(344)	(253)	(377)	(375)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



19. Feelings about earmarks

Members of Congress sometimes add provisions to legislation that include government spending projects for their own states or districts. Such spending projects are known as 'earmarks' or 'pork.' Do you approve or disapprove of this practice?

			Age	·		Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Strongly approve Somewhat	1.9%	3.7%	1.8%	0.0%	1.5%	5.7%	1.5%	3.0%	0.9%	0.4%	4.3%	1.8%
approve Neither approve,	6.8%	6.4%	7.7%	4.6%	5.6%	14.8%	8.1%	8.3%	5.4%	4.8%	6.4%	10.7%
nor disapprove Somewhat	12.8%	18.0%	13.0%	5.0%	11.6%	16.3%	19.8%	10.6%	14.9%	15.6%	10.6%	10.7%
disapprove Strongly	20.6%	25.6%	20.7%	13.4%	21.1%	22.0%	14.2%	20.9%	20.3%	17.3%	22.0%	24.6%
disapprove	46.8%	30.3%	45.7%	72.8%	51.0%	18.1%	43.4%	50.7%	43.0%	46.0%	46.5%	48.4%
Not sure	11.1%	15.9%	11.1%	4.2%	9.2%	23.0%	13.0%	6.4%	15.4%	15.9%	10.2%	3.8%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (998)	100.0% (239)	100.0% (604)	100.0% (155)	100.0% (812)	100.0% (108)	100.0% (78)	100.0% (451)	100.0% (547)	100.0% (395)	100.0% (334)	100.0% (269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	on		Fa	mily Income	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Strongly approve Somewhat	1.9%	3.5%	0.0%	2.3%	5.5%	1.6%	1.0%	0.5%	3.3%	1.3%	2.6%	2.1%	2.0%	3.2%
approve Neither approve,	6.8%	12.7%	1.8%	5.0%	12.0%	10.3%	1.6%	5.4%	7.6%	6.2%	7.9%	6.7%	7.4%	8.3%
nor disapprove Somewhat	12.8%	15.3%	9.7%	11.6%	17.6%	14.4%	5.3%	11.4%	13.2%	14.7%	10.9%	18.7%	9.8%	4.5%
disapprove Strongly	20.6%	23.0%	19.4%	20.8%	25.7%	21.2%	18.3%	21.6%	22.2%	18.7%	21.2%	21.3%	20.5%	22.7%
disapprove	46.8%	31.9%	63.3%	52.9%	33.4%	44.1%	69.1%	44.7%	45.1%	47.0%	49.4%	36.4%	51.8%	59.3%
Not sure	11.1%	13.7%	5.8%	7.3%	5.8%	8.3%	4.8%	16.4%	8.6%	12.1%	8.1%	14.8%	8.5%	2.0%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (998)	100.0% (358)	100.0% (265)	100.0% (295)	100.0% (194)	100.0% (344)	100.0% (317)	100.0% (177)	100.0% (225)	100.0% (343)	100.0% (253)	100.0% (377)	100.0% (375)	100.0% (123)

November 20-23, 2010



20. Trust ban on earmarks

Some members of Congress have promised to ban 'earmarks'. Do you trust Congress to follow-through with this ban?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Yes	8.4%	6.6%	7.4%	13.9%	8.0%	11.1%	8.3%	9.2%	7.6%	9.5%	9.0%	5.8%
No	70.2%	64.6%	71.9%	72.4%	71.6%	60.1%	69.6%	75.7%	65.0%	64.5%	72.3%	77.2%
Not sure	21.5%	28.8%	20.7%	13.7%	20.4%	28.8%	22.1%	15.2%	27.4%	26.0%	18.8%	17.0%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (996)	100.0% (239)	100.0% (603)	100.0% (154)	100.0% (810)	100.0% (108)	100.0% (78)	100.0% (451)	100.0% (545)	100.0% (392)	100.0% (335)	100.0% (269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	÷
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Yes	8.4%	7.9%	13.0%	5.3%	5.4%	8.8%	12.3%	6.3%	6.0%	7.8%	12.7%	11.3%	6.9%	7.2%
No	70.2%	72.5%	67.7%	77.4%	83.0%	74.5%	68.1%	70.6%	73.3%	69.1%	68.6%	62.4%	76.1%	82.8%
Not sure	21.5%	19.6%	19.3%	17.3%	11.6%	16.7%	19.6%	23.1%	20.7%	23.1%	18.7%	26.3%	17.0%	10.0%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(996)	(357)	(265)	(295)	(194)	(343)	(317)	(177)	(224)	(343)	(252)	(375)	(375)	(122)

November 20-23, 2010



21. Trust in elected officials

How often can you trust your elected officials to do the right thing?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Always	0.5%	0.3%	0.4%	1.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.3%
Nearly always	5.5%	7.9%	3.9%	7.5%	5.4%	6.8%	4.7%	5.5%	5.6%	4.5%	6.6%	6.0%
Some of the time	48.6%	54.2%	47.1%	45.6%	50.4%	46.9%	33.3%	48.9%	48.3%	44.6%	46.8%	57.3%
Almost never	31.9%	27.2%	34.3%	30.5%	31.1%	27.7%	44.7%	32.2%	31.6%	31.2%	34.2%	30.4%
Never	8.4%	4.6%	8.7%	12.8%	8.5%	5.8%	11.3%	10.5%	6.5%	10.9%	8.0%	4.8%
Not sure	5.1%	5.7%	5.6%	2.7%	4.0%	12.9%	6.0%	3.0%	7.1%	7.9%	4.5%	1.2%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(999)	(239)	(605)	(155)	(813)	(108)	(78)	(451)	(548)	(395)	(335)	(269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	on		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Always	0.5%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.2%	0.2%	0.0%	0.3%	0.5%	1.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%
Nearly always	5.5%	8.3%	5.5%	3.3%	5.6%	6.6%	6.1%	5.3%	4.1%	5.7%	6.7%	5.2%	6.2%	5.2%
Some of the time	48.6%	50.6%	53.4%	42.7%	56.0%	49.5%	48.8%	51.9%	48.1%	46.8%	49.2%	49.2%	45.8%	54.3%
Almost never	31.9%	26.7%	31.9%	40.8%	29.1%	32.8%	33.2%	26.2%	35.7%	31.3%	33.2%	30.2%	34.3%	32.1%
Never	8.4%	6.3%	6.7%	9.9%	6.6%	7.2%	8.3%	8.3%	9.8%	7.1%	9.2%	7.7%	10.2%	5.8%
Not sure	5.1%	6.8%	2.4%	3.3%	1.8%	3.6%	3.3%	8.3%	2.0%	8.7%	0.9%	6.5%	3.5%	2.6%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(999)	(358)	(265)	(295)	(194)	(344)	(317)	(177)	(225)	(344)	(253)	(377)	(375)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



22. Elected officials seek personal gain instead of public welfare

How often do you think your elected officials consider their own personal gain instead of the public's welfare?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Always	20.3%	11.4%	21.4%	29.0%	18.7%	24.7%	30.2%	20.1%	20.5%	25.5%	18.3%	13.9%
Nearly always	38.5%	37.3%	41.7%	29.9%	39.0%	32.1%	42.0%	40.1%	37.0%	34.6%	44.3%	38.4%
Some of the time	30.8%	38.7%	28.1%	28.5%	33.1%	25.3%	16.1%	32.0%	29.6%	25.3%	30.4%	40.4%
Almost never	4.0%	3.4%	3.8%	5.7%	3.5%	6.5%	5.7%	3.2%	4.8%	4.4%	3.3%	4.1%
Never	1.0%	1.0%	0.8%	1.8%	1.0%	0.7%	1.7%	1.1%	0.9%	1.5%	0.8%	0.5%
Not sure	5.4%	8.3%	4.2%	5.1%	4.8%	10.7%	4.3%	3.4%	7.2%	8.7%	2.9%	2.6%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(997)	(238)	(604)	(155)	(811)	(108)	(78)	(451)	(546)	(394)	(334)	(269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Always	20.3%	18.3%	19.6%	22.3%	19.9%	17.0%	20.8%	19.0%	21.7%	19.5%	21.0%	21.7%	18.2%	22.3%
Nearly always	38.5%	32.5%	39.0%	47.2%	37.9%	40.7%	42.3%	36.4%	35.6%	39.7%	40.7%	35.6%	39.5%	47.3%
Some of the time	30.8%	36.9%	33.4%	23.2%	33.4%	33.0%	31.8%	32.1%	35.9%	28.3%	28.9%	30.3%	31.9%	25.3%
Almost never	4.0%	4.5%	3.4%	3.6%	4.2%	3.6%	3.1%	3.9%	2.9%	4.3%	4.8%	3.4%	5.0%	3.4%
Never	1.0%	1.7%	0.0%	1.0%	0.9%	1.6%	0.0%	0.6%	1.0%	0.6%	1.8%	1.5%	0.8%	0.0%
Not sure	5.4%	6.2%	4.6%	2.8%	3.7%	4.0%	1.9%	8.1%	2.9%	7.6%	2.7%	7.6%	4.6%	1.7%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(997)	(357)	(265)	(295)	(194)	(343)	(317)	(177)	(225)	(344)	(251)	(376)	(375)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



23. Awareness of Charlie Rangel's charges
How much have you heard or read about Charlie Rangel's corruption charges?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
A lot	30.9%	17.2%	33.0%	43.0%	31.7%	30.5%	23.7%	39.4%	22.9%	24.8%	33.2%	38.5%
A little	39.0%	34.7%	39.7%	42.6%	40.2%	34.3%	33.2%	42.5%	35.7%	33.1%	40.1%	47.6%
Nothing at all	30.1%	48.0%	27.2%	14.4%	28.1%	35.2%	43.1%	18.1%	41.4%	42.1%	26.7%	13.9%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(998)	(239)	(604)	(155)	(813)	(107)	(78)	(451)	(547)	(394)	(335)	(269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income)
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
A lot	30.9%	24.7%	39.0%	35.1%	29.3%	30.1%	45.4%	33.5%	31.0%	29.8%	30.5%	20.9%	34.4%	47.7%
A little	39.0%	43.4%	36.6%	41.7%	45.2%	43.7%	37.2%	33.4%	39.6%	37.6%	44.3%	37.6%	41.4%	42.2%
Nothing at all	30.1%	31.9%	24.4%	23.2%	25.5%	26.2%	17.4%	33.1%	29.4%	32.6%	25.2%	41.5%	24.2%	10.1%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(998)	(357)	(265)	(295)	(194)	(344)	(317)	(177)	(225)	(343)	(253)	(376)	(375)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



24. Favorable opinion of these individualsDo you have a favorable or an unfavorable opinion of the following people?

			Age			Race		Gei	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Sarah Palin	37.8%	33.4%	37.1%	45.9%	41.8%	16.0%	26.8%	40.6%	35.1%	43.6%	36.2%	29.7%
John Boehner	30.2%	20.9%	27.9%	50.4%	33.8%	12.0%	19.3%	36.1%	24.8%	30.7%	28.6%	31.3%
Mitch McConnell	23.1%	15.9%	21.5%	38.2%	26.1%	9.3%	11.5%	28.1%	18.4%	22.7%	23.3%	23.5%
Barack Obama	45.2%	45.8%	47.1%	38.4%	39.8%	75.6%	57.5%	46.2%	44.3%	38.7%	47.5%	53.6%
Nancy Pelosi	28.1%	28.6%	27.7%	28.6%	24.0%	49.6%	40.1%	28.1%	28.2%	20.7%	30.1%	38.3%
Harry Reid	23.0%	15.7%	23.2%	32.1%	21.9%	28.6%	26.1%	25.2%	20.8%	15.9%	22.4%	35.5%
Totals	(1,000)	(239)	(606)	(155)	(813)	(109)	(78)	(451)	(549)	(396)	(335)	(269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	+
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Sarah Palin	37.8%	10.0%	72.5%	38.3%	7.9%	18.8%	77.4%	39.3%	35.1%	37.6%	39.3%	35.1%	41.8%	32.5%
John Boehner	30.2%	9.2%	59.5%	30.8%	6.7%	18.4%	65.0%	24.7%	34.4%	29.5%	31.4%	23.4%	33.6%	38.0%
Mitch McConnell	23.1%	6.1%	49.6%	20.7%	4.2%	12.7%	53.9%	18.8%	26.3%	20.1%	27.2%	17.3%	26.0%	31.5%
Barack Obama	45.2%	85.2%	3.7%	42.3%	86.8%	60.9%	9.6%	47.2%	44.9%	38.7%	52.9%	45.8%	43.9%	52.1%
Nancy Pelosi	28.1%	58.1%	2.7%	22.0%	62.1%	36.5%	4.5%	24.1%	24.4%	27.8%	34.5%	28.7%	26.4%	34.8%
Harry Reid	23.0%	43.8%	1.9%	21.8%	46.8%	35.1%	3.9%	22.9%	18.8%	18.5%	32.6%	22.0%	21.3%	36.6%
Totals	(1,000)	(359)	(265)	(295)	(194)	(344)	(318)	(177)	(225)	(345)	(253)	(377)	(376)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



25. Unfavorable opinion of the these individuals

Do you have a favorable or an unfavorable opinion of the following people?

			Age			Race		Gei	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Sarah Palin	55.7%	56.7%	57.0%	50.5%	52.4%	74.4%	64.0%	54.7%	56.8%	46.6%	58.6%	67.8%
John Boehner	30.9%	24.7%	33.2%	31.9%	29.9%	31.0%	40.2%	36.3%	25.8%	22.2%	32.0%	44.1%
Mitch McConnell	32.3%	25.0%	34.2%	36.1%	30.8%	41.3%	34.3%	40.1%	24.9%	26.1%	33.2%	41.5%
Barack Obama	49.5%	46.4%	47.8%	59.4%	55.7%	15.8%	34.0%	50.8%	48.4%	52.6%	49.5%	44.5%
Nancy Pelosi	57.4%	49.1%	58.1%	66.8%	63.5%	27.9%	37.7%	64.2%	51.1%	58.0%	57.7%	56.2%
Harry Reid	45.4%	37.5%	46.4%	53.1%	48.8%	28.2%	34.5%	55.2%	36.2%	43.3%	47.4%	46.6%
Totals	(1,000)	(239)	(606)	(155)	(813)	(109)	(78)	(451)	(549)	(396)	(335)	(269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Sarah Palin	55.7%	86.2%	23.9%	55.9%	90.6%	77.2%	19.7%	54.7%	60.5%	53.5%	55.3%	55.1%	55.2%	64.2%
John Boehner	30.9%	48.4%	9.3%	35.8%	57.7%	44.4%	10.0%	29.7%	34.1%	27.7%	33.1%	26.5%	31.3%	41.8%
Mitch McConnell	32.3%	45.0%	14.0%	38.7%	56.1%	42.3%	15.8%	27.0%	37.0%	31.7%	32.5%	29.8%	32.5%	42.2%
Barack Obama	49.5%	11.4%	95.0%	52.9%	11.8%	35.7%	89.0%	45.9%	52.5%	54.5%	42.8%	44.9%	54.6%	45.4%
Nancy Pelosi	57.4%	25.5%	92.0%	67.3%	25.9%	53.1%	91.7%	55.0%	63.3%	57.6%	53.7%	49.7%	63.6%	61.1%
Harry Reid	45.4%	18.2%	72.8%	56.0%	23.8%	38.9%	77.0%	38.1%	51.5%	46.9%	42.9%	35.0%	51.7%	53.1%
Totals	(1,000)	(359)	(265)	(295)	(194)	(344)	(318)	(177)	(225)	(345)	(253)	(377)	(376)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



26. Palin presidential candidacy in 2012Do you think Sarah Palin will run for president of the United States in 2012?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Very likely	24.1%	24.2%	24.9%	21.1%	23.2%	23.1%	33.5%	24.7%	23.5%	23.3%	24.9%	24.4%
Somewhat likely	40.7%	42.6%	40.3%	39.2%	41.9%	32.2%	39.8%	40.7%	40.7%	37.2%	41.9%	45.2%
Somewhat unlikely	12.4%	12.8%	11.4%	14.9%	13.4%	10.0%	6.2%	14.5%	10.4%	11.1%	15.6%	10.9%
Very unlikely	8.7%	8.4%	9.1%	7.8%	8.1%	14.9%	6.0%	9.3%	8.1%	8.4%	8.8%	9.0%
Not sure	14.1%	11.9%	14.3%	16.9%	13.3%	19.8%	14.5%	10.8%	17.3%	20.0%	8.7%	10.4%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(998)	(238)	(605)	(155)	(811)	(109)	(78)	(450)	(548)	(396)	(333)	(269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income)
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very likely	24.1%	26.9%	22.1%	26.7%	26.6%	29.7%	18.7%	21.7%	24.1%	24.3%	25.4%	21.8%	28.5%	22.6%
Somewhat likely	40.7%	38.2%	45.1%	42.8%	41.2%	39.7%	47.2%	43.3%	43.4%	35.4%	43.8%	37.7%	41.6%	52.6%
Somewhat unlikely	12.4%	9.5%	15.1%	13.3%	10.9%	13.0%	15.6%	14.7%	10.8%	13.0%	11.4%	11.7%	12.9%	12.2%
Very unlikely	8.7%	12.3%	6.0%	5.1%	11.7%	7.9%	7.0%	9.8%	7.5%	10.0%	7.2%	11.7%	5.4%	7.0%
Not sure	14.1%	13.1%	11.7%	12.0%	9.5%	9.7%	11.5%	10.5%	14.2%	17.4%	12.2%	17.1%	11.6%	5.7%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(998)	(358)	(264)	(295)	(194)	(343)	(317)	(177)	(224)	(345)	(252)	(377)	(376)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



27. Vote choice between Palin and Obama

If Sarah Palin were the Republican nominee for president in 2012, who would you vote for in the presidential election?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Sarah Palin	33.1%	27.9%	31.0%	47.0%	37.9%	6.8%	21.4%	34.7%	31.6%	35.4%	33.9%	28.4%
Barack Obama	45.8%	48.1%	46.4%	40.8%	40.1%	79.2%	56.9%	47.0%	44.6%	36.8%	48.9%	57.2%
Don't plan to vote	5.6%	5.4%	6.2%	3.8%	6.0%	2.9%	5.3%	5.2%	5.9%	9.1%	2.9%	2.9%
Not sure	15.5%	18.6%	16.5%	8.3%	16.0%	11.1%	16.4%	13.1%	17.8%	18.7%	14.4%	11.5%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (994)	100.0% (236)	100.0% (603)	100.0% (155)	100.0% (807)	100.0% (109)	100.0% (78)	100.0% (450)	100.0% (544)	100.0% (392)	100.0% (333)	100.0% (269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	Э
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Sarah Palin	33.1%	3.7%	76.4%	30.5%	4.1%	13.4%	75.7%	30.3%	32.1%	35.9%	32.1%	26.9%	38.3%	34.7%
Barack Obama	45.8%	87.9%	5.8%	42.5%	87.4%	64.7%	8.7%	42.7%	47.3%	42.9%	50.5%	44.5%	44.5%	54.4%
Don't plan to vote	5.6%	2.8%	1.9%	7.1%	4.7%	4.1%	2.2%	9.4%	6.9%	2.8%	5.7%	8.5%	4.3%	1.4%
Not sure	15.5%	5.6%	15.9%	19.9%	3.8%	17.8%	13.3%	17.6%	13.7%	18.4%	11.8%	20.1%	12.8%	9.5%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(994)	(359)	(264)	(292)	(193)	(343)	(316)	(175)	(224)	(343)	(252)	(375)	(374)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



28. Palin's chances in election against Obama

If Sarah Palin were the Republican nominee for president in 2012, do you think she would beat Barack Obama in the presidential election?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Definitely yes	10.4%	6.2%	11.3%	13.7%	11.7%	3.1%	7.6%	11.4%	9.6%	12.7%	10.4%	6.8%
Probably yes	16.3%	15.0%	14.2%	25.1%	18.1%	8.5%	9.8%	18.9%	14.0%	20.3%	15.4%	10.8%
Probably no	24.8%	31.6%	23.7%	18.5%	26.2%	14.2%	25.1%	27.0%	22.7%	19.7%	27.6%	30.1%
Definitely no	30.6%	29.6%	33.3%	23.0%	27.2%	53.0%	33.2%	32.2%	29.0%	21.5%	35.2%	40.3%
Not sure	17.9%	17.7%	17.4%	19.7%	16.7%	21.2%	24.3%	10.6%	24.7%	25.8%	11.4%	12.1%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (996)	100.0% (239)	100.0% (603)	100.0% (154)	100.0% (809)	100.0% (109)	100.0% (78)	100.0% (449)	100.0% (547)	100.0% (392)	100.0% (335)	100.0% (269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Definitely yes	10.4%	3.2%	21.3%	8.8%	1.6%	5.2%	19.3%	10.1%	10.0%	11.7%	9.5%	11.4%	9.0%	9.4%
Probably yes	16.3%	4.8%	30.8%	17.2%	5.3%	9.5%	30.8%	13.2%	14.4%	18.3%	17.5%	16.8%	17.7%	12.8%
Probably no	24.8%	25.0%	23.7%	28.5%	28.4%	27.9%	25.0%	32.6%	29.4%	19.1%	23.3%	22.4%	29.3%	23.9%
Definitely no	30.6%	52.9%	9.0%	29.8%	55.1%	43.3%	11.2%	27.5%	28.9%	29.5%	35.5%	27.8%	29.9%	42.3%
Not sure	17.9%	14.1%	15.2%	15.7%	9.6%	14.2%	13.7%	16.6%	17.4%	21.4%	14.3%	21.6%	14.1%	11.6%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(996)	(359)	(265)	(294)	(193)	(344)	(317)	(175)	(225)	(344)	(252)	(374)	(376)	(122)

November 20-23, 2010



29. Thanksgiving holiday travel

Will you spend at least one night away from home during the Thanksgiving holiday?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Yes, I will travel more than 100 miles from home	13.0%	13.9%	13.7%	9.5%	13.1%	16.5%	8.2%	14.4%	11.8%	7.2%	15.0%	20.6%
Yes, I will travel less than 100 miles from home	8.8%	16.8%	7.1%	3.1%	8.3%	9.1%	13.2%	10.6%	7.1%	7.5%	11.2%	8.2%
No, I will not spend any nights away from home	78.2%	69.3%	79.2%	87.4%	78.7%	74.4%	78.5%	75.0%	81.1%	85.3%	73.8%	71.2%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (997)	100.0% (239)	100.0% (604)	100.0% (154)	100.0% (811)	100.0% (108)	100.0% (78)	100.0% (450)	100.0% (547)	100.0% (395)	100.0% (333)	100.0% (269)

		Party ID				Ideology		Region				Family Income			
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+	
Yes, I will travel more than 100 miles from home	13.0%	16.9%	12.9%	11.4%	16.9%	15.4%	12.9%	6.6%	14.8%	15.8%	12.1%	9.0%	15.1%	22.2%	
Yes, I will travel less than 100	13.0%	10.9%	12.9%	11.4%	10.9%	15.4%	12.9%	0.0%	14.070	15.0%	12.170	9.0%	15.170	22.270	
miles from home No, I will not spend any nights away	8.8%	8.9%	9.2%	7.6%	14.1%	6.0%	8.9%	8.3%	8.0%	6.3%	13.1%	9.0%	7.3%	8.0%	
from home	78.2%	74.2%	77.9%	81.0%	69.0%	78.6%	78.2%	85.1%	77.2%	77.9%	74.8%	82.0%	77.6%	69.8%	
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (997)	100.0% (358)	100.0% (264)	100.0% (295)	100.0% (193)	100.0% (343)	100.0% (318)	100.0% (175)	100.0% (225)	100.0% (345)	100.0% (252)	100.0% (376)	100.0% (374)	100.0% (123)	

November 20-23, 2010



30. Mode of travel over Thanksgiving holiday
What is the main type of transportation you will use to reach your destination over the Thanksgiving holiday? Asked if respondents will spend at least one night more than 100 miles away from home

		Age				Race		Ger	nder	Education			
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad	
Plane	16.3%	17.1%	19.4%	0.0%	16.1%	16.0%	19.2%	17.8%	14.5%	6.8%	8.4%	28.2%	
Car	77.2%	71.0%	75.0%	100.0%	79.3%	79.7%	39.2%	75.6%	79.0%	93.2%	78.9%	66.5%	
Bus, train, or other public													
transportation	5.0%	12.0%	2.9%	0.0%	2.7%	4.4%	41.6%	4.4%	5.6%	0.0%	9.3%	4.2%	
None of the above	1.6%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	1.0%	0.0%	3.4%	1.1%	
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (128)	100.0% (33)	100.0% (81)	100.0% (14)	100.0% (100)	100.0% (21)	100.0% (7)	100.0% (62)	100.0% (66)	100.0% (25)	100.0% (49)	100.0% (54)	

		Party ID				Ideology			Regio		Family Income			
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Plane	16.3%	18.2%	4.4%	26.8%	26.1%	19.9%	6.6%	15.8%	13.2%	14.7%	22.4%	8.4%	15.6%	22.5%
Car	77.2%	73.0%	93.3%	67.0%	61.0%	76.1%	87.7%	76.9%	82.2%	81.8%	63.7%	87.6%	78.4%	71.9%
Bus, train, or other public														
transportation	5.0%	8.8%	2.3%	1.8%	12.9%	2.8%	2.0%	7.3%	0.0%	3.5%	12.0%	4.0%	5.0%	0.0%
None of the above	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	4.4%	0.0%	1.2%	3.7%	0.0%	4.6%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	1.0%	5.6%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (128)	100.0% (58)	100.0% (31)	100.0% (35)	100.0% (35)	100.0% (47)	100.0% (39)	100.0% (13)	100.0% (30)	100.0% (54)	100.0% (31)	100.0% (37)	100.0% (50)	100.0% (27)

November 20-23, 2010



31. Christmas gift purchasesDo you plan on buying Christmas presents this year?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Yes	79.2%	83.4%	78.8%	74.3%	81.2%	68.3%	73.9%	80.7%	77.7%	73.8%	79.7%	87.5%
No	20.8%	16.6%	21.2%	25.7%	18.8%	31.7%	26.1%	19.3%	22.3%	26.2%	20.3%	12.5%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(1,000)	(239)	(606)	(155)	(813)	(109)	(78)	(451)	(549)	(396)	(335)	(269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio		Family Income			
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Yes	79.2%	77.5%	84.2%	77.8%	72.8%	85.9%	83.0%	79.7%	80.4%	79.6%	77.0%	72.3%	83.8%	91.1%
No	20.8%	22.5%	15.8%	22.2%	27.2%	14.1%	17.0%	20.3%	19.6%	20.4%	23.0%	27.7%	16.2%	8.9%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (1,000)	100.0% (359)	100.0% (265)	100.0% (295)	100.0% (194)	100.0% (344)	100.0% (318)	100.0% (177)	100.0% (225)	100.0% (345)	100.0% (253)	100.0% (377)	100.0% (376)	100.0% (123)

November 20-23, 2010



32. Christmas gift purchases compared to previous years
Compared to previous years, how much do you plan to spend on Christmas gifts this year? Asked if respondent plans on buying Christmas presents this year

		Age				Race		Gender		Education			
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad	
More	7.8%	11.8%	6.2%	6.9%	7.4%	8.1%	12.0%	8.7%	6.9%	9.3%	9.0%	4.4%	
About the same	48.5%	50.2%	45.8%	55.2%	48.4%	54.1%	43.4%	54.8%	42.4%	46.2%	48.9%	51.4%	
Less	41.7%	35.0%	46.0%	38.0%	43.0%	32.6%	39.2%	36.0%	47.4%	41.1%	41.1%	43.3%	
Not sure	2.0%	3.0%	2.0%	0.0%	1.3%	5.1%	5.4%	0.6%	3.3%	3.5%	0.9%	0.8%	
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (795)	100.0% (198)	100.0% (479)	100.0% (118)	100.0% (661)	100.0% (79)	100.0% (55)	100.0% (361)	100.0% (434)	100.0% (296)	100.0% (264)	100.0% (235)	

		Party ID				ldeology			Regio	Family Income				
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
More	7.8%	5.0%	7.3%	10.2%	9.2%	8.8%	7.0%	8.2%	6.8%	7.2%	9.3%	8.4%	7.7%	9.8%
About the same	48.5%	50.0%	53.5%	43.3%	49.8%	47.6%	51.0%	52.0%	52.1%	47.8%	43.7%	42.7%	49.2%	57.7%
Less	41.7%	42.9%	38.2%	44.8%	39.4%	42.9%	41.0%	36.5%	39.6%	43.5%	44.9%	45.4%	41.9%	32.5%
Not sure	2.0%	2.2%	0.9%	1.7%	1.6%	0.8%	1.0%	3.3%	1.4%	1.5%	2.0%	3.5%	1.2%	0.0%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(795)	(280)	(226)	(229)	(140)	(291)	(265)	(143)	(182)	(279)	(191)	(273)	(317)	(110)

November 20-23, 2010



33. Air travel frequency Would you describe yourself as...

		Age				Race		Ger	nder	Education			
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad	
A frequent air													
traveler	7.6%	8.5%	7.5%	6.8%	8.0%	4.0%	8.3%	10.4%	5.0%	2.8%	8.5%	14.7%	
An occasional air													
traveler	71.3%	66.2%	72.1%	76.2%	73.1%	61.9%	66.3%	72.0%	70.8%	62.0%	78.4%	79.0%	
Never flown	21.0%	25.3%	20.4%	17.1%	18.8%	34.0%	25.4%	17.6%	24.3%	35.2%	13.1%	6.3%	
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (999)	100.0% (239)	100.0% (605)	100.0% (155)	100.0% (813)	100.0% (108)	100.0% (78)	100.0% (450)	100.0% (549)	100.0% (396)	100.0% (335)	100.0% (268)	

			Party ID	ldeology			Region				Family Income			
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
A frequent air														
traveler	7.6%	9.5%	8.4%	6.5%	10.9%	9.3%	7.3%	7.9%	3.9%	9.6%	8.0%	1.9%	7.4%	27.9%
An occasional air														
traveler	71.3%	69.6%	77.0%	73.6%	73.2%	72.5%	79.8%	69.3%	76.1%	64.1%	78.3%	65.2%	78.0%	67.9%
Never flown	21.0%	20.9%	14.6%	19.9%	15.9%	18.3%	13.0%	22.7%	20.0%	26.3%	13.7%	33.0%	14.6%	4.2%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(999)	(359)	(264)	(295)	(194)	(344)	(317)	(176)	(225)	(345)	(253)	(377)	(376)	(122)

November 20-23, 2010



34. Effectiveness of air passenger screening during recent travels

Do you think the passenger screening procedures that you saw the last time you flew were too tight or not tight enough? Asked if respondent describes self as a frequent or occasional air traveler

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Too tight	23.0%	26.2%	23.8%	16.4%	21.0%	30.4%	35.0%	26.5%	19.4%	21.3%	22.5%	25.4%
About right	62.9%	67.0%	61.0%	63.3%	65.5%	46.6%	53.5%	61.3%	64.5%	60.3%	64.1%	64.6%
Not tight enough	14.1%	6.8%	15.2%	20.3%	13.4%	22.9%	11.6%	12.2%	16.2%	18.3%	13.4%	10.1%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(808)	(184)	(491)	(133)	(670)	(77)	(61)	(379)	(429)	(268)	(288)	(252)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Too tight	23.0%	20.1%	21.9%	28.3%	28.1%	17.1%	24.0%	16.8%	22.3%	23.1%	27.2%	22.0%	22.6%	25.1%
About right	62.9%	60.5%	64.4%	61.3%	55.6%	67.8%	64.3%	66.9%	60.9%	63.1%	61.8%	64.3%	60.6%	64.6%
Not tight enough	14.1%	19.5%	13.7%	10.4%	16.3%	15.2%	11.7%	16.3%	16.8%	13.8%	11.0%	13.7%	16.8%	10.3%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(808)	(293)	(230)	(237)	(168)	(285)	(276)	(138)	(183)	(264)	(223)	(264)	(326)	(117)

November 20-23, 2010



35. Awareness of changes to security procedures

How much have you heard or read about changes in airport security that went into effect around November 1?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
A lot	57.1%	44.0%	58.4%	71.4%	58.2%	54.5%	49.6%	63.2%	51.4%	51.0%	59.8%	64.4%
A little	33.0%	41.1%	32.5%	23.4%	32.4%	35.5%	36.3%	30.5%	35.4%	35.7%	31.9%	29.7%
Nothing at all	9.9%	14.9%	9.1%	5.2%	9.4%	10.0%	14.1%	6.3%	13.2%	13.3%	8.3%	5.9%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(995)	(239)	(601)	(155)	(809)	(108)	(78)	(450)	(545)	(393)	(334)	(268)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	е
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
A lot	57.1%	56.0%	63.5%	59.9%	60.1%	59.0%	67.0%	55.1%	60.0%	51.8%	63.1%	51.1%	62.2%	71.0%
A little	33.0%	35.8%	30.5%	30.9%	32.6%	31.9%	27.9%	36.3%	31.5%	36.6%	27.3%	34.7%	31.5%	26.2%
Nothing at all	9.9%	8.1%	6.0%	9.2%	7.3%	9.0%	5.1%	8.5%	8.5%	11.6%	9.6%	14.2%	6.3%	2.8%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(995)	(356)	(263)	(295)	(194)	(343)	(314)	(176)	(225)	(343)	(251)	(375)	(375)	(122)

November 20-23, 2010



36. Acceptability of full body scans

Some airports are now using full body scanner machines that send a naked image of you to be looked at by a TSA agent before you can proceed past the security checkpoint, would that be acceptable to you?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Unacceptable	42.9%	57.7%	39.7%	32.4%	43.7%	38.4%	40.4%	45.1%	40.8%	38.9%	48.7%	42.8%
Acceptable	41.5%	31.3%	42.9%	51.2%	41.4%	41.7%	42.3%	43.1%	39.9%	42.3%	39.0%	42.9%
Not sure	15.7%	11.0%	17.5%	16.4%	14.9%	19.8%	17.3%	11.8%	19.3%	18.8%	12.3%	14.3%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (998)	100.0% (239)	100.0% (605)	100.0% (154)	100.0% (812)	100.0% (108)	100.0% (78)	100.0% (450)	100.0% (548)	100.0% (395)	100.0% (334)	100.0% (269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	9
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Unacceptable	42.9%	37.9%	43.1%	49.5%	48.9%	37.2%	45.6%	44.3%	40.2%	44.6%	41.9%	42.4%	43.4%	36.1%
Acceptable	41.5%	46.7%	44.8%	35.7%	40.4%	48.5%	41.2%	42.6%	44.7%	37.0%	44.0%	38.3%	43.3%	57.4%
Not sure	15.7%	15.4%	12.2%	14.8%	10.7%	14.3%	13.2%	13.1%	15.1%	18.4%	14.2%	19.2%	13.3%	6.5%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(998)	(357)	(265)	(295)	(193)	(344)	(317)	(177)	(224)	(344)	(253)	(376)	(375)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



37. Concern about full body scan radiation

Are you concerned about the radiation from full body scanning machines?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Yes	43.5%	47.0%	43.0%	40.1%	43.5%	41.6%	45.9%	41.9%	44.9%	37.9%	45.5%	50.5%
No	39.3%	34.1%	40.4%	43.0%	39.7%	40.8%	33.0%	41.3%	37.4%	41.1%	39.3%	36.3%
Not sure	17.2%	19.0%	16.6%	16.9%	16.8%	17.6%	21.0%	16.8%	17.7%	21.1%	15.2%	13.2%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(995)	(239)	(602)	(154)	(810)	(107)	(78)	(450)	(545)	(392)	(334)	(269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	е
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Yes	43.5%	43.3%	44.6%	45.9%	48.2%	42.0%	45.0%	51.0%	39.9%	43.1%	42.1%	42.2%	44.1%	41.5%
No	39.3%	42.6%	43.3%	34.7%	42.3%	38.1%	40.7%	36.6%	46.5%	34.6%	41.1%	36.6%	40.3%	47.2%
Not sure	17.2%	14.1%	12.1%	19.5%	9.6%	19.9%	14.3%	12.4%	13.6%	22.4%	16.8%	21.3%	15.7%	11.3%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(995)	(357)	(265)	(294)	(193)	(343)	(317)	(176)	(225)	(343)	(251)	(374)	(375)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



38. Comfort with full body scans

How comfortable are you with undergoing a full body scan at an airport security checkpoint?

	·		Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Very												
uncomfortable	29.7%	33.2%	30.7%	21.7%	29.6%	29.6%	30.9%	25.6%	33.6%	29.4%	33.0%	26.6%
Somewhat												
uncomfortable	25.3%	25.0%	24.3%	28.8%	26.6%	19.9%	19.0%	24.5%	26.0%	20.9%	25.8%	32.1%
Not too												
uncomfortable	16.5%	16.9%	16.2%	16.7%	17.0%	14.3%	14.1%	17.7%	15.3%	17.3%	15.1%	16.5%
Not uncomfortable												
at all	22.5%	19.6%	23.1%	24.5%	22.5%	20.8%	24.9%	26.8%	18.4%	22.6%	22.6%	22.2%
Not sure	6.1%	5.3%	5.7%	8.2%	4.3%	15.3%	11.1%	5.3%	6.7%	9.9%	3.5%	2.5%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(998)	(239)	(604)	(155)	(812)	(108)	(78)	(451)	(547)	(395)	(335)	(268)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	on		Fa	mily Income	e
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very														
uncomfortable	29.7%	27.0%	28.0%	34.4%	30.4%	24.0%	32.6%	28.6%	29.4%	31.9%	27.8%	30.9%	28.4%	26.1%
Somewhat														
uncomfortable	25.3%	26.1%	26.4%	25.4%	23.9%	28.8%	24.7%	22.6%	27.5%	22.6%	28.7%	21.5%	28.4%	21.3%
Not too														
uncomfortable	16.5%	17.0%	15.9%	16.0%	18.4%	14.9%	18.6%	17.1%	20.0%	15.0%	14.8%	15.6%	18.0%	20.5%
Not uncomfortable														
at all	22.5%	23.8%	25.5%	20.0%	25.5%	26.5%	21.0%	27.2%	19.2%	20.5%	24.9%	22.6%	22.0%	31.6%
Not sure	6.1%	6.1%	4.4%	4.3%	1.8%	5.8%	3.0%	4.5%	3.9%	9.9%	3.7%	9.4%	3.3%	0.6%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(998)	(357)	(265)	(295)	(193)	(344)	(317)	(176)	(225)	(344)	(253)	(377)	(374)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



39. Opinion of full body scanner machine usages

Full body scans produce detailed pictures of travelers and items that are hidden on their bodies. It might have detected the explosives that were meant to be ignited on the Christmas Day Northwest flight from Amsterdam to Detroit. But many privacy advocates have objected to them. The scanners would not detect items within a person's body. What is your opinion of the use of full body scanners at airports?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
They should be used for all passengers They should be used, but only on people who screeners think	30.8%	24.5%	30.9%	39.3%	28.5%	43.0%	37.9%	26.6%	34.8%	36.2%	29.1%	23.9%
may pose a threat They should not be	36.8%	32.5%	38.7%	36.6%	39.3%	29.4%	22.1%	41.8%	32.1%	31.6%	40.3%	41.4%
used	20.3%	31.0%	17.6%	14.0%	20.5%	14.2%	26.8%	24.0%	16.8%	16.3%	22.2%	24.8%
Not sure	12.1%	11.9%	12.8%	10.1%	11.8%	13.5%	13.3%	7.6%	16.3%	15.8%	8.5%	9.9%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (997)	100.0% (238)	100.0% (605)	100.0% (154)	100.0% (812)	100.0% (107)	100.0% (78)	100.0% (450)	100.0% (547)	100.0% (394)	100.0% (334)	100.0% (269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
They should be used for all passengers They should be used, but only on people who screeners think	30.8%	39.3%	27.6%	24.4%	30.4%	36.8%	24.8%	34.5%	33.2%	28.0%	30.0%	33.7%	30.3%	32.8%
may pose a threat They should not be	36.8%	30.2%	46.3%	37.9%	26.2%	35.3%	49.9%	31.2%	41.5%	34.2%	40.0%	29.4%	41.4%	46.1%
used	20.3%	19.8%	17.8%	25.6%	33.9%	16.4%	19.0%	22.1%	17.1%	22.4%	19.1%	21.8%	18.7%	16.2%
Not sure	12.1%	10.8%	8.2%	12.1%	9.6%	11.4%	6.3%	12.2%	8.2%	15.4%	10.9%	15.0%	9.6%	4.9%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (997)	100.0% (356)	100.0% (265)	100.0% (295)	100.0% (194)	100.0% (343)	100.0% (317)	100.0% (177)	100.0% (224)	100.0% (343)	100.0% (253)	100.0% (375)	100.0% (375)	100.0% (123)

November 20-23, 2010



40. Risk of terrorism justifies full body scans

While some are concerned about the radiation or invasion of privacy from full body scanning machines, others say the risk of terrorism justifies these costs. What do you think?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Full body scans are not justified Full body scans are justfied to keep	35.4%	46.5%	32.3%	30.0%	37.4%	23.7%	31.8%	42.1%	29.2%	31.0%	39.0%	38.8%
us safe	44.4%	34.3%	47.4%	48.7%	43.6%	57.6%	34.7%	41.9%	46.8%	46.6%	45.2%	39.8%
Not sure	20.2%	19.2%	20.3%	21.2%	19.0%	18.7%	33.5%	16.0%	24.1%	22.4%	15.8%	21.4%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (998)	100.0% (239)	100.0% (604)	100.0% (155)	100.0% (812)	100.0% (108)	100.0% (78)	100.0% (451)	100.0% (547)	100.0% (395)	100.0% (335)	100.0% (268)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	on		Fa	mily Income	3
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Full body scans														
are not justified	35.4%	29.4%	38.1%	43.0%	44.0%	29.8%	41.7%	32.8%	35.0%	36.8%	35.8%	33.7%	37.5%	33.2%
Full body scans														
are justfied to keep														
us safe	44.4%	50.8%	46.7%	37.4%	36.9%	50.9%	44.8%	44.4%	51.6%	41.8%	41.6%	45.4%	44.5%	51.1%
Not sure	20.2%	19.8%	15.3%	19.7%	19.1%	19.3%	13.4%	22.9%	13.4%	21.4%	22.6%	20.9%	18.0%	15.7%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(998)	(358)	(264)	(295)	(194)	(344)	(316)	(177)	(225)	(344)	(252)	(377)	(374)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



41. Favor airport security measures

Do you favor or oppose the following security measures for air travel?

			Age			Race		Gei	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Limiting												
passengers to a												
single carry-on												
bag	63.9%	54.5%	66.4%	69.0%	66.6%	50.0%	56.3%	64.2%	63.7%	63.5%	64.6%	63.7%
Not allowing												
passengers to												
leave their seats												
during the last	22.20/	0.5.=0/	22.10/		00.00/	0/	22.40/	0.1.00/		.= .00/	00.00/	22.20/
hour of flight	38.9%	36.5%	39.4%	40.4%	39.2%	44.5%	28.1%	34.0%	43.4%	45.3%	38.9%	28.0%
Not allowing												
passengers to have any personal												
items during the												
last hour of flight	26.2%	17.3%	29.3%	29.0%	25.3%	32.1%	27.9%	25.5%	26.9%	31.6%	25.4%	18.2%
Requiring travelers	20.270	11.5/0	29.570	29.070	23.370	J2.1/0	21.970	23.370	20.970	31.070	25.470	10.270
to remove their												
shoes at security												
checkpoints	67.1%	66.2%	65.9%	72.4%	67.1%	69.4%	64.0%	63.6%	70.4%	70.5%	67.2%	61.3%
Not allowing more												
than 3 ounces of												
liquids	48.8%	49.5%	47.3%	52.5%	48.7%	46.4%	52.2%	45.7%	51.7%	47.8%	51.6%	47.3%
Checking IDs												
multiple times	77.8%	73.1%	79.4%	79.3%	79.7%	69.2%	70.2%	77.8%	77.8%	73.7%	80.3%	81.8%
Full-body scanner												
machines that												
produce a detailed												
picture of travelers' bodies	41.0%	30.1%	43.4%	48.6%	40.0%	52.4%	35.5%	40.4%	41.5%	45.4%	38.3%	36.7%
A traditional pat	41.0/0	30.1/0	43.4/0	40.0/0	40.0 /0	J∠. 4 /0	33.370	40.4/0	41.3/0	43.4/0	30.3/0	30.1 /0
down	69.1%	70.2%	70.8%	62.1%	69.3%	80.4%	51.9%	72.2%	66.1%	65.6%	70.2%	73.5%
	09.170	10.2/0	10.070	02.170	09.570				00.170	05.070	10.270	15.570
						contii	nued on the ne	ext page				

November 20-23, 2010

Checking IDs multiple times

77.8%

81.0%

83.9%

73.6%

79.7%

78.8%



			Age			co Race	ntinued f	rom previous		ender			Education		
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hist	panic N	/lale	Fema	 le HS	or Less	Some Colleg		ege Grad
An enhanced pat down which includes touching genitals and breasts	15.8%	14.7%	16.4%	15.4%	15.9%		•		.8.7%	13.0		15.9%	15.3%		16.1%
Totals	(1,000)	(239)	(606)	(155)	(813)	(109)	(78) (451)	(549))	(396)	(335)	(269)
			Party ID		lo	deology				Regio	n		Far	nily Income	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	t N	lidwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Limiting passengers to a single carry-on bag Not allowing passengers to leave their seats during the last	63.9%	64.1%	71.3%	62.4%	59.0%	66.8%	71.9%	65.3%		66.0%	61.5%	64.4%	61.2%	72.0%	63.1%
hour of flight Not allowing passengers to have any personal items during the	38.9%	40.5%	43.6%	32.6%	35.3%	35.4%	41.7%	42.2%		36.7%	41.9%	34.4%	41.5%	40.7%	30.0%
last hour of flight Requiring travelers to remove their shoes at security	26.2%	28.1%	32.0%	20.3%	21.0%	26.2%	28.7%	29.6%		28.4%	23.2%	26.2%	29.8%	27.0%	20.4%
checkpoints Not allowing more than 3 ounces of	67.1%	70.3%	73.8%	61.6%	65.6%	66.1%	71.2%	74.9%		71.2%	62.9%	63.9%	66.5%	72.1%	61.2%
liquids	48.8%	53.2%	54.4%	41.9%	46.5%	51.2%	51.9%	49.8%		50.5%	49.4%	45.7%	48.3%	50.8%	49.5%

continued on the next page ...

77.0%

80.7%

77.6%

76.0%

72.0%

82.9%

83.4%

82.4%

November 20-23, 2010



							continued fi	om previous p	age					
			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fai	mily Income	;
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Full-body scanner machines that produce a detailed picture of travelers' bodies A traditional pat down An enhanced pat down which includes touching	41.0% 69.1%	47.0% 73.6%	42.2% 71.1%	35.0% 65.8%	36.4% 71.9%	46.7% 69.7%	41.3% 69.4%	39.5% 68.5%	46.7% 73.8%	37.3% 66.1%	42.0% 69.3%	41.0% 69.5%	42.1% 68.1%	49.6% 83.7%
genitals and breasts	15.8%	19.1%	13.8%	14.4%	13.5%	19.7%	14.2%	17.4%	16.6%	12.8%	18.1%	16.2%	16.2%	20.7%
Totals	(1,000)	(359)	(265)	(295)	(194)	(344)	(318)	(177)	(225)	(345)	(253)	(377)	(376)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



42. Oppose security measures

Do you favor or oppose the following security measures for air travel?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Limiting												
passengers to a												
single carry-on												
bag	23.8%	32.7%	21.6%	18.2%	21.9%	33.2%	29.3%	24.4%	23.2%	20.5%	25.0%	27.8%
Not allowing												
passengers to												
leave their seats												
during the last												
hour of flight	41.2%	48.7%	40.0%	34.8%	42.9%	24.4%	46.2%	49.1%	33.8%	30.2%	42.8%	57.8%
Not allowing												
passengers to												
have any personal												
items during the	0/	55.40/	0/	22 -2/	0/	0= 60/	0/	0/	0/	07.00/	-c -0/	c0/
last hour of flight	50.4%	69.1%	45.7%	39.5%	52.0%	37.6%	52.2%	55.5%	45.7%	37.8%	56.5%	64.7%
Requiring travelers												
to remove their												
shoes at security	20.5%	22.9%	19.4%	20.8%	21.3%	15.8%	19.0%	25.6%	15.8%	15.5%	21.0%	28.4%
checkpoints Not allowing more	20.5%	22.9%	19.4%	20.8%	21.5%	15.6%	19.0%	25.0%	15.8%	15.5%	21.0%	28.4%
than 3 ounces of												
liquids	33.8%	34.5%	34.3%	31.4%	34.3%	35.1%	27.7%	39.0%	29.0%	32.4%	29.4%	41.2%
Checking IDs	33.076	34.576	34.370	31.4/0	34.370	33.1/0	21.1/0	39.070	29.070	32.4/0	29.4/0	41.2/0
multiple times	12.3%	15.6%	10.7%	12.7%	11.1%	19.3%	14.5%	13.4%	11.3%	13.6%	10.1%	12.7%
Full-body scanner	12.570	13.070	10.170	12.170	11.170	13.370	11.570	13.170	11.570	13.070	10.170	12.170
machines that												
produce a detailed												
picture of travelers'												
bodies	39.8%	51.4%	37.2%	31.9%	41.4%	27.7%	40.3%	43.8%	36.1%	34.5%	44.2%	43.7%
A traditional pat												
down	17.2%	16.1%	15.7%	23.2%	17.4%	6.8%	27.9%	16.7%	17.6%	17.2%	16.6%	17.7%
						contir	nued on the ne	ext page				

November 20-23, 2010

have any personal items during the last hour of flight

Requiring travelers to remove their shoes at security

Not allowing more than 3 ounces of

checkpoints

Checking IDs multiple times

liquids

50.4%

20.5%

33.8%

12.3%

48.9%

18.6%

30.2%

10.5%

47.8%

19.6%

34.4%

12.2%

57.8%

25.8%

40.2%

15.0%

61.7%

25.0%

43.7%

15.4%

53.8%

23.3%

32.0%

11.7%

49.4%

21.2%

36.1%

10.9%



							ntinued fr	om previou	us page)					
			Age			Race			G	ender			Educatio	n	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hisp	anic	Male	Fema	le HS	or Less	Some Colleg	ge Colle	ege Grad
An enhanced pat down which includes touching genitals and breasts	69.4%	73.4%	66.5%	73.4%	69.6%	66.1%	72	5%	67.3%	71.4	.%	66.5%	68.7%		75.1%
Totals	(1,000)	(239)	(606)	(155)	(813)	(109)	(7	' 8)	(451)	(549	9)	(396)	(335)	(269)
			Party ID		le	deology				Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	e
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeas	st N	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Limiting passengers to a single carry-on bag Not allowing passengers to leave their seats during the last hour of flight Not allowing passengers to	23.8%	24.7% 38.5%	22.7% 43.2%	25.0% 47.0%	31.6% 49.5%	22.6% 45.3%	21.5%	22.4% 42.5%		24.1% 46.2%	23.4% 34.3%		23.2% 32.2%	19.3% 41.6%	31.9%

continued on the next page ...

44.8%

14.6%

32.6%

13.1%

54.3%

21.1%

33.6%

14.4%

45.8%

20.9%

31.3%

10.3%

57.2%

23.6%

38.2%

12.7%

41.6%

17.9%

31.7%

12.2%

50.4%

20.7%

33.6%

10.8%

72.3%

28.9%

37.8%

15.2%

November 20-23, 2010



							continued f	rom previous p	age					
			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Full-body scanner machines that produce a detailed picture of travelers' bodies	39.8%	34.3%	43.5%	45.2%	44.0%	36.0%	44.0%	39.4%	36.4%	42.9%	38.9%	38.5%	41.6%	33.7%
A traditional pat	39.070	34.370	45.576	45.270	44.070	30.076	44.070	39.470	30.470	42.970	30.970	30.576	41.070	33.1 /0
down An enhanced pat down which includes touching genitals and	17.2%	13.6%	19.4%	19.9%	20.1%	18.2%	18.4%	15.2%	16.4%	18.5%	17.4%	15.1%	19.3%	10.8%
breasts	69.4%	66.2%	74.6%	71.6%	75.2%	66.8%	74.3%	67.6%	69.8%	72.4%	66.3%	65.8%	70.7%	73.1%
Totals	(1,000)	(359)	(265)	(295)	(194)	(344)	(318)	(177)	(225)	(345)	(253)	(377)	(376)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



43. Effectiveness of new air travel security procedures

Overall, do you think the recent changes in air travel security measures will make flying safer or not?

			Age			Race		Gei	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Won't make much												
difference	51.9%	46.9%	51.6%	59.6%	54.8%	33.3%	47.6%	60.5%	43.8%	45.8%	51.6%	62.3%
Will make flying												
safer	30.0%	33.0%	29.7%	26.9%	27.5%	45.1%	34.8%	25.0%	34.7%	31.6%	33.7%	23.1%
Not sure	18.1%	20.1%	18.7%	13.4%	17.7%	21.5%	17.7%	14.4%	21.6%	22.5%	14.7%	14.6%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (998)	100.0% (239)	100.0% (604)	100.0% (155)	100.0% (812)	100.0% (108)	100.0% (78)	100.0% (450)	100.0% (548)	100.0% (395)	100.0% (335)	100.0% (268)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	Э
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Won't make much														
difference	51.9%	45.6%	52.2%	65.2%	59.4%	49.9%	59.6%	49.0%	52.2%	51.0%	54.7%	43.1%	55.3%	67.6%
Will make flying														
safer	30.0%	38.1%	31.5%	20.2%	25.5%	34.1%	28.5%	34.5%	33.2%	26.9%	28.5%	34.7%	30.0%	22.1%
Not sure	18.1%	16.3%	16.2%	14.6%	15.1%	15.9%	11.9%	16.5%	14.6%	22.1%	16.8%	22.2%	14.7%	10.2%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(998)	(358)	(265)	(294)	(194)	(344)	(316)	(176)	(225)	(344)	(253)	(377)	(374)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



44. Decrease travel in response to security procedures

Will you travel by air less frequently in the future in order to avoid being subjected to the new procedures, or not?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Yes	34.8%	37.4%	33.9%	34.4%	35.7%	29.3%	33.6%	35.0%	34.7%	34.4%	42.7%	26.7%
No	65.2%	62.6%	66.1%	65.6%	64.3%	70.7%	66.4%	65.0%	65.3%	65.6%	57.3%	73.3%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(992)	(239)	(599)	(154)	(808)	(107)	(77)	(449)	(543)	(390)	(334)	(268)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Yes	34.8%	25.9%	34.4%	43.9%	26.0%	33.3%	41.0%	33.6%	30.3%	39.8%	33.0%	34.6%	36.6%	23.4%
No	65.2%	74.1%	65.6%	56.1%	74.0%	66.7%	59.0%	66.4%	69.7%	60.2%	67.0%	65.4%	63.4%	76.6%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	(992)	(354)	(265)	(293)	(194)	(341)	(316)	(177)	(225)	(338)	(252)	(374)	(374)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



45. Likelihood of terrorist attack

What do you think are the chances of a terrorist attack against the United States in the next 12 months?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Very likely (more												
than 50%)	14.7%	7.6%	15.3%	22.8%	16.1%	11.0%	6.2%	17.2%	12.3%	16.7%	13.4%	12.9%
Somewhat likely												
(10% to 50%)	40.6%	25.0%	43.7%	52.2%	41.4%	32.3%	43.9%	40.0%	41.1%	41.9%	36.4%	43.2%
Not very likely (1%												
to 10%)	22.6%	37.6%	19.7%	11.0%	23.1%	22.9%	17.1%	25.3%	20.1%	16.0%	29.6%	25.6%
Very unlikely (less												
than 1%)	7.4%	11.9%	6.4%	4.4%	6.6%	7.8%	14.7%	9.3%	5.7%	6.8%	8.1%	7.6%
Not sure	14.7%	17.9%	14.9%	9.6%	12.8%	26.1%	18.1%	8.2%	20.8%	18.6%	12.4%	10.7%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(997)	(239)	(603)	(155)	(811)	(108)	(78)	(450)	(547)	(394)	(334)	(269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	e
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very likely (more														
than 50%)	14.7%	6.8%	24.9%	15.2%	10.0%	9.9%	23.8%	15.1%	15.3%	14.5%	14.1%	12.1%	16.4%	15.6%
Somewhat likely														
(10% to 50%)	40.6%	37.2%	44.4%	43.8%	31.4%	42.1%	49.5%	45.0%	38.0%	39.0%	42.0%	41.0%	38.7%	50.2%
Not very likely (1%														
to 10%)	22.6%	27.7%	17.7%	22.4%	34.3%	26.8%	16.1%	20.7%	27.9%	21.9%	20.2%	19.7%	27.0%	21.4%
Very unlikely (less														
than 1%)	7.4%	10.4%	3.5%	7.3%	11.8%	9.2%	3.7%	4.9%	6.8%	6.9%	10.4%	5.9%	8.8%	7.7%
Not sure	14.7%	17.9%	9.6%	11.3%	12.5%	12.1%	6.8%	14.3%	12.0%	17.7%	13.3%	21.4%	9.2%	5.2%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(997)	(358)	(265)	(294)	(194)	(344)	(316)	(176)	(225)	(344)	(252)	(376)	(375)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



46. Obama terrorism policies

Do you think the policies of the Obama Administration have made the United States more or less safe from terrorism?

			Age			Race		Gei	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
More safe	27.8%	24.2%	28.4%	30.6%	23.1%	51.8%	42.3%	28.9%	26.7%	23.0%	27.7%	35.8%
No effect	45.1%	57.6%	43.9%	31.6%	46.1%	36.8%	46.4%	41.6%	48.3%	48.5%	44.0%	40.7%
Less safe	27.2%	18.1%	27.7%	37.8%	30.9%	11.4%	11.3%	29.5%	24.9%	28.6%	28.3%	23.5%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (997)	100.0% (238)	100.0% (604)	100.0% (155)	100.0% (813)	100.0% (107)	100.0% (77)	100.0% (450)	100.0% (547)	100.0% (393)	100.0% (335)	100.0% (269)

		Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	Э
Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
27.8%	54.0%	4.9%	24.2%	53.2%	39.7%	5.3%	27.8%	24.3%	24.0%	35.7%	27.2%	28.0%	29.9%
45.1%	43.1%	39.6%	47.2%	44.7%	47.4%	35.5%	42.6%	51.0%	47.3%	38.6%	50.2%	43.3%	41.1%
27.2%	3.0%	55.5%	28.5%	2.2%	12.9%	59.1%	29.6%	24.6%	28.7%	25.7%	22.6%	28.8%	29.0%
100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0% (123)
	27.8% 45.1% 27.2%	27.8% 54.0% 45.1% 43.1% 27.2% 3.0% 100.0% 100.0%	Total Dem Rep 27.8% 54.0% 4.9% 45.1% 43.1% 39.6% 27.2% 3.0% 55.5% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0%	Total Dem Rep Ind 27.8% 54.0% 4.9% 24.2% 45.1% 43.1% 39.6% 47.2% 27.2% 3.0% 55.5% 28.5% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib 27.8% 54.0% 4.9% 24.2% 53.2% 45.1% 43.1% 39.6% 47.2% 44.7% 27.2% 3.0% 55.5% 28.5% 2.2% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib Mod 27.8% 54.0% 4.9% 24.2% 53.2% 39.7% 45.1% 43.1% 39.6% 47.2% 44.7% 47.4% 27.2% 3.0% 55.5% 28.5% 2.2% 12.9% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib Mod Con 27.8% 54.0% 4.9% 24.2% 53.2% 39.7% 5.3% 45.1% 43.1% 39.6% 47.2% 44.7% 47.4% 35.5% 27.2% 3.0% 55.5% 28.5% 2.2% 12.9% 59.1% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib Mod Con Northeast 27.8% 54.0% 4.9% 24.2% 53.2% 39.7% 5.3% 27.8% 45.1% 43.1% 39.6% 47.2% 44.7% 47.4% 35.5% 42.6% 27.2% 3.0% 55.5% 28.5% 2.2% 12.9% 59.1% 29.6% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib Mod Con Northeast Midwest 27.8% 54.0% 4.9% 24.2% 53.2% 39.7% 5.3% 27.8% 24.3% 45.1% 43.1% 39.6% 47.2% 44.7% 47.4% 35.5% 42.6% 51.0% 27.2% 3.0% 55.5% 28.5% 2.2% 12.9% 59.1% 29.6% 24.6% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib Mod Con Northeast Midwest South 27.8% 54.0% 4.9% 24.2% 53.2% 39.7% 5.3% 27.8% 24.3% 24.0% 45.1% 43.1% 39.6% 47.2% 44.7% 47.4% 35.5% 42.6% 51.0% 47.3% 27.2% 3.0% 55.5% 28.5% 2.2% 12.9% 59.1% 29.6% 24.6% 28.7% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib Mod Con Northeast Midwest South West 27.8% 54.0% 4.9% 24.2% 53.2% 39.7% 5.3% 27.8% 24.3% 24.0% 35.7% 45.1% 43.1% 39.6% 47.2% 44.7% 47.4% 35.5% 42.6% 51.0% 47.3% 38.6% 27.2% 3.0% 55.5% 28.5% 2.2% 12.9% 59.1% 29.6% 24.6% 28.7% 25.7% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib Mod Con Northeast Midwest South West Under 40 27.8% 54.0% 4.9% 24.2% 53.2% 39.7% 5.3% 27.8% 24.3% 24.0% 35.7% 27.2% 45.1% 43.1% 39.6% 47.2% 44.7% 47.4% 35.5% 42.6% 51.0% 47.3% 38.6% 50.2% 27.2% 3.0% 55.5% 28.5% 2.2% 12.9% 59.1% 29.6% 24.6% 28.7% 25.7% 22.6% 100.0%	Total Dem Rep Ind Lib Mod Con Northeast Midwest South West Under 40 40-100 27.8% 54.0% 4.9% 24.2% 53.2% 39.7% 5.3% 27.8% 24.3% 24.0% 35.7% 27.2% 28.0% 45.1% 43.1% 39.6% 47.2% 44.7% 47.4% 35.5% 42.6% 51.0% 47.3% 38.6% 50.2% 43.3% 27.2% 3.0% 55.5% 28.5% 2.2% 12.9% 59.1% 29.6% 24.6% 28.7% 25.7% 22.6% 28.8% 100.0%

November 20-23, 2010



47. Obama's ideological leanings Would you say Barack Obama is...

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Very liberal	36.4%	30.5%	35.9%	45.9%	41.2%	14.0%	18.5%	42.9%	30.3%	37.2%	35.3%	36.3%
Liberal	19.9%	27.6%	19.5%	10.6%	19.0%	24.7%	22.7%	21.8%	18.2%	14.1%	22.7%	26.6%
Moderate	24.0%	21.4%	24.5%	26.2%	22.0%	32.1%	33.0%	24.2%	23.8%	18.5%	26.0%	31.0%
Conservative	2.8%	2.1%	3.3%	1.9%	2.5%	3.0%	5.1%	2.3%	3.2%	3.5%	3.2%	1.1%
Very conservative	0.9%	0.6%	1.4%	0.0%	0.5%	4.7%	0.0%	0.8%	1.1%	1.3%	0.6%	0.6%
Not sure	16.0%	17.8%	15.4%	15.5%	14.7%	21.7%	20.8%	8.0%	23.5%	25.4%	12.2%	4.4%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(997)	(238)	(604)	(155)	(812)	(107)	(78)	(451)	(546)	(394)	(334)	(269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Very liberal	36.4%	6.0%	79.7%	36.4%	7.3%	19.9%	83.2%	32.1%	35.7%	40.7%	34.1%	28.7%	40.5%	43.1%
Liberal	19.9%	29.2%	10.3%	22.6%	39.7%	26.8%	8.2%	19.0%	22.3%	18.7%	20.1%	17.3%	23.1%	18.7%
Moderate	24.0%	42.3%	3.6%	24.7%	43.2%	39.3%	3.1%	28.4%	20.4%	19.6%	30.1%	21.7%	24.0%	33.9%
Conservative	2.8%	5.0%	1.2%	2.5%	2.9%	2.3%	3.2%	3.2%	3.1%	3.4%	1.3%	2.5%	3.1%	2.0%
Very conservative	0.9%	1.7%	0.3%	0.6%	2.1%	0.8%	0.5%	0.6%	1.1%	0.4%	1.7%	1.9%	0.5%	0.0%
Not sure	16.0%	15.8%	4.9%	13.2%	4.7%	10.8%	1.7%	16.7%	17.3%	17.2%	12.8%	27.8%	8.8%	2.3%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(997)	(357)	(265)	(294)	(194)	(344)	(317)	(177)	(225)	(342)	(253)	(376)	(374)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



48. Obama's sincerity

Do you think Barack Obama...

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Says what he believes Says what he thinks people want	48.2%	43.2%	52.4%	41.9%	43.3%	75.7%	60.7%	46.8%	49.5%	42.3%	48.4%	57.9%
to hear	51.8%	56.8%	47.6%	58.1%	56.7%	24.3%	39.3%	53.2%	50.5%	57.7%	51.6%	42.1%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (994)	100.0% (237)	100.0% (603)	100.0% (154)	100.0% (810)	100.0% (107)	100.0% (77)	100.0% (448)	100.0% (546)	100.0% (394)	100.0% (333)	100.0% (267)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Says what he believes Says what he thinks people want	48.2%	77.8%	16.4%	45.6%	77.8%	60.1%	20.4%	51.9%	44.2%	45.2%	53.4%	46.1%	45.7%	56.8%
to hear	51.8%	22.2%	83.6%	54.4%	22.2%	39.9%	79.6%	48.1%	55.8%	54.8%	46.6%	53.9%	54.3%	43.2%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (994)	100.0% (356)	100.0% (265)	100.0% (293)	100.0% (190)	100.0% (344)	100.0% (317)	100.0% (175)	100.0% (224)	100.0% (343)	100.0% (252)	100.0% (377)	100.0% (374)	100.0% (123)

November 20-23, 2010



49. Obama's likeability

Regardless of whether you agree with him, do you like Barack Obama as a person?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Like a lot	34.8%	31.4%	37.9%	29.6%	29.6%	67.4%	42.8%	35.2%	34.3%	27.7%	37.5%	43.4%
Like somewhat	25.6%	34.9%	23.7%	18.7%	26.6%	20.3%	22.6%	28.2%	23.2%	26.7%	23.8%	25.9%
Dislike	27.3%	20.8%	27.0%	37.3%	30.8%	6.8%	20.4%	25.7%	28.8%	30.8%	27.6%	21.3%
Not sure	12.3%	12.8%	11.4%	14.4%	13.0%	5.5%	14.2%	10.9%	13.6%	14.8%	11.2%	9.4%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(993)	(238)	(600)	(155)	(809)	(107)	(77)	(449)	(544)	(391)	(333)	(269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Like a lot	34.8%	70.5%	4.3%	29.4%	69.6%	46.3%	7.2%	33.1%	32.7%	32.3%	41.0%	34.1%	32.9%	46.7%
Like somewhat	25.6%	20.8%	24.7%	31.5%	20.7%	32.9%	23.6%	31.1%	28.0%	22.1%	24.6%	26.9%	27.4%	21.7%
Dislike	27.3%	3.6%	59.7%	24.2%	5.8%	12.7%	56.8%	28.1%	26.7%	30.8%	22.6%	23.1%	30.2%	23.5%
Not sure	12.3%	5.1%	11.3%	14.8%	3.9%	8.1%	12.4%	7.7%	12.6%	14.8%	11.8%	15.9%	9.6%	8.1%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(993)	(355)	(264)	(295)	(194)	(343)	(314)	(175)	(224)	(343)	(251)	(374)	(375)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



50. Words that describe Obama

Which of these words would you use to describe Barack Obama?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Honest	33.2%	26.8%	37.0%	30.2%	27.6%	65.7%	45.3%	32.5%	33.9%	28.0%	35.2%	39.8%
Intelligent	62.7%	61.0%	63.5%	62.6%	60.7%	77.9%	62.6%	66.4%	59.3%	54.7%	65.6%	72.8%
Religious	16.2%	13.1%	17.5%	16.2%	12.4%	40.5%	20.7%	17.8%	14.6%	17.5%	15.6%	14.6%
Inspiring	35.4%	36.7%	37.8%	25.8%	31.5%	63.1%	36.7%	34.5%	36.2%	30.4%	37.6%	41.1%
Patriotic	31.8%	31.7%	34.0%	25.3%	28.5%	53.2%	36.7%	32.2%	31.5%	23.4%	35.6%	41.7%
Strong	27.6%	26.1%	31.2%	18.2%	23.4%	49.2%	39.1%	23.2%	31.6%	26.2%	27.7%	29.6%
Bold	31.4%	34.9%	30.1%	30.9%	30.9%	39.2%	26.5%	30.8%	32.0%	29.9%	36.0%	28.8%
Experienced	15.5%	14.6%	17.4%	10.5%	11.5%	39.8%	22.0%	13.7%	17.2%	13.3%	15.9%	18.6%
Sincere	37.2%	34.1%	39.7%	33.7%	32.8%	66.4%	42.2%	36.4%	38.0%	29.7%	40.8%	45.8%
Partisan	27.7%	21.5%	28.5%	33.9%	30.3%	17.8%	15.7%	34.4%	21.4%	21.9%	28.8%	36.2%
Effective	20.5%	20.5%	22.4%	14.5%	16.4%	51.2%	20.4%	20.3%	20.8%	18.1%	23.5%	21.3%
Unifying	14.9%	15.7%	15.8%	10.9%	12.5%	32.6%	15.3%	12.7%	17.0%	12.3%	15.7%	18.4%
In Touch	22.1%	21.3%	24.5%	15.9%	17.5%	51.4%	28.9%	21.2%	23.0%	18.8%	25.6%	23.8%
Realistic	29.0%	28.8%	31.7%	20.5%	26.0%	52.8%	26.0%	27.5%	30.4%	23.6%	31.9%	34.5%
Decisive	21.6%	21.5%	22.8%	17.8%	19.8%	35.5%	20.6%	18.1%	24.8%	20.7%	21.8%	22.7%
Totals	(1,000)	(239)	(606)	(155)	(813)	(109)	(78)	(451)	(549)	(396)	(335)	(269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fai	mily Income	
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Honest	33.2%	66.1%	2.3%	29.2%	66.6%	43.9%	5.6%	30.7%	34.7%	31.2%	36.5%	34.3%	30.4%	39.4%
Intelligent	62.7%	85.1%	39.7%	64.4%	85.4%	76.8%	43.9%	63.7%	67.1%	56.7%	66.4%	59.0%	67.2%	71.0%
Religious	16.2%	28.7%	5.7%	12.6%	29.3%	18.2%	5.6%	15.2%	17.2%	14.1%	18.8%	18.4%	16.1%	11.1%
Inspiring	35.4%	61.6%	10.5%	33.1%	63.9%	44.4%	13.9%	35.3%	35.3%	30.0%	42.6%	34.4%	36.8%	38.9%
Patriotic	31.8%	58.8%	4.0%	30.5%	59.7%	44.2%	5.6%	35.1%	31.4%	25.8%	38.2%	31.9%	30.4%	38.6%
Strong	27.6%	48.6%	4.3%	24.2%	46.9%	35.7%	6.8%	21.1%	27.1%	25.8%	34.7%	30.9%	24.9%	27.6%
Bold	31.4%	38.5%	25.5%	31.2%	41.5%	35.8%	23.3%	22.3%	36.0%	28.4%	37.8%	33.0%	33.7%	24.5%
Experienced	15.5%	31.5%	0.7%	10.1%	32.5%	17.0%	2.6%	15.3%	13.6%	12.7%	20.9%	18.1%	13.1%	17.5%
Sincere	37.2%	69.8%	7.6%	31.6%	67.4%	52.4%	8.8%	36.8%	37.6%	33.0%	42.8%	37.4%	34.8%	46.7%
Partisan	27.7%	13.3%	48.4%	29.7%	13.8%	21.4%	53.1%	23.6%	27.6%	29.2%	28.6%	19.1%	32.5%	40.5%
Effective	20.5%	40.8%	2.5%	17.2%	37.4%	27.3%	4.4%	15.1%	21.6%	19.4%	24.8%	20.9%	19.4%	24.5%
Unifying	14.9%	30.8%	1.6%	10.4%	31.5%	16.6%	3.3%	13.8%	14.1%	13.1%	18.7%	14.8%	15.6%	14.4%
In Touch	22.1%	45.4%	3.0%	15.7%	49.6%	24.2%	4.8%	23.2%	20.8%	20.7%	24.5%	24.2%	19.7%	21.9%

continued on the next page ...

November 20-23, 2010



							continued f	rom previous p	age					
			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	e
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Realistic	29.0%	57.2%	1.6%	24.6%	54.3%	39.3%	5.1%	26.5%	29.3%	25.6%	34.9%	32.1%	25.6%	31.4%
Decisive	21.6%	36.6%	10.5%	15.7%	35.8%	26.1%	10.8%	18.0%	20.7%	18.9%	28.3%	20.7%	22.3%	22.2%
Totals	(1,000)	(359)	(265)	(295)	(194)	(344)	(318)	(177)	(225)	(345)	(253)	(377)	(376)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



51. Approval of U.S. Congress

Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the way that the United States Congress is handling its job?

			Age	·		Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Strongly approve Somewhat	1.2%	1.2%	1.3%	1.0%	1.2%	1.3%	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%	1.3%	1.4%	0.8%
approve Neither approve	10.0%	12.1%	9.0%	10.0%	8.3%	21.0%	11.7%	8.7%	11.2%	9.8%	10.7%	9.3%
nor disapprove Somewhat	12.4%	17.3%	12.2%	6.3%	10.5%	22.6%	17.0%	10.6%	14.1%	12.8%	12.2%	11.8%
disapprove Strongly	22.4%	25.2%	21.7%	20.8%	23.3%	16.3%	21.2%	19.4%	25.2%	20.6%	23.5%	24.1%
disapprove	46.8%	32.5%	49.6%	58.0%	49.6%	31.2%	40.1%	57.7%	36.6%	43.8%	47.2%	51.5%
Not sure	7.3%	11.8%	6.3%	4.0%	7.0%	7.7%	8.8%	1.9%	12.2%	11.6%	5.0%	2.5%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (998)	100.0% (239)	100.0% (604)	100.0% (155)	100.0% (812)	100.0% (108)	100.0% (78)	100.0% (451)	100.0% (547)	100.0% (395)	100.0% (334)	100.0% (269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	а
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Strongly approve Somewhat	1.2%	2.3%	0.8%	0.6%	3.0%	1.0%	0.4%	2.0%	1.6%	0.4%	1.3%	1.3%	1.5%	0.6%
approve Neither approve	10.0%	21.7%	2.7%	5.0%	18.2%	11.6%	5.5%	9.6%	9.6%	8.6%	12.4%	12.8%	8.8%	7.0%
nor disapprove Somewhat	12.4%	16.3%	8.4%	8.2%	15.0%	13.8%	5.0%	15.1%	14.1%	11.2%	10.6%	15.6%	11.0%	7.0%
disapprove Strongly	22.4%	22.8%	21.6%	25.2%	30.6%	26.8%	17.2%	24.4%	22.6%	22.4%	20.8%	21.9%	24.7%	20.1%
disapprove	46.8%	28.7%	65.5%	56.1%	28.7%	42.7%	70.8%	39.6%	47.9%	47.5%	49.9%	37.7%	50.2%	63.3%
Not sure	7.3%	8.2%	1.0%	4.9%	4.5%	4.1%	1.1%	9.4%	4.2%	9.9%	5.0%	10.7%	3.9%	2.0%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (998)	100.0% (358)	100.0% (265)	100.0% (294)	100.0% (194)	100.0% (343)	100.0% (317)	100.0% (177)	100.0% (225)	100.0% (344)	100.0% (252)	100.0% (376)	100.0% (375)	100.0% (123)

November 20-23, 2010



52. Direction of country

Would you say things in this country today are...

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Generally headed in the right												
direction Off on the wrong	31.5%	32.5%	33.4%	24.2%	26.7%	64.5%	35.5%	33.9%	29.3%	26.7%	32.5%	38.7%
track	56.4%	51.0%	54.8%	69.2%	62.0%	23.9%	44.1%	58.1%	54.9%	59.3%	56.9%	51.0%
Not sure	12.0%	16.5%	11.8%	6.6%	11.2%	11.6%	20.4%	8.1%	15.8%	14.0%	10.7%	10.3%
Totals (Unweighted)	100.0% (997)	100.0% (239)	100.0% (603)	100.0% (155)	100.0% (812)	100.0% (107)	100.0% (78)	100.0% (449)	100.0% (548)	100.0% (395)	100.0% (335)	100.0% (267)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	on		Fa	mily Income	e
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Generally headed														
in the right														
direction	31.5%	63.8%	4.7%	25.1%	61.4%	44.9%	6.9%	30.5%	32.7%	29.1%	34.5%	30.0%	32.9%	35.0%
Off on the wrong														
track	56.4%	23.5%	90.9%	63.6%	28.1%	42.9%	89.6%	54.6%	58.3%	59.0%	52.6%	55.1%	59.0%	57.9%
Not sure	12.0%	12.7%	4.4%	11.3%	10.6%	12.2%	3.5%	15.0%	9.0%	11.9%	12.9%	14.9%	8.1%	7.1%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(997)	(358)	(263)	(295)	(194)	(343)	(316)	(176)	(225)	(343)	(253)	(376)	(375)	(122)

November 20-23, 2010



53. Trend of economy

Overall, do you think the economy is getting better or worse?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Getting better	24.0%	25.5%	24.8%	19.3%	21.7%	45.6%	18.4%	26.2%	21.9%	17.9%	27.7%	29.9%
About the same	38.0%	44.5%	35.9%	35.7%	37.9%	28.6%	51.3%	36.4%	39.5%	36.2%	40.5%	38.1%
Getting worse	34.1%	23.3%	36.2%	42.2%	37.4%	16.0%	24.7%	35.3%	32.9%	39.7%	29.8%	29.6%
Not sure	3.9%	6.6%	3.1%	2.8%	3.0%	9.8%	5.7%	2.1%	5.6%	6.2%	2.0%	2.3%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(997)	(238)	(605)	(154)	(812)	(107)	(78)	(450)	(547)	(393)	(335)	(269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Getting better	24.0%	43.2%	6.4%	22.2%	47.1%	32.6%	7.0%	22.2%	29.0%	21.9%	23.6%	19.8%	26.0%	32.0%
About the same	38.0%	39.7%	42.4%	34.1%	34.6%	45.1%	38.0%	35.6%	35.7%	37.5%	42.3%	38.5%	40.0%	39.8%
Getting worse	34.1%	13.3%	49.6%	42.1%	16.5%	19.8%	53.7%	37.1%	32.1%	36.3%	30.8%	36.5%	31.8%	26.9%
Not sure	3.9%	3.7%	1.6%	1.5%	1.9%	2.4%	1.3%	5.1%	3.2%	4.4%	3.2%	5.3%	2.2%	1.4%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(997)	(357)	(265)	(294)	(193)	(343)	(317)	(176)	(225)	(343)	(253)	(375)	(375)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



54. Stock market expectations over next yearDo you think the stock market will be higher or lower 12 months from now?

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Higher	29.3%	32.1%	27.8%	30.3%	27.8%	39.1%	31.3%	37.6%	21.5%	21.4%	31.7%	39.8%
About the same	35.2%	34.7%	33.6%	41.4%	35.5%	28.1%	42.2%	32.8%	37.6%	33.6%	35.1%	38.2%
Lower	15.2%	11.2%	17.6%	13.2%	17.1%	6.9%	7.3%	17.1%	13.4%	17.8%	15.2%	10.9%
Not sure	20.2%	22.0%	21.1%	15.0%	19.6%	25.9%	19.2%	12.5%	27.4%	27.2%	18.0%	11.2%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(996)	(238)	(604)	(154)	(811)	(107)	(78)	(450)	(546)	(392)	(335)	(269)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	n		Fa	mily Income	•
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Higher	29.3%	41.7%	17.1%	32.1%	49.6%	38.8%	17.2%	29.6%	35.2%	25.2%	29.6%	24.3%	32.8%	41.0%
About the same	35.2%	29.7%	45.2%	32.3%	29.7%	36.8%	41.5%	29.1%	32.5%	37.1%	39.3%	33.3%	39.0%	37.6%
Lower	15.2%	7.2%	20.4%	20.3%	6.8%	12.5%	22.8%	18.7%	14.2%	16.0%	12.7%	18.0%	13.0%	11.6%
Not sure	20.2%	21.4%	17.3%	15.2%	13.9%	11.9%	18.5%	22.6%	18.1%	21.7%	18.5%	24.5%	15.2%	9.8%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(996)	(357)	(265)	(294)	(193)	(343)	(317)	(176)	(225)	(343)	(252)	(374)	(375)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



55. Change in personal finances over past year

Would you say that you and your family are...

			Age			Race		Ger	nder		Education	
	Total	18-29	30-64	65+	White	Black	Hispanic	Male	Female	HS or Less	Some College	College Grad
Better off												
financially	10.8%	16.6%	10.3%	4.4%	10.1%	14.9%	12.6%	11.8%	9.9%	7.9%	10.9%	15.6%
About the same as												
now	46.6%	51.7%	43.2%	50.0%	46.9%	44.5%	46.1%	51.3%	42.1%	41.2%	46.4%	55.7%
Worse off												
financially	38.9%	26.6%	42.8%	43.4%	40.2%	31.9%	34.8%	35.0%	42.5%	44.8%	39.7%	27.9%
Not sure	3.8%	5.1%	3.7%	2.3%	2.8%	8.7%	6.5%	1.9%	5.6%	6.1%	2.9%	0.8%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(997)	(238)	(604)	(155)	(811)	(108)	(78)	(450)	(547)	(394)	(335)	(268)

			Party ID			Ideology			Regio	on		Fa	mily Income	e
	Total	Dem	Rep	Ind	Lib	Mod	Con	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Under 40	40-100	100+
Better off														
financially	10.8%	13.6%	7.9%	10.7%	16.4%	13.1%	7.5%	12.6%	12.5%	10.3%	8.7%	11.1%	11.4%	14.8%
About the same as														
now	46.6%	53.4%	47.5%	39.0%	53.9%	50.6%	45.5%	40.3%	46.4%	47.7%	49.4%	38.1%	52.0%	56.2%
Worse off														
financially	38.9%	29.6%	43.6%	47.1%	26.5%	34.6%	46.1%	42.2%	38.6%	37.1%	39.2%	45.2%	35.6%	28.4%
Not sure	3.8%	3.4%	1.0%	3.1%	3.1%	1.6%	0.8%	4.9%	2.5%	4.9%	2.7%	5.6%	1.1%	0.6%
Totals	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
(Unweighted)	(997)	(358)	(264)	(295)	(194)	(344)	(316)	(177)	(225)	(343)	(252)	(376)	(374)	(123)

November 20-23, 2010



Sponsorship The Economist

Fieldwork YouGov

Interviewing Dates November 20-23, 2010

Target population U.S. citizens, aged 18 and over.

Sampling method Respondents were selected from YouGov's PollingPoint panel using

sample matching. A random sample (stratified by age, gender, race, education, and region) was selected from the 2005–2007 American Community Study. Voter registration, turnout, religion, news interest, minor party identification, and non-placement on an ideology scale, were imputed from the 2008 Current Population Survey Registration and Voting Supplement and the Pew Religion in American Life Survey. Matching respondents were selected from the PollingPoint panel, an

opt-in Internet panel.

Weighting The sample was weighted using propensity scores based on age,

gender, race, education, news interest, voter registration, and non-placement on an ideology scale. The weights range from 0.6 to 3.6,

with a mean of one and a standard deviation of 0.4.

Number of respondents 1000

Margin of error \pm 3.4% (adjusted for weighting)

Margin of error (Registered Vot-

ers)

 \pm 3.8% (adjusted for weighting)

Survey mode Web-based interviews

Questions not reported 25 questions not reported.